PUNJAB
INCOME TAX REPORT,
1898-1899.
11278

E-16 898-99 THE PERSON

ADMINANT AND RESIDENCE RADMON

Charles -

368 7 5 1 2 2 0 0

(1218)

applications

is new arrival to the thinking

Thomas of the

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

REPORT

ON THE

INCOME TAX ADMINISTRATION,

PUNJAB,

FOR THE YEAR

1898-99.

Zublished by Authority.



gabore:

THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS,

Contractors to the Punjab Government.

1899

H DIACK ESQUIRE

Ceremie and Financial Scentury to Corponment,
Parjob and its Departments.

THE PUNIOR SECRETARY TO THE PINANCIAL COMMISSIONER PUNIAR.

Daire Simin, 6th September 1890.

Languages

,316,

the off of Account 1279, cabentular, the tempts of your letter No. 505, dated the off of Account 1279, cabentular, and the area of catentary the Income Tax 1295—1295 was a fall one, and was revidend at length by His Hone, whose remarks on the protect with therefore the brief.

He at forces in any first at the second process of control of the year to the at forces of control of the second process of control of the second process of the second process

Reduct Personal Research Researching He against the following research Reduct Personal Research Reduct Personal Reduct Persona

FROM

A. H. DIACK, ESQUIRE,

Revenue and Financial Secretary to Government,

Punjab and its Dependencies,

To

THE JUNIOR SECRETARY TO THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB.

Dated Simla, 6th September 1899.

Financial.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 595, dated the 9th of August 1899, submitting, with the usual returns, the Income Tax Report for 1898-99. Last year's report, dealing with the triennial period 1895—1898, was a full one, and was reviewed at length by His Honor, whose remarks on the present abbreviated report will therefore be brief.

- 2. The total yield of the tax for the Province amounted this year to Rs. 13,69,053 as against Rs. 13,87,231 last year, being a decrease of over Rs. 18,000. As noticed in the report, this is the first occasion for twelve years that the progressive increase has received a check. The decrease of Rs. 18,000 cannot be taken as evidence that the demand for the previous year was excessive. There is no doubt that the causes noticed in paragraphs 4 and 5 of last year's review as justifying an enhancement of the demand in 1897-98 existed with less force during the year now under report. The special opportunities for profit from speculative dealings in grain, which were afforded during the famine, doubtless ceased to present themselves when prices resumed their normal stability, but, on the other hand, the general prosperity increased. The main decrease in receipts occurred under Part IV (Realizations controlled by Collectors), but it amounted to only one per cent. The initial demand for 1898-99 was Rs. 11,21,911 under this head as against a final demand for 1897-98 of Rs. 10,57.913, but Rs. 75,134 were struck off on objections by assessees. In only two districts, Umballa and Ferozepore, was the initial demand for 1898-99 under Part IV less than the final demand for 1897-98. The proportion of successful objections, 36 per cent., was larger than in the two previous years, but the percentage of actual remissions was only 6.7 as against 8.3 per cent. given for the year 1897-98.
- 3. The net decrease in the final demand under Part IV amounts to Rs. 11,136. There are increases exceeding Rs. 2,000 in the following cases, vis., Rohtak (Rs. 3,956), Kohát (Rs. 2,536), Ludhiána (Rs. 2,300); and decreases of which far the most important is that in Umballa, where the demand was reduced by Rs. 9,617, or 15 per cent. The Financial Commissioner attributes the reduction in this district to the frequent criticisms of higher authority which have led to a revision of assessment. These criticisms did not, however, characterise the demand as too high, but were called forth rather by the circumstance that the Deputy Commissioner did not himself appear to take a sufficient part in the administration of the tax. The demand in Umballa (Rs. 52,968) is now 35 per cent. below the

demand of the year 1894-95. A portion of the reduction (probably not more than Rs. 6,000—vide report for 1897-98, page 16) is due to the transfer of the Thánesar Tahsíl to Karnál, but even allowing for this it would seem that the reductions which have given cumulatively during the past five years and especially in the year under report require further justification than that now offered. Mr. Parker's estimate for the district was Rs. 60,000. In both Jhang and Gujránwála, contrary to expectation, a decrease in the realisatations under Part IV is shown. With the creation of new towns, and the stimulus given to trade by the colonisation operations, an increase ought certainly to be looked for from the Chenab Canal tract.

- 4. Turning now to the figures of demand and collection under the other heads of the tax it is observed that the collections under Part I (Pensions and Salaries) amounted to Rs. 2,80,037 as against Rs. 2,81,656 last year. The greatest decrease in any one district is found in Gurdáspur. This is accounted for by the fact that last year the employés of the Sujánpur and Egerton Mills Companies paid income tax for 1896-97 as well as for 1897-98. The final demand under Part II (Profits of Companies) was Rs. 30,965 as against Rs. 31,858 last year. The figures for the Delhi District show an increase of nearly Rs. 3,000. Increased profits were made by some of the cotton mills in the district during the year. In connection with the demand under Part III (Interest on Securities) the Financial Commissioner calls attention to the loss to Government arising from the fact that in the case of firms not technically definable as "Companies" there is no power to enforce the production of a list of debenture holders. Consequently the latter escape taxation in respect of the debenture interest. Whether the matter is of sufficient importance to call for an amendment of the Act is a point in regard to which the Lieutenant-Governor will be in a better position to express an opinion if the Financial Commissioner will submit the case separately.
- 5. It is unsatisfactory to observe that the outstandings under Part IV amount to Rs. 12,621 as against Rs. 9,613 last year. This is no doubt due to delay in the hearing of objections, and His Honor hopes that the necessity for disposing of these promptly will be duly kept in view.
- 6. The percentage of objections accepted shows a tendency to increase, being 36 per cent. as against 34 per cent. last year, but, as already noticed, the amount remitted shows a diminution. The Lieutenant-Governor agrees with the Financial Commissioner that a high proportion of objections does not in itself indicate an unequal assessment, and this is a consideration which has influenced the foregoing remarks regarding the assessments in Umballa.
- 7. The number of appeals in the Ráwalpindi Division was again very high. In the Siálkot District alone there were 160 appeals, of which 42 per cent. were successful and Rs. 1,237 were remitted. This is a very high percentage, the Provincial average of successful appeals being only 24 per cent.
- 8. The figures given in paragraph 14 of the Report have been noted with interest. Money-lenders contribute 40 per cent. of the total tax under Part IV as against 38 per cent. last year. Under the head Trade, the largest contribution is made by dealers in food.
- 9. In conclusion, I am to convey His Honor's acknowledgments to the Financial Commissioner for the present report, and for the attention which this branch of the administration has received during the past year.

I have, &c., A. H. DIACK,

Revenue and Fint. Secy. to Government, Punjab.

ANALYSIS OF RULINGS AND ORDERS PASSED UNDER THE IN-COME-TAX ACT, II OF 1886, BY THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, THE PUNJAB GOVERNMENT, AND THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB, IN 1898-99.

Section and subject.

Substance of ruling or order.

Reference.

Section 24 .- Deductions allowed on account of income from houses, whether oc-capied by an owner or let to a tenant.

105. Appendix VI.—The deductions to be allowed on account of income from houses, whether occupied by the owner or let to a tenant, are detailed in the amended instructions for filling up Form I printed on page 65 of Appendix III of the Income-Tax Manual, 3rd Edition.

Punjab Government, Financial Department, Notification No. 1606, dated 8th August 1898, Financial Commissioner's No. 5303, dated 8th October 1898.

Section 5 (1) (g) .-Deductions of payments made on account of fire inRule 34 (a) of Appendix XI, is cancelled

Punjab Government, Financial Department, Notification
No. 1606 and letter
No. 1607, dated 8th August 1898. Financial Commissioner's No. 5303, dated 8th October 1898.

tion of Municipal de a so first more word-insurance of delicates by self-occupying owners of houses.

SECTION 24.-Deduc- Rule 108 (c) of Appendix X, is cancelled ...

sioner calls attention to the loss to Govi he case of firms not technically definable as

Ditto ditto.

salary of Military persons employed inregimental offices.

Secrion 3 (5).—Liabil-ity to taxation of the sons employed in regimental offices is not liable to taxation if the salary amount to less than Rs. 500 per mensem, provided that the post occupied be such as "according to ordinary practice is held only by Military persons and not indifferently by Military persons and Civilians." When, however, the post is such as is occupied indifferently by Military persons and Civilians, the salary (i.e., military pay plus office allowances) is liable to taxaction if it amount to more than Rs. 500 per mensem.

Financial Commissioner's No. 626, dated 27th January 1899, to Deputy Commission-er, Dera Ismail Khan.

Section 3 (5).—Ques-tion whether a sum paid in commutation of a concession should be regarded as income.

8(n).-Held by the Financial Commissioner that the sum paid by a Company as commutation of a concession consisting of a percentage of the yearly profits should be treated as capital and not as income. The case is parallel to that of commutation of a pension, in regard to which the Government of India have ruled (vide para. 6, page 56, of the Income-Tax Manual) that the proceeds of the commutation are not liable to income-tax.

Financial Commissioner's No. 6333, dated 29th November 1898, to Commissioner, Delhi.

Section 3 (5),-Income derived by owners of private canals in the Shahpur district from payments made to them for the use of water supplied from the canals for agricultural purposes, is liable.

8 (o).—The income accruing to the owners of private canals in the Shahpur district from payments made to them for the use of water supplied from the canals for irrigation purposes, is liable to income-tax. Held by the Govern-ment of India that the royalty of 4 annas an acre paid by the owners of the canals on the lands irrigated, is of the nature of a payment for the right to use water belonging to the State, and does not operate to exempt the owners of the canals from payment of income-tax on the net profits derived by them from the sale of the water.

Government of India, No. 2932 S. R., dated 4th July 1898. Fin-ancial Commissioner's No. 5580, dated 26th October 1898, to Commissioner, Ráwalpindi.

Section 9 (2) .- Payment of a percentage to a Bank for collecting income-tax on the interest paid through the Bank on a debenture loan.

71 (a).—Question, whether a Bank, through whom the interest on a debenture loan is paid to the debenture-holders, may be allowed a percentage under Section 9 (2) of the Income-Tax Act, by way of remuneration for their trouble in collecting income-tax on the interest. Held that as the interest in question is income under Part IV of the Act, Section 9 (2) does not apply.

Financial Commissioner's No. 2145, dated 14th April 1898. to Commissioner, Delhi.

Spontier and the first control of the same of the same of the same - All of the Thirty of the Control o and the state of the speciment of the state of the state

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE:

Dated LAHORE, 9th August 1899.

FROM

W. MALCOLM HAILEY, ESQUIRE, C.S.,

Junior Secretary to the Financial Commissioner, Punjab,

To

M. W. FENTON, ESQUIRE,

Revenue and Financial Secretary to the Government, Punjab.

SIR,

I am directed to forward the Income Tax Returns and Report for the year 1898-99. The Report last year was full, dealing with the triennial period 1895—98, and the present Report is, therefore, a brief one.

2. In paragraphs 3 and 6 of last year's Report figures were given showing the uninterrupted increase in the income tax receipts from the year 1886-87 onwards. Last year the total collections under all heads were Rs. 13,87,154. During the year under report, the progressive increase has, for the first time in twelve years, received a check, the total collections amounting to Rs. 13,69,053, a falling-off of Rs. 18,101. As the following table, which compares the collections by Collectors and by the Accountant-General during the last three years will show, the falling-off was entirely in the income controlled by Collectors:—

WELL .	0x0,62,11			1896-97.	1897-98.	1898-99.
	Tutor4		18			
	14.7		188.5	Rs.	Rs.	Re.
		y Accountant	-General	2,27,516	2,28,411	2,30,060
Ditto	ditto	Collectors		10,88,655	11,58,820	11,38,993
		Total		13,16,171	13,87,231	13,69,053

There has been a small decrease in the receipts under Part II, but the main falling off has been in those under Part IV. The cause of the decline lies in the fact that the peculiar circumstances alluded to in paragraph 11 of the Report, and paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Government Review for last year, as forcing up the demand for 1897-98, existed with far less force in the year under report. It will be noted that the increase in the final demand controlled by Collectors in 1897-98 amounted to Rs. 69,519: the decrease during the present year amounts only to Rs. 15,001. As will be seen from paragraph 8 in the majority of cases Collectors attempted to re-impose, and even increase, the high assessments which were due to the exceptional gains made during the scarcity of 1896-97. The final demand of 1897-98 under Part IV was Rs. 10,57,913, the initial demand of 1898-99 was Rs. 11,21,911. In only two districts, Umballa and Ferozepore, was the initial demand of 1893-99 less than the final demand of 1897-98. As before, the bulk of the assessment (vide Return V) fell on money-lenders and changers, bankers and grain merchants. It is difficult, if not impossible, to venture a general opinion as to how far the assessments of 1898-99 were justified by the circumstances of the year 1897-98 on which they were based. The only tests on

which we can rely are the proportion of successful objections during the year, and the percentage borne by the total remissions to the initial demand. It will be shown in the course of the Report that while the proportion of successful objections (36 per cent.) was larger than that of the two previous years, the percentage of remissions (6.7) was less than that (viz., 8.3 per cent.) given for 1897-98. At the same time the latter figure was, owing to the unusual enhancement of initial demand in 1897-98, abnormally high, and the percentage of remissions quoted for the year under report is in excess of those of the years preceding 1897-98. On the whole, however, these figures would not seem to be higher than what might have been expected in view of the element of uncertainty introduced into district assessments by the operations of 1897-98, and Mr. Thorburn thinks that there is fair evidence of moderation in the imposition of the tax for the year under report. But he would prefer to defer any definite opinion until the operations in each district are reviewed in detail in the Report for 1900-1901.

Detailed figures for the year under report.

3. The detailed figures of final demand and collection for the year under report are given in the table below:—

The state of the s		Final demand.	Strack off as irre- coverable.	Collections.	Balances.
Income controlled by Collectors.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Tax under Part I		65,944	396	64,488	1,060
Do. II		30,965		30,783	182
Do. III		140		140	
Do. IV	***	10,46,777	1,041	10,34,559	11,177
Total Tax		11,43,826	1,437	11,29,970	12,419
Penalties		2,025	10	1,876	139
Miscellaneous		41	***	41	***
Balance of previous year		9,681	1,270	7,106	1,305
Total income controlled by Collector		11,55,573	2,717	11,38,993	13,863
Collections by Accountant-General			·	2,30,060	***
Grand Total yield of tax			***	13,69,053	***

The figures in the above table referring to income controlled by Collectors are taken from Return No. IV, and the gross total thus obtained can be reconciled with the total shown in Return No. III as follows:—

	Rs.	Rs.
Total collections in the above table		13,69,053
Add—		Unit of London
Refunds ordered which have not been paid Excess recoveries in Karnál, Jullundur and Mont-	796	dil jaronna
gomery	33	+829
Total	***	13,69,882
Deduct-		
Refunds to Government officials made by Accountant.	2,944	
General Sums collected in previous years for the year under	226	
	2,004	
	30	
		-5,204
Collections shown in column 22 of Return No. III		13,64,678

4. The above table gives the total final demand and collections under all heads of the tax controlled by Collectors. In the following table are compared the initial and final demands for the past five years:—

	1894-95.	1895-96.	1896-97.	1897-98.	1898-99.
Demand controlled by Collectors—	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Part I { Initial Final	54,104	58,045	59,436	65,884	65,966
	53,996	58,027	59,429	65,842	65,944
" II { Initial Final	17,658	18,422	34,272	32,335	31,017
	17,658	18,240	34,172	31,858	30,965
" III { Initial Final	520	461	612	2,390	140
	520	461	612	2,390	140
" IV { Initial Final	10,34,203	10,52,572	10,64,738	11,53,927	11,21,911
	9,80,922	9,93,050	9,99,948	10,57,913	10,46,777
Total $\dots \begin{cases} \text{Initial } \dots \\ \text{Final } \dots \end{cases}$	11,06,485	11,29,500	11,59,058	12,54,536	12,19,034
	10,53,096	10,69,778	10,94,161	11,58,003	11,43,826
Fines ordered Penalties ordered Miscellaneous demand Demand on account of balances	1,175 408	1,328 516	1,727	1,651 94	2,028
of previous years	5,523	4,787	4,719	10,826	9,681
Total final demand control- led by Collectors	10,60,202	10,76,409	11,01,055	11,70,574	11,55,57

In the Report for last year reference was made to the continued increase of the difference between the initial and final demand. From the figures given below it will be seen that the difference is, during the year under report, far less than in 1897-98, though it is in excess of the figures of previous years:—

				1894-95.	1895-96.	1896-97.	1897-98.	1898-99.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Initial Final	***	***	***	 11,06,485 10,53,096	11,29,500 10,69,778	11,59,058 10,94,161	12,54,536 11,58,003	12,19,034 11,43,826
	Differ	ence		 53,389	59,722	64,897	96,533	75,208

5. Turning now to the figures of demand and collection under each Part of Schedule II of Act II of 1886, it will be seen that the collections under Part I (Pensions and Salaries) amounted to Rs. 2,80,037 as against Rs. 2,81,656 last year. The portion controlled by Collectors amounted to Rs. 64,488 against Rs. 65,793. The principal variations are as follows:—

					1897-98.	1898-99,	Difference
Umballa	***	 		 	 Rs. 4,432	Rs. 4,946	Rs. +514
Simla	***	 	144	 	 10,687	11,830	+1,143
Lahore		 		 	 10,344	10,835	+491
Gurdáspu	r	 		 	 5,424	3,188	-2,236

The increase in the case of Umballa is not explained in the District Report. That in the case of Simla is said to be due to increased care in assessing the employés maintained by various firms. The increase in Lahore is due to the fact that the incomes of the employés of the Church Mission Society are now taxed in that district. The falling-off in the case of Gurdáspur is explained by the fact that last year's figures included the tax levied on the employés of the Sujánpur and new Egerton Companies for 1896-97 as well as for 1897-98. It was noted in last year's Report that a balance of Rs. 53 only was outstanding at the end of 1897-98 under this Part: during the present year, however, the uncollected balance amounts to no less than Rs. 1,060 (column 21, Return No. IV). The principal outstandings are—

									Rs.
Delhi	***		***	***					225
Simla					***		144	***	347
Lahore	***	***		***			1000		226
Gujránwál	a	***			***	***	***	***	143

The chief cause of non-collection in Delhi is said to have been the delay of a civil Court to whom reference was made. Part of the balance in the case of Simla has since been collected, and the rest is in process of collection. It will be noted, however, that besides the sum returned as outstanding balance, no less than Rs. 406 were struck off as irrecoverable. In the case of Lahore non-collection is said to be due to the fact that some of the tax-payers have left the district. It is obvious that prompt steps for the recovery of the tax under this Part are more necessary than in the case of the tax under any other Part: the assessees are more liable to sudden moves, and seldom leave any property on which distraint can be made.

6. The final demand under Part II (Profits of Companies) was Rs. 30,965 as against Rs. 31,858 last year. There is therefore a slight decrease. The chief variations are as follow:—

				1897-98.	1898-99.	Difference.
Delhi		 		Rs. 5,215	Rs. 7,917	Rs. +2,702
Simla	 	 	***	10,408	9,487	— 921
Gurdáspur	 	 	-	3,405	2,487	— 918
Ráwalpindi		 		10,281	8,687	- 1,594

The considerable increase in Delhi is due to the high rate of profits earned by some of the cotton mills in the district: reduced profits of Woollen Mill and Brewery Companies account for the decrease in the other districts mentioned. There were two objections out of 26 Companies assessed. There is a balance of Rs. 182 in Jhang district due to the fact that an objection preferred by the Company was not disposed of till March 1899. It may be noted in this connection that there are now fifty Companies registered in the Punjab, with a paid-up Capital of Rs. 60 lakhs.

7. Under Part III (Interest on Securities) Rs. 14,511 are reported Detailed figures, Part III. as collected by the Accountant-General on securities of the Government of India, as against Rs. 12,548 last year, while Rs. 140 were collected in Simla as tax on the securities of Local authorities or Companies. The large collection of Rs. 2,390 last year was explained in paragraph 10 of last year's Report and formed the subject of further comment in paragraph 26. The attempt of the Collector to realize the tax from each debenture-holder has almost entirely failed, and it would certainly seem that some amendment of the Act is necessary in order to enable a Collector to arrange for the payment of the tax by a Bank direct, or at least, to obtain a list of the debenture-holders.

S. In paragraph 11 of last year's Report attention was called to the continued increase in the final demand under Part IV. It has already been noted in the course of this Report that the initial demand of 1898-99 was in the majority of districts an advance on the high assessment figures of last year. The final demand of that year was Rs. 10,57,913; the initial demand of 1898-99 was pitched at Rs. 11,21,911, a rise of Rs. 63,998. In Umballa the frequent criticisms of higher authority had led to a complete revision of the assessments, and the initial demand showed a decrease of Rs. 8,398. In Ferozepore the causes which led to the great enhancement of 1897-98 no longer operated in full force, and the initial demand was Rs. 507 less than the final demand of 1897-98. In all other districts the initial demand showed an increase. In Pesháwar the assessment was increased by Rs. 10,373, in Ludhiána by Rs. 6,104, in Rohtak by Rs. 6,061, in Karnál by Rs. 4,077, in Delhi by Rs. 3,998. Other districts follow with smaller increases. The measure of success with which the enhancement was taken in each case will be apparent from the following comparative statement:—

	1		2	3	4	5	6
Name	NAME OF DISTRICT.			Initial de- mand under Part IV for 1898-99.	Difference between final demand of 1897-98 and initial de- mand, 1898-99.	Final demand for 1898-99.	Difference between fina demand for 1898-99 and final demand for 1897-98.
Hissár Rohtak Gurgáon Delhi Karnál Umballa Simla Kángra Hoshiárpur Jullundur Ludhiána Ferozepare Mooltan Jhang Montgomery			Rs. 46,104 39,667 23,533 81,836 50,581 62,585 31,191 16,612 33,919 35,078 40,142 62,209 48,544 25,003 21,899	Rs. 49,106 45,728 25,780 85,834 54,658 54,187 32,254 18,684 35,640 37,109 46,246 61,702 50,911 27,636 23,143	Rs. +3,002 +6,061 +2,247 +3,998 +4,077 -8,398 +1,063 +2,072 +1,721 +2,031 +6,104 -507 +2,633 +1,244	Rs. 47,701 43,623 23,323 79,779 52,561 52,968 31,561 17,045 33,644 34,047 42,442 57,757 49,134 24,341 22,269	Rs. +1,597 +3,956 -210 -2,057 +1,980 -9,617 +370 +433 -275 -1,031 +2,300 -4,452 +590 -662 +370
Lahore Amritsar Gurdáspur Silákot Gujrát Gujrán wála Shahpur Jhelum Ráwalpindi Hazára Peshawar Kohát			59,900 61,221 29,598 54,873 21,224 30,971 16,969 23,175 36,940 8,308 33,284 5,015	60,836 61,347 31,848 57,276 22,410 33,194 17,574 25,099 40,853 8,624 43,657 8,450	$\begin{array}{c} + 936 \\ + 126 \\ + 2,250 \\ + 2,403 \\ + 1,186 \\ + 2,223 \\ + 605 \\ + 1,924 \\ + 3,913 \\ + 316 \\ + 10,373 \\ + 3,435 \end{array}$	57,705 59,288 30,383 54,110 20,700 29,912 16,133 22,586 36,129 8,296 34,464 7,551	- 2,195 - 1,933 + 785 - 763 - 524 - 1,059 - 836 - 589 - 811 - 12 + 1,180 + 2,536
Bannu D. I. Khan D. G. Khan Muzaffargarl			8,417 15,922 14,498 18,695	9,579 18,708 15,143 18,695	+1,162 +2,786 + 645	8,535 15,966 14,583 18,241	+118 +44 +85 -454
	Total		10,57,913	11,21,911	+63,998	10,46,777	- 11,136

In only fourteen cases, therefore, were the figures of 1897-98 maintained after the hearing of the objections of assessees. It is worthy of note that in no one district of the Ráwalpindi division, though in each case an attempt was made to re-impose these figures, were they maintained.

^{9.} As regards the principal variations in final demand disclosed in Part IV.—Variations in column 6 of the preceding statement, the increase in the Grain Demand.

case of Rohtak is said to be due to the profits made by grain merchants, chiefly in the Sampla tahsil. As objections actually decreased

and remissions amounted only to 4.6 per cent., it may be assumed that the re-assessment was well made. The decrease in Delhi is said to be due to the failure of several large trading firms and also to the effects of the plague in Bombay. A considerable increase is, however, expected from the special re-assessment now being undertaken in Delhi city (vide paragraph 5 of the Government Review of last year's Report). The decrease in the case of Umballa is due to the careful re-assessment undertaken by Captain Parsons, already alluded to. The decrease in demand need not, Mr. Thorburn thinks, be regretted in view of the obviously successful nature of the measure. The percentage of objections fell from 41 to 21 per cent., and of remissions from 9.8 to 2.2 per cent. The administration of the tax in Umballa would now seem to be on a sound basis. In Ludhiána the increase fell mainly on bankers and grain-dealers. The objections actually decreased, though the percentage of remissions (8:2) is somewhat above the average. The decrease in the case of Ferozepore, which has already been referred to, would seem to have been inevitable in view of the unusual rise in 1897-98. The decrease in Lahore is attributed partly to a decline in the cotton trade, partly to the departure of members of the Bar, and to other purely local causes. The large increase in Kohat is due to the assessment of profits made by contractors during the late frontier campaign.

Rs. 9,613 last year. The chief outstandings are—in Mooltan Rs. 2,083, Gujrát Rs. 1,728, Delhi Rs. 1,742, Peshawár Rs. 1,440, Jhang Rs. 1,376, Gujránwála Rs. 565. In Mooltan the balance is due to the fact that objections were only finally decided in March 1899, and the same cause seems to be responsible for the outstanding in the case of Gujrát. The balance in Delhi is mainly due from two firms who have appealed against the assessment and whose case is not yet decided. No reason is given for the high balance at Pesháwar; but it is presumed that it was mainly due from contractors. The balance in Jhang is partly due on account of previous years and is mainly due from contractors at Lyallpur But the Financial Commissioner feels it necessary to again call the attention of Collectors to the necessity of the prompt collection of the tax. In many cases non-collection is largely due to delay in the hearing of objections.

11. As was remarked last year, the incidence of the income tax on the Incidence of the tax on poorest class of assessees forms one of our chief tests of the lowest class of assessees. The justice of the district assessment. The table given below will show that the proportion of assessees of the lowest class remains at 44 per cent., a figure which was accepted as satisfactory in the Government Review of last year:—

Assessment under Par	rt IV.	1893-94.	1894-95.	1895-96.	1896-97.	1897-98.	1898-99
	-						
PERCENTAGE OF ASSESSE	ES-	14					
Class I	***	47	47	47	46	44	44
Class II-XV		53	53	53	54	56	56
PERCENTAGE OF TAX PA	10-						
Class I	***	20	*20	26	19.51	17.53	18:14
Class II—XV	***	80	80	80	80-49	82.47	81.86

The Provincial incidence per assessee under Part IV is 24.5 as against 24.9 in 1897-98. There are now nine districts, as against six last year, and twelve in the two previous years, in which the assessees in the lowest class exceed 50 per cent of the total number. The proportion is highest in Kohát 57 per cent.), Kángra and Hazára (55 per cent.), Dera Ismail Khan (54 per cent.), cent.). With the exception of Hoshiárpur, it is probably inevitable that the Financial Commissioner considers that the high proportion in Ludhiána (48 per cent.) and Jullundur (46 per cent.) needs some explanation.

12. The following table, which gives the details relating to the objections filed and remissions granted during the year, is of some importance in connection with the figures given in paragraph 8 above:—

of arbo	Year	des de la companya de		Number of objections per 100 assessees.	Percentage of objections ac- cepted.	Percentage of objections re- jected.	Amount remit- ted by Collect- ors.	Amount remit- ted by Com- missioners.	Total remis-	Percentage of initial demand remitted.
1896-97			***	28	34	66	60,092	4,698	64,790	6.09
1897-98	an edital		***	34	34	66	88,031	7,973	96,004	8.3
1898-99	- E			28	36	64	71,651	3,483	75,134	6.7

It was not to be expected that the percentage of remissions would be as high as in 1897-98, when the total was raised by the unusually high remissions in Ferozepore, Rohtak and Jullundur. But the percentage is somewhat higher than in the years previous to 1897-98, and the proportion of successful objections shows a tendency to increase. The Financial Commissioner is not inclined to regard a high proportion of objections as in itself indicating an unequal assessment. Taken, however, in connection with the figures of remissions, the percentage of objections affords more or less valuable evidence of the nature of the initial demand. The districts in which the amount remitted was above the average are given below:—

								Percentage of remission.	Proportion of objections to assessees.	Proportion of successful objections.
Gurgáon						***		9.5	31.8	49
Kángra							***	8.7	26-3	53
Jullandar		***	***		***	***		8.2	39-6	45
Ludhiána		***	***	4		***		8-2	27.0	35
Jhang				***			***	11.9	46.9	40
Gujránwál	R.	***	***	***		***		9.8	45.2	36
Shahpur		*** 1		***		****		8.1	25.8	38-
Jhelum	***			***	***	***	***	10.0	. 38.8	45
Ráwalpind	i	***	***		***	***	***	11.0	36.3	44
Pesháwar		***	***	***	***	***	***	21.0	37-2	37
Kohát	***	***		***	***		**	10.6	53.4	27
Bannu			***	***	***	646	***	10.8	30.5	51
Dera Ismai	I Khar	Name :		***	***	***	***	14.6	34.6	49

Gurgáon was one of the districts in which the attempt to impose a higher assessment in 1898-99 than in 1897-98 resulted in failure. In Kángra and Jhang there is probably some connection between the high percentage of objections and the number of assessees of the lowest class, viz., 55 and 51 per cent. respectively. With regard to Gujránwála, Shahpur, Jhelum and Ráwalpindi, the Commissioner (Mr. Tupper) has noted that the original assessments do not always seem to have been made with due care. In the case of Pesháwar the reason given for the unusually heavy remissions is that the assessment of the contractors who were taxed on profits made in the frontier campaign involved a good deal of guesswork. It is also said that large remissions had to be made in consequence of the fire which occurred in Pesháwar city at the end of May 1898. It is presumed, however, that the assessments of 1898-99 were based on the profits of the year ending 31st March 1898, and the reason given by the Collector is not therefore clearly understood. The high rate of remission in Kohát is also due to the fact that it was found difficult in the first instance to assess accurately the profits made by contractors in the frontier campaign. The

reason for the remission in Bannu is not explained. Remissions were lowest in Simla (2·1), Umballa (2·2), Muzaffargarh (2·4), and Hissár (2·86).

Figures of appeals.

13. The statistics for appeals are given below:-

	salpa salpa L. In			Divis	ion.			nellik T	20, Too	Number of appeals.	Amount remitted.
								-			Rs.
Delhi									1	126	480
Jallundar			144	***	***	***	***	***		301	200
Lahore	***				***	***	***	***		232	771
Ráwalpind		***	***	***	***	***	***	***		430	1,237
Pesháwar	***	***	***		***	***		177	***	57	563
Deraját	***	***		***	***	***	•••	•••	***	92	232
							Total			1,238	3,483
					Total	for 18	97-98		***	1,605	7,973
Tariba	viii .				Total	for 18	96-97			1,152	4,698

The figures of the year under report show a considerable improvement on those of 1897-98. The total for Ráwalpindi division is, however, still very high, being mainly due to the large number of petitions from Siálkot and Gujránwála. Appeals were highest in Siálkot, where there were 160, with 42 per cent. successful. Gujránwála comes next with 101 (30 per cent. successful), Jhang with 91 (27 per cent. successful), Jullundur with 83 (8 per cent. successful). Appeals were fewest from Mooltan (6), Hissár and Hazára (8), Karnál, Kángra and Amritsar (11). The provincial average of successful appeals is 24.

14. The classification of assesses is given in Return No. V which has Classification of assess been forwarded separately to Government. It will be seen from that Return that of the total tax under Part I, 76 per cent. is contributed by the income from salaries and pensions paid by Government, and about 18 per cent. by the income from salaries paid by Companies. Under the latter head, 16 per cent. of the tax is paid by Managers and 51 per cent. by clerks. Of the tax under Part II, 29 per cent. is paid by Cotton and Wool Spinning Companies, 3 per cent. by Banking Companies, and the rest (with the exception of a small tax by "Press" Companies) by Miscellaneous.

Under Part IV the total is contributed as follows: -(a) Professions 5.7 per cent.; (h) Commerce 73.5 per cent.; (r) Transport .52 per cent.; (d) Trade 18.04 per cent.; (e) Manufactures 2.8 per cent.; (f) Property 4.3 per cent., as compared with last year, commerce shows a slight increase (73 as against 71 per cent.) and trade a small falling-off (13.04 per cent. as against 14). Under the head (a) Professions, Barristers contribute 47 per cent. of the total, and other Legal Practitioners 19 per cent. Medicine contributes 9 and Religion 3 per cent. Head (b) Commerce is somewhat confused with head (d) Trade, it being difficult to distinguish, for instance, between a salt merchant and a dealer in salt. Of the total under head (b) Money-lenders and changers contribute 54.4 per cent. (or 40 per cent. of the total tax under Part IV as against 38 last year), grain merchants contribute 13.1 per cent. (9 per cent. of the whole tax as against 10 per cent. last year), bankers 11.32 per cent. and piece-goods merchants 8.38. Under head (c) Transport, livery stable owners contribute 48 per cent. and hotel and inn-keepers 25 per cent. of the total. Cart and carriage owners contribute 21.5 per cent. Under head (d) Trade, the largest contributors are dealers in food (36.38 per cent.) and dealers in agricultural produce (9.6 per cent). The small contribution of dealers in spirits (1.4 per cent.) will be noted. Under the head (e) Manufactures, sugar-makers contribute 24.6 per cent., distillers 19.7 per cent., metal-workers 17.2 per cent. Under the head (f) Property, the chief contributors are house proprietors (81 per cent.), taxable estate holders (14.3), and newspaper proprie-

- 15. With reference to the remarks contained in paragraph 6 of the Disposal of Objections Government Review of the last year's Report regarding the disposal of Objections by Collectors, it may be noted that in Montgomery (286), Gujrát 1347), Hazára (165), Muzaffargarh (221), all the Objections were heard by the Collector. In Umballa, Mooltan and Jhelum the Collector heard over 70 per cent.: in Hissár, Ludhiána, Amritsar, Siálkot and Kohát over 50 per cent. The lowest figures are, Pesháwar 11 per cent., Lahore 14 per cent., Dera Gházi Khan 18 per cent., Gujránwála and Shahpur 21 and 22 per cent. The Financial Commissioner agrees with the Commissioner of Ráwalpindi that Collectors should endeavour to hear a certain number of Objections of each class, not only those above a certain monetary value. They will thus be better able to judge of the value of the assessments as a whole.
- Rs. 1,651 in 1897-98: in the year under report the total amounted to Rs. 2,025, of which Rs. 1,876 were actually collected. The increase is considerable, but the value of imposing penalties to secure prompt collection was recognized in paragraph 7 of the Government Review of last year's Report. At the same time Mr. Thorburn finds it necessary to call attention to the fact that for the third year running one district—Dera Gházi Khan—has been distinguished by the infliction of unusually high penalties. In 1896-97 they amounted to Rs. 230, in 1897-98 to Rs. 391, and in 1898-99 to Rs. 391 again. The other districts in which penalties ran high were, Ferozepore Rs. 532, Ludhiána Rs. 262, Karnál Rs. 251, Montgomery Rs. 179. The high penalties imposed in Gurdáspur, Ráwalpindi and Lahore last year were not found necessary during the year under report.
- 17. The estimates of final demand for 1899-1900 under Part IV are put at about Rs. 10,59,246, an increase of some Rs. 12,000. A number of districts show a small falling-off: the principal rise is in the case of Lahore (Rs. 67,853 as against Rs. 57,705). The special revision in Delhi and Lahore cities will not apparently take effect until 1900-1901. The estimates of final demand for Gurgáon and Shahpur have not yet been submitted, which would seem to point to undue delay in preparing the assessment lists.
- 18. The charges of the administration of the Act have again been kept within the sanctioned allotment of Rs. 12,000. The main figures, which have been verified by the Accountant-General, are shown below:—

	Yea	ir.		Establish- ment.	Travelling allowance.	Contingen- cies.	Total.
10/11/18 Sanato				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1896-97	 		 	11,227	198	276	11,701
1897-98	 		 	11,028	346	260	11,634
1898-99	 		 	11,269	150	201	11,620

19. Careful reports have been received from Hissár, Umballa, Lahore and Kohát. Mr. Tupper has reviewed very fully the reports coming from the Ráwalpindi Division.

I have, &c.,

W. MALCOLM HAILEY,

Junior Secretary to the Financial Commissioner, Punjab.

APPENDIX A.

Classification of districts in order of income under the Act.

Class A (under Rs. 15,000).

Hazára. Kobát.

Bannu. Dera Gházi Khan.

Class B (Rs. 15,000 to Rs. 20,000).

Kángra. Shahpur.

Dera Ismail Khan, Muzaffargarh.

Class C (Rs. 20,000 to Rs. 25,000).

Gurgáon. Jhang. Jhelum.

Montgomery Gujrát.

Class D (Rs. 25,000 to Rs. 30,000).

Nil.

Class E (Rs. 30,000 to Rs. 40,000).

Hoshiárpur. Jullundur.

Peshá war.

Gurdáspur. Gujránwála.

Class F (over Rs. 40,000).

Hissár. Rohtak. Delhi. Karnál. Umballa. Simla.

Ludhiána. Ferozepore. Mooltan. Lahore. Amritsar. Siálkot.

Jhang has receded from Class D to Class C. Robtak has advanced from Class E

Ráwalpindi.

APPENDIX B.

Showing Rural and Urban Incidence per mille for 1898-99.

				URBAN.			RURAL.	
Distri	RICT.		Population.	Amount of tax.	Incidence per mille.	Population.	Amount of tax.	Incidence per mills.
			ANDE P	Rs.		73819	Rs.	
Hissár			97,705	27,616	282.6	678,301	20,084	29-6
Rohtak		***	100,925	14,844	147-1	489,550	28,779	58-8
Gurgáon			69,364	9,765	140.8	599,634	13,553	22-6
Delhi	***	***	215,593	61,428	284.9	423,096	17,723	41:
Karnál	***		86,440	13,397	154.8	774,720	39,164	50%
Umballa	***		144,180	29,894	207.3	889,445	23,074	25-9
Simla	***		21,602	31,461	1,456.4	23,040	100	4:
Kángra	*	***	16,255	4,430	272.5	737,245	12,615	17:
Hoshiárpur	***	***	71,098	14,453	203.3	940,561	19,191	20%
Jullundur	***		134,449	18,915	140.7	773,134	15,132	19-6
Ludhiána			83,048	23,272	280.2	565,674	19,170	33.9
Ferozepore	***	***	80,921	27,953	345.4	805,755	29,804	37.0
Mooltan	***		80,891	23,352	288-7	550,656	28,583	51.9
Jhang	***	***	41,757	3,660	87.6	400,084	20,863	52.1
Montgomery	***		19,171	3,407	177-7	480,350	18,862	39.3
Lahore	***		228,758	44,659	195-2	846,621	13,046	15.4
Amritsar	***	***	171,143	41,594	243.0	821,554	17,694	21.5
Gurdáspur	***	***	64,474	14,691	227:8	879,448	15,692	17.8
Siálkot			90,365	14,940	165-3	1,029,482	39,170	38.1
Gujrát	***		39,634	5,648	142.5	721,240	15,052	20-9
Gujránwála			77,210	11,575	149-9	612,959	18,337	29.9
Shahpur			52,852	6,537	123-7	440,736	9,596	21.8
Jhelum			40,409	7,134	176.5	568,647	15,452	27.1
Ráwalpindi			97,234	20,001	205.7	789,960	16,128	20.4
Hazára	***		26,904	3,171	117-9	489,384	5,125	10.5
Pesháwar			91,076	21,889	240.3	612,692	12,575	20.5
Kohát	***		27,003	4,124	152-7	168,145	3,427	20.4
Bannu			24,885	3,411	137-1	347,391	5,124	14.7
Dera Ismail Kha	in		44,732	6,778	151.5	441,469	9,188	20:8
Dera Gházi Kha	n		48,383	6,170	127.5	355,648	8,413	23.6
Muzaffargarh			11,668	3,681	315.4	369,381	14,589	39.5

W. 20. 1 40,00

RETURN No. I.

Statement showing the Collections of the Tax under Act II of 1886 from Salaries, Pensions and Gratuities paid by Government in the year 1898-99.

					1		PA	ID BY G	OVERNM	IENT.				
					Sal	aries.		ons and uities.	Gran	tuities.	To	tal.		
Class.		Inco	ME.		Number of assesses.	Amount of tax.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tar.	Number of	Amount of tax.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	INCOME.	Class.
1	*	2			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Rs.	. 500, but le	as th	an Rs. 750	1,157	Rs. 14,386	124	Rs. 2,237		Rs.	1,281	Rs. 16,623	Rs. 500, but less than Rs. 750	
11	23	750	31	,, 1,000	672	12,059	76	2,143		XII-	748	14,202		
111	11	1,000	4	,, 1,250	204	4,286	64	2,203		***	268	6,483		1
tv	"	1,250	**	,, 1,500	168	4,724	34	938		***	202	5,682	,, 1,250 ,, ,, 1,500	1
V	11	1,500	55	,, 1,750	62	1,636	19	671		***	81	2,307	,, 1,500 ,, ,. 1,750	
VI	22	1,750	,	,, 2,000	182	6,613	23	910		***	205	7,523	,, 1,750 ,, ,, 2,000	
			3	Cotal	2,445	43,698	340	9,122	141	/44	2,785	52,820	Total.	
VII	Re.	2,000,but le	ss the	an Rs. 2,500	248	13,045	48	3,348			296	16,393	Rs. 2,000, but less than Rs. 2,500	7
VIII	17	2,500	,	,, 5,000	289	24,045	71	7,515		***	360	31,560		V
IX	13	5,000	**	,, 10,000	220	33,656	9	1,822		***	229	35,478		
X	25	10,000	**	,, 20,000	115	35,864	7	2,043		440	122	37,907	,, 10,000 ,, ,, 20,000	
XI	22	20,000	12	,, 30,000	50	21,903	1	578			51	22,481	,, 20,000 ,, ,, 30,000	
IIX	21	30,000		,, 40,000	18	11,319	***	111		141	18	11,319	,, 30,000 ,, ,, 40,000	2
III	33	40,000	,	,, 50,000	5	5,228	100			***	5	5,228	,, 40,000 ,, ,, 50,000	XI
XIV	35	50,000	,	,,1,00,00	1	2,363	***	***		494	1	2,363	,, 50,000 ,, ,,1,00,000	X
XV	23	1,00,000 and	more				***			***		***	,, 1,00,000 and more	2
			Т	otal	946	1,47,423	136	15,306	1.		1,082	1,62,729	Total.	
1		GRA	ND T	OTAL	3,391	1,91,121	476	24,428	-		3,867	2,15,549	GEAND TOTAL.	

RETURN No. II.

(RULES 14 AND 20.)

Statement showing the Collections of the Tax under Act II of 1886 from Interest paid on Securities in the year 1898-99.

		Securitie Govern of In	nusent	charged i	Debeutures by Parlia- Pevennes of dia.	Local A	ities of athorities upany.	Tot	at.		
CLASS.	RATE OF TAX.	Amount of scentifics.	Amount of tax.	Amount of securities.	Amount of tax.	Amount of scenrities.	Amount of tax.	Amount of securities.	Amount of fax.	RATE OF TAX,	CLASS
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
I to VI	At 4 pies in the rupee	Rs. 5,79,220 3,50,16,287	Rs. 288 14,223	Rs	Rs	Rs 1,00,000	Rs	Rs. 5,79,220 3,51,16,287		At 4 pies in the rupee	I to VI. VII to XV
	Total	3,55,95,507	14,511	*** F		1,00,000	140,3	,58,95,507	14,651	Total.	

		Aunuities po	Pensions, s and Gra- ud by Gov-	Annuities	Pensions, and Gra- d by Local	PANI	BIES, &c., ES, PUBLI RIVATE E	MPLOYER	S AND	Profits	of Com-
		erni	ment.	Autho	ority.	Sention	Tax levie		1 9 (2).	pan	ies.
	AMOUNT OF INCOME. ,			. 1			15 (1).	-	1 5 (2).	of 1	
Class.		Number of assessess	Amount of tax.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of assesses.	Amount of tax.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of assesseer.	Amount of tax.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs. Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.
I	500, but less than 75	0 1,281	16,623	347	3,715	388	3,849	209	1,559	2	20
11	750 ,, ,, 1,00	0 748	14,202	122	1,943	110	1,805	85	1,207		
ш	1,000 ,, ,, 1,25	0 268	6,483	90	1,828	m	2,339	58	1,185	1	26
IA	1,250 ,, ,, 1,50	0 202	5,689	46	1,247	65	1,841	43	1,175	1	28
v	1,500 ,, ,, 1,75	0 81	2,307	13	441	45	1,531	38	1,077		
VI	1,750 ,, ,, 2,00	0 205	7,528	23	872	31	1,179	38	1,034	1	42
		_									
	Total of Classes I to VI	. 2,785	52,820	641	10,046	750	12,544	471	7,237	. 5	116
	Rs. R						THE STATE OF THE S				
VII	2,000, but less than 2,50	0 296	16,393	. 28	1,360	36	2,060	27	1,528		
vIII	2,500 ,, ,, 5,00	0 360	31,560	27	2,344	76	6,333	. 71	5,106	4	317
IX	5,000 ,, , 10,00	229	35,478	7	1,066	27	4,413	29	4,520	5	734
x	10,000 ,, ,, 20,00	122	37,907			1	300	6	1,331	1	469
XI	20,000 ,, ,, 30,00	51	22,481					2	1,060	***	
XII	30,000 ,, ,, 40,60	18	11,319			1	938	1	788	1	839
XIII	40,000 ,, ,, 50,00	5	5,228	1			N+V			2	1,055
XIV	50,000 ,, ,, 1,00,00	1	2,363	i.,		1	1,562	. E. V		2	3,158
xv	1,00,000 and more							-		4	22,003
										100	13 7
	Total of Classes VII to XV	1,082	1,62,729	62	4,770	142	15,606	136	14,333	. 19	28,665
333	GRAND TOTAL	3,867	2,15,549	703	14,816	892	28,150	607	21,570	24	28,781

under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

	1.	9,7												
Interest on Secu- rities.		ources of	Total Co	llections l'ax.				19 and 19 (a).						
Amount of tax.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of assesses,	Amount of tax,	Penalties by Collector.	Fines by Magistrates.	Miscellaneous receipts.	Total of columns 17, 18, 19 and 19 (a).	Refunds.	Net collections.	AMOUN	r of Inc	OME.	Class.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	19 a	20	21	22		23		24
Rs	18,927	Rs. 1,89,287	21,154	Rs. 2,15,053	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs. 2,15,689	Rs. 1,586	Rs. 2,14,103	Rs. 500, bi	it less	Rs.	
	8,088	1,21,151	9,153	1,40,308	374	145	8	1,40,690	640	1,40,050	750 ,,		1,000	0 1
	5,286	1,05,519	5,814	1,17,380	257		3	1,17,640	410	1,17,230	1,000 ,,	, ,	1,250	0 11
	3,104	86,757	3,461	96,730	137		2	96,869	559	96,310	1,250 ,,		1,500	0 17
	1,854	64,855	2,031	70,211	139		2	70,352	366	69,986	1,500 ,,	29	1,75	0 3
,	1,535	64,388	1,833	75,038	58		4	75,100	286	74,814	1,750 ,,	23	2,000	S. Color
*288				288				288		288				
288	38,794	6,31,957	43,446	7,15,008	1,581		39	7,16,628	3,847	7,12,781	Total o	Classes	I to VI.	
			-		_		-							NAME OF THE PARTY
140	1,407	75,003	1,794	96,484	116		1	96,601	719	95,882	Rs. 2,000 but	less tl	Rs.	1 4
	1,753	1,48,001	2,291	1,93,661	84	***		1,93,745	989	1,92,756	2,500 ,,	31	5,000	VIII
	573	95,081	870	1,41,292	161		***	1,41,458	632	1,40,821	5,000 ,,	21	10,000	IX
	149	48,572	279	88,579	***		***	88,579	38	88,541	10,000 ,,	,,	20,000	x
	24	14,171	77	37,712	***	***		37,712	590	37,122	20,000 ,	"	30,000	XI
	8	6,873	29	20,757				20,757		20,757	30,000 "	11	40,000	XII
	6	6,914	13	13,197				13,197	***	13,197	40,000 ,,	23.	50,000	XIII
***	8	13,852	12	20,935				20,935	266	20,675	50,000 ,,		1,00,000	XIV
	1	5,830	5	27,923				27,923		27,923	1,00,000 and m	ore		xv
14,223				14,223		1.		14,223		14,223				HH 7.
14,363	3,929	4,14,297	5,370	6,54,763	361	.,	1 6	,55,125	,228 6,	51,897	Total of Class	es VII to	XV.	
14,651	42,723	10,46,254	48,816 13	3,69,771	1,942 .		40 13,	71,753 7	,075 13,	64,678	GRAND TOTAL			

allotted to any particular class or classes. officer has "verified" all the copies of Return No. III received from districts.

Statement showing the Original and Finol Demands and Uncollected

		Original D	emand.	Revisio Collec		Revisi Commi	on by	Final De	mand.	Penalt Colle	ies by ctor.	Fine Magis	
Divisios.	DISTRICT.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of prtitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.
1	1 (a)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
AT			Rs.		Rs.		Rs.	H1 11	Rs.	Cha	Rs.	IIA	Rs.
DELHI,	Hissár Rohtak	16 213	705 204 407 8,098 584 4,946 11,835	 3	584 4,946		705 204 407 8,098 584 4,946 11,830	36 14 16 213 32 146 248	705 204 407 8,098 584 4,946 11,830				
	Total for Division	705	26,779	5	26,774	***	26,774	705	26,774	58	89		
JULLUNDUR.	Kángra Hoshiárpur Jullundur Ludhiána Ferozepore	34 92	1,625 471 3,565 844 2,256		1,608 471 3,565 844 2,256		1,608 471 3,565 844 2,256	63 26 40 34 86	1,608 471 3,565 844 2,256				200 200 200 200
5	Total for Division	- 251	8,761	3	8,744	***	8,744	249	8,744				
LAHORE.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Lahore Amritsar Gurdáspur	20 20 437 190	1,888 314 358 10,835 4,363 3,188		1,888 314 358 10,835 4,363 3,188		1,888 314 358 10,835 4,363 3,188	86 20 20 437 190 70	1,888 314 358 10,835 4,363 3,188				***
	Total for Division	823	20,946	***	20,946	***	20,946	823	20,946				
RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot Gujrát Gujránwála Sháhpur Jhelum Ráwalpíndi	35 20 28	1,459 550 701 268 474 3,989		1,459 550 701 268 474 3,989		1,459 550 701 268 474 3,989	55 18 35 20 28 107	1,459 550 701 268 474 3,989			111111	000 000 000 000 000 000
	Total for Division	263	7,441		7,441		7,441	263	7,441		')	
PESUAWAR.	Hazára Posháwar Kohát	22 25 14	228 513 192	***	228 513 192	***	228 513 192	22 25 14	228 513 192				
Ed -	Total for Division	61	933	***	933		933	61	933				240
DERAJAT.	Bannu Dera Ismail Khan Dera Gházi Khan Muzaffargarh	12 32 20 13	223 400 315 108		223 400 315 168	***	223 400 315 168	12 32 20 13	223 400 315 168				
51	Total for Division	77	1,106		1,106	***	1,106	77	1,106	1			101
	GRAND TOTAL	2,180	65,966	8	65,944		65,944	2,178	65,944	58	89		***

Balances of amounts due under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

Arrears of pre- vious year.	Miscel- laneous demand.	13, 14 and			Coll	ections.		10				
Amount,	Amount.	Total of columns 9, 11, 14 (a).	Tax,	Penalties,	Fines.	Arrears.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Balance.	Remarks.	District.	DIVINION
14	14 (a)	15	16	17	18	19	19 (a)	20	21	22	23	2
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
art 1,	Schedu	705 204 407 8,098 584 4,946 11,953	705 204 407 7,873 584 4,946 11,087		9			705 204 407 7,873 584 4,946 11,200	***	Rs. 406 struck off	Hissár. Rohtak. Gurgáon. Delhi. Karnál. Umballa. Simla.	DECHI
34		26,897	25,806	7	9	34		25,919	572	Rs. 406 struck off	Total for Division.	
	:: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1,608 471 3,565 844 2,256	1,564 471 3,565 844 2,256	***			***	1,564 471 3,565 844 2,256			Kángra. Hoshiárpur. Jullundur. Ludhiána. Ferozepore.	птттт
		8,744	8,700	***				8,700	44		Total for Division.	
14		1,888 314 372 10,835 4,363 3,188	1,888 314 353 10,609 4,363 3,188			14		1,888 314 367 10,609 4,863 3,188	5		Mooltan. Jhang. Montgomery. Lahore. Amritsar. Gurdáspur.	LAHORE
14		20,960	20,715		-	14		20,729	231		Total for Division.	-
5	***	1,459 550 701 273 474 3,989	1,459 550 558 252 420 3,989	***		5		1,459 550 558 257 420 3,989	 143 16 54		Siálkot. Gujrát. Gujránwála. Shahpur. Jhelum. Ráwalpindi.	RAWALPINDI.
5		7,446	7,228	,		5		7,233	213		Total for Division.	-
		228 513 192	228 513 192	***				228 513 192			Hazára. Pesháwar. Kohát.	PESHAWAR.
		933	933					933			Total for Division.	PE
		223 400 315 168	223 400 315 168	::				223 400 315 168			Bannu. Dera Ismail Khan. Dera Ghází Khan. Muzaffargarh.	DERAJAT.
		1,106	1,106					1,106			Total for Division.	
53	1.	66,086	64,488	79		53		64,620 1,	060 Rs	. 496 struck off	GRAND TOTAL.	

		Original D	emand.	Revision lect			ion by ssioner.	Final De	emand.		ties by ector.	Fina Magn	es by strate.
DIVISION.	DISTRICT.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.
1	1 (a)	2	3	- 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Re
DECHI	Hissár	7 2	7,917		7,917 9,487	404 405 405 405 406 406 407 407	7,917 9,487	7	7,917 9,487		Chapte	r III	Ban
	Total for Division	9	17,404		17,404		17,404	9	17,404		11		
JULLUNDUR.	Kángra Hoshiárpur Jullandar Ludhiána Ferozepore Total for Division	***				200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		 		* * : : *			
	1 ocar for Division			***				***	***				***
LAHORE.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Lahore Amritsar Gurdáspur	6 2 1 4 2	913 234 42 1,222 2,487	1	913 182 42 1,222 2,487	***	913 182 42 1,222 2,487	6 2 1 4 	913 182 42 1,222 2,487				
	Total for Division	15	4,898	1	4,840		4,846	15	4,846				
RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot	1	8,687		8,687		8,687	 	 8,687	11.7		***	000 000 000 000 000
E.			8,687	***	8,687		8,687	1	8,687	***			***
PESHAWAR.	Hazára Pesháwar Kohát		2-2	***	***	***	===	***	200 200 200	+ 117		***	200 200 200
-	Total for Division		***		1								
DERAJAT.	Bannu Dera Ismail Khan Dera Gházi Khan Muzaffargarh		28		28	***	28	1					1111
	Total for Division	1	28	1	28		28	1	28	-	-		
11	GRAND TOTAL	26 . 3	1,017	2	30,065		30,965		30,965				

Balances of amounts due under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

Arrears of pre- vious year.	Miscel- laneous demand.	13, 14 and			Colle	ections.						-
Amount.	Amount.	Total of columns 9, 11, 14 (a).	Tax.	Penalties.	Fines.	Arrears.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Balance.	Remarks.	DISTRICT.	Distance
14	14 (a)	15	16	17	18	19	19(a)	20	21	22	23	2
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
	, Sched	- 115	A.S.	nes.	no.	Avo.	Ito.					
***				. **		***	-10	1.0	***		Hissár. Rohtak.	1.0
111		7,917	7.017	197	111	***	***	7,917	***		Gurgáon. Delhi.	DELET
100			7,917	***		***	***	1,012			Karnál. Umballa.	
***	- 11	9,487	9,487	***		***	***	9,487			Simla.	
	10	17,404	17,404			11,14		17,404			Total for Division.	
				***				1	***		Kángra.	0
110		244	***		100	111	***	***			Hoshiárpar. Juliundur.	lu.
144		***		***	***	***		1	***		Ludhiána. Ferozepore.	LI N
***			12.5	***		***						JULITANDUR
***				***			***			114	Total for Division.	
444		913	913	***	***			913	182		Mooltan. Jhang.	
147		182 42	42	***		***	***	42			Montgomery.	RE
	774	1,222	1,222	***		***	***	1,222			Lahore. Amritsar.	LAHORE
***		2,487	2,487	***	***	***		2,487	**		Gurdáspur.	LA
		4,846	4,664		-			4,664	182		Total for Division.	_
	241			***		*** .					Siálkot.	I.
184			***	***		***	***				Gujrát. Gujránwála.	CND
- 124			200	***	***	***	***		**		Shabpur. Jhelum.	LP
	-11	8,687	8,687	***		***	***	8,687			Ráwalpindí.	RAWALPINDI.
		8,687	8,687	7				8,687			Total for Division.	R
									***		Hazára.	IR.
***	***		***	600	***	***			***		Pesháwar. Kohát.	W.
***				***	***	***	***	1	***		Konat.	PESHAWAR.
											Total for Division.	PE
***							***				Bannu.	
	1.4			411							Dera Ismail Khan,	T.
***	1.1	28	28	***	791	***	***	28	***		Dera Gházi Khan. Muzaffargarh.	A.J.A
												DERAJAT.
		28	28					28			Total for Division.	
		30,965	30,783			1		30.799	189		Cours m.	
	1		30,700	1	***	***		30,783	182	The same of the	GRAND TOTAL.	

		Origina	l Demand.	Revisi Colle	ion by etor.		ion by issioner.	Final 1	Demand.	Pen Co	alties by llector.	Fine Magnet	trate.
DIVISION.	DISTRICT.	Number of assessees,	Amount of tax.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal,	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.
1	1 (a)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		R
											Chapt	er III	
DELBI.	Hissár Rohtak Gurgáon Delhi Karnál Umballa	***											
Q		-						***					-
	Total for Division	411	140		140		140		140				-
JULIUNDUR.	Kangra Hoshiárpur Jullundur Ludhiána Ferozepore	***	**			***				200 200 200 200 200 200			
Jr.	Total for Division		***										
LAHORE.	Mooltan	***		::									10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
	Total for Division		***	***	111	***	***			Ver			-
RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot Gujrát Gujránwála Shabpur Jhelum Ráwalpindi												2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	Total for Division					***		4-1					-
PESHAWAR.	Hazára Pesháwar Kohát		***	***									* 1.4
PE	Total for Division								***			,	-
DERAJAT.	Bannu Dera Ismail Khan Dera Gházi Khan Muzaffargarh	***	***	***			:::::	:::				:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
	Total for Division									***			-
	GRAND TOTAL	110000	7777									-	-

Balances of amounts due under Act 11 of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

Arrears of pre- vious year.	Miscel- laneous demand.	13, 14 and			Coll	ections.		7	100				
Amount.	Amount.	Total of columns 9, 11, 14 (a).	Tax.	Penalties.	Fines,	Arrests.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Balance.		REMARKS	DISTRICT.	Division.
14	14 (a)	15	16	17	18	19	19 (a)	20	21		22	23	- 3
Re.	Bs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				
	, Sched	BENEFICE .				F							
	**************************************		140			***		140				Hissár. Rohtak. Gurgáon. Delhi. Karnál Umballa. Simla.	DECHT
	100	140	140					140			144	Total for Division.	
*** *** *** ***						***		2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00				Kángra. Hoshiárpur. Jullundur. Ludhiána. Ferozepore.	JULIUNDUR.
334	***			***		-		***	***		*	Total for Division.	-
												Mooltan. Jhang. Montgomery. Lahore. Amritsar. Gurdáspur.	LAHORE,
				***							444	Total for Division.	
						::			::			Siálkot. Gujrát. Gujránwála. Shahpur. Jhelum. Ráwalpindi.	RAWALPINDI.
												Total for Division.	
		::					::					Hazára. Pesháwar. Kohát.	PESHAWAR.
												Total for Division.	PE
												Bannu. Dera Ismail Khan. Dera Gházi Khan. Muzaffargarh.	DERAJAT.
												Total for Division.	
		140	140 .					140		-		GRAND TOTAL.	

		Original	Demand.	Revisi Colle			on by ssioner.	Final L	Demand.		lties by lector.	Fine Magis	
DIVISION.	District.	Number of assessees,	Amount of tax.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal,	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal,	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount
	1 (a)	2	3	4	5	- 6	7	8	9	10		12	1:
			Re.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.	r III	R
DELBIL	Hissár Rohtak Gurgáon Delhi Karnál Umballa Simla Total for Division	1,907 1,813 1,308 2,103 2,374 1,860 692	25,780 85,834 54,658 54,187 32,254	185 305 408 416 369 395 155 2,233	43,679 23,345 79,986 52,576 52,983 31,681	8 10 21 34 11 19 23 ———————————————————————————————————	43,623 23,323 79,779 52,561 52,968 31,561	1,883 1,774 1,219 1,992 2,325 1,828 669	43,623 23,323 79,779 52,561 52,968	24 54 1 7	106 251 9 68		
JULIONDOR.	Kángra Hoshiárpur Jullundur Łudhiána Ferozepore	1,006 1,796 1,740 1,953 1,993	35,640 37,109 46,246	265 833 690 528 418	33,697 34,153 42,478	11 75 83 53 79	33,644 34,047 42,442	924 1,673 1,560 1,882 1,946	33,644 34,047 42,442	16 49 42	262	***	
3	Total for Division	8,488	1,99,381	2,734	1,85,135	301	1,84,935	7,985	1,84,935	107	834		
LAHORE.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Lahore Amritsar Gurdáspur	2,259 1,486 1,094 2,060 2,248 1,183	50,911 27,636 23,143 60,836 61,347 31,848	393 698 286 494 254 387	49,144 24,545 22,440 57,957 59,312 30,493	6 91 28 58 11 38	49,134 24,341 22,269 57,705 59,288 30,383	2,176 1,344 1,053 1,950 2,173 1,127	24,341 22,269 57,705	 17 46 9		***	
	Total for Division	10,330	2,55,721	2,512	2,43,891	232	2,43,120	9,823	2,43,120	72	247		-
KAWALFINOI.	Siálkot	2,135 1,156 1,454 943 1,088 1,554	57,276 22,410 33,194 17,574 25,099 40,853	927 347 658 244 423 567	54,816 20,738 30,072 16,176 22,726 36,279	160 28 101 27 55 59	54,110 20,700 29,912 16,133 22,586 36,129	2,025 1,078 1,308 893 963 1,457	20,700 29,912 16,133	1 1	15	***	4 4 4
	Total for Division	. 8,330	1,96,406	3,166	1,80,807	430	1,79,570	7,724	1,79,570	2	25	***	
гвопанан.	Hazára Pesháwar Kohát	477 1,580 337	8,624 43,657 8,450	165 587 180	8,30! 34,623 7,950	8 32 17	8,296 34,464 7,551	454 1,449 306	34,464		5		
LE	Total for Division	2,394	60,731	932	50,874	57	50,311	2,209	50,311	1	5		
DERAJAT.	Bannu Dera Ismail Khan Dera Gházi Khan Muzaffargarh	474 1,043 904 1,054	18,708 15,143	145 361 190 220	16,083 14,633	20 36 25 11	15,966	436 928 867 1,035	15,966 14,583	229	391	211	
DE	Total for Division	3,475	62,125	916	57,557	92	57,325	3,266	57,325	229	391		
	GRAND TOTAL	45,074	11,21,911	12,493	10,50,260	1,238	10,46,777	42,697	10,46,777	497	1,936		1

Balances of amounts due under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

Arrears of pre- vious year,	Misce Lancor deman	45 -9.		1	0	Vallection	1					
Amount.	Amount.	Total of columns 7, 11	Tax.	Penalties		Fines. Arrears.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Balance.	REMARES.	DISTRICT.	Division
14	14 (a)	15	16	13	1	19	19 (a) 20	21	22	23	2
Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs	. R	a. Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ru.			
35 116 256 686	- 117 - 117 - 117	35 23, 79, 1 53, 52, 32,	826 47,7 729 43,6 393 22,9 895 77,4 069 52,3 977 52,7 315 31,1	98 99 18 2 56 81	06 44 9 63	3 5 25	5 3 4 6	1 47,81 43,72 43,72 5 23,06 77,46 1 52,81 52,76 31,65	320 1,745 150 200 551	, 690 struck off. , 100 irrecoverable. , 10 struck off. , 111 do.	Hissár. Rohtak. Gurgáon. Delhi. Karnál. Umballa. Simla.	DECHT.
1,217		37 3,33,	_	-	22		8 3	17,045		Rs. 110 irrecoverable. ,, 811 struck off.	Total for Division. Kangra.	-
58 1,154		33,7 34,0 42,7 59,4	37 33,6 47 33,98 08 42,44	14 34 12 2	40 32 50	4	1	33,725 33,984 42,708 58,912	100	Rs. 12 struck off. ,, 63 do. ,, 83 do.	Hoshiárpur. Jullundur. Ludhiána. Ferozepore.	JULEUNDUR
1,207		1,86,9	80 1,84,52	6 75	2	1,092	4	1,86,374	448	Rs. 158 struck off.	Total for Division.	35
10 1,532 329 148 	170 700 707 707 708 800	49,14 25,85 22,77 57,85 59,28 30,42	98 23,579 77 22,119 96 57,589 88 59,116	9 2 8 13 5 4 3	7	830 261 128		47,051 24,434 22,516 57,756 59,116 30,232	2,083 1,376 246 120 72 196	,, 88 do. ,, 15 do. ,, 20 do. ,, 100 do.	Mooltan. Jhang. Montgomery. Lahore. Amritsar. Gurdáspur.	LAHORE.
2,064	399	2,45,43	2,39,686	200		1,264		2,41,105	4,093	Rs. 233 struck off.	Total for Division.	_
468 788 604 253 65 344	 	54,57 21,50 30,51 16,38 22,65 36,48	3 19,312 6 29,287 6 16,108 1 22,491	15		401 256 462 35 65 324		54,288 19,583 29,749 16,143 22,556 35,991	270 1,728 565 25 95 462	Rs. 20 struck off. ,, 192 do. ,, 202 do. ,, 218 do. ,, 30 do.	Siálkot. Gujrát. Gujránwála. Shabpur. Jhelum. Ráwalpindi.	RAWALPINDI.
2,522		1,82,117	1,76,745	22		1,543		1,78,310	3,145	Rs. 662 struck off.	Total for Division.	B
63 2,403 10	***	8,36 36,867 7,561	33,064	***		63 2,071 10		8,344 35,135 7,506	20 1,440 10	Rs. 292 struck off. ,, 45 irrecoverable.	Hazára. Pesháwar. Kohát.	PESHAWAR.
2,476		52,792	48,836	5		2,144		50,985	1,470	Rs. 292 struck off. ,, 45 irrecoverable.	Total for Division.	PESH
30	***	8,622 15,991 15,004 18,241	14,427	391	: : : :	87 25 30		8,311 15,991 14,848 18,213	311 156 28		Bannu. Dera Ismail Khan. Dera Gházi Khan. Muzaffargarh.	DERAJAT.
142		57,858	56,830	391		142		57,363	495		Total for Division.	D.
9,628	411	0,58,382	10,34,559	1,797		7,053	41 1	0,43,450 1	2,621	Rs. 2,156 struck off, ,, 155 irrecoverable.	GRAND TOTAL.	

(Ru

		Original 1	Demand.	Revisio Colle			ion by ssioner.	Final D	emand.		lties by lector.	Fine: Magist	by trate.
		Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal.	Number of petitions or objections.	Demand after disposal,	Number of assessees.	Amount of tax.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount,
1	1 (a)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		R
OF PROVINCE.	Chapter III A and Part I, Schedule II Chapter III B and Part II, Schedule II Chapter III C and	26	65,966 31,017	8	65,944 30,965		65,944 30,965	2,178	65,944 30,965	58	89	***	
TOTAL OF PR	Chapter III C and Part III, Schedule II Chapter III D and Part IV, Schedule II	327	140	12,493	140 10,50,260	1,238	140 10,46,777	42,697 1	0,46,777	497	1,936		

PROVINCIAL TOTAL

Balances of amounts due under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

Arrears of pre- vious year.	Miscel- laneous Demand.	13, 14 and			Colle	ctions.						
Amount.	Amount.	Total of columns 9, 11, 14 (a).	Tax.	Penalties.	Fines.	Arrears,	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Balance.	Remarks.		
14	14 (a)	15	16	17	18	19	19 (a)	20	21	22	23	2
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
53		66,086	64,488	79	***	53		64,620	1,060	Rs. 406 struck off	Chapter III A and Part I, Schedule	
		30,965	30,783			***		30,783	182		Chapter III B and Part II, Schedule	ST. CO.
(***	140	140			***		140			Chapter III C and Part III, Schedule	1 3
9,628	41	10,58,382	10,34,559	1,797		7,053	41	10,43,450	12,621	Rs. 2,156 struck off, ,, 155 irrecoverable	Chapter III D and Part IV, Schedule	1
9,681	41	11,55,578	11,29,970	1,876		7,106	41	11,38,993	13,863	Rs. 2,562 struck off ,, 155 irrecoverable	PROVINCIAL TOTAL	
-										Return No. III can thus be reconciled with Return No. IV:— Rs. Column 22 of Re- turn No. III 13,64,678		-
										Deduct— 1. Accountan t- General's figures from columns 4 and 13 of Re- turn No. III 2,30,060 2. Refunds order- ed but not paid 3. Sums recover- ed in one dis- trict on account of another 1,971 4. Excess re- coveries made in Karnál, Jul- lundur and Montgomery 33		
										Total 2,32,860		1
										Add — 1. Refunds on account of previous years 2,944 2. Sums recovered in one district on account of an-		
										other 2,001 3. Refunds to Government officials 226 4. Sams collected in previous years for the year under, report 2,004		
										Total 7,175		-



RETURN No. VI.

(RULE 20.)

Statement of Expenditure incurred in the Assessment and Collection of the Tax under Act II of 1886 in the year 1898-99.

1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8
ION.	District.		Establishment.	Travelling allowance.	Contingencies,		District.	
DIVISION.			Estal	Trave	Conti	Total.		
D евен.	Hissár Rohtak Gurgáon Delhi Karnál Umballa Simla		310 306 392 853 266 614 572	 17 14 4 39	 35 26 20 50	310 306 427 896 280 638 661	Hissár. Rohtak. Gurgáon. Delhi. Karnál. Umballa. Simla.	
	Total for Division		3,313	74	131	3,518	Total for Division.	_
JULIUNDUR.	Kángra Hoshiárpur Jullandur Ludhiána Ferozepore		324 313 315 352 390	30	12	324 313 315 394 390	Hoshiárpur. Jullundur. Ludhiána.	
	Total for Division		1,694	30	12	1,736	Total for Division.	
LAHORE.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Lahore Amritsar Gurdáspur Total for Division		390 384 300 943 463 300	16	10	390 384 310 959 471 300	Jhang. Montgomery. Lahore. Amritsar. Gurdáspur.	
RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot Gujrát Gujránwála Shabpur Jhelum Ráwalpindi Total for Division		2,780 300 235 396 240 355 425 1,951	5	18 4 3 6 	238 402 259 358 430	Siálkot. Gujrát. Gujránwála. Shahpur. Jhelum. Ráwalpindi.	0
	Total for Division			24	13			-
LESHAWAR.	Hazára Pesháwar Kohát		80 390 90			390 90	Kohát.	Danne
_	Total for Division		560		5	565	Total for Division.	
L'ERANAI.	Bannu Dera Ismail Khan Dera Gházi Khan Muzaffargarh		120 332 255 264	6	5 12 5	125 350 255 269	Dera Ismail Khan. Dera Gházi Khan. Muzaffargarh.	Dunisia
	Total for Division		971	6	22	999	Total for Division.	
	Pay of Income Tax Cl Financial Commissioner's C	erk, Office	}			{	Pay of Income Tax Clerk. Financial Commissioner's Office	
		-	11,269	150	201	11,620	GRAND TOTAL.	

