

93-94
E17

1893-94

PUNJAB STAMP REPORT,

1893 -1894

11384

WEST PAKISTAN CIVIL SECRETARIAT
LIBRARY COPY

(To be returned to the Library when done with)

E-17

93-94

NOTE

OF THE

ADMINISTRATION OF THE STAMP DEPARTMENT

OF THE

PUNJAB

For the year 1893-94.

Published by Authority.

Price :—Re. 0-12-0.



Lahore:

THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS,
Contractors to the Punjab Government.

1894.

NOTE
ON THE
ADMINISTRATION OF THE STAMP DEPARTMENT
OF THE
PUNJAB

For the year 1893-94.

Published by Authority.



Gahore:
THE "CIVIL AND MILITARY GAZETTE" PRESS,
Contractors to the Punjab Government.

1894.

READ—

Report on the Administration of the Stamp Department for the year 1893-94, with the remarks of the Financial Commissioner thereon, conveyed in his Secretary's letter No. 437, dated the 2nd July 1894.

REMARKS.—The Report reached the Government in good time. The triennial Stamp Report for the three years 1890-91 to 1892-93 was reviewed at length by the Lieutenant-Governor, and Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick desires to make only a few remarks on Mr. G. C. Walker's note on the administration of the Stamp Department during 1893-94.

2. The net income of the year amounted to nearly 39 lakhs and is the largest on record. The receipts exceeded those of 1892-93 by Rs. 24,289, while the expenditure was less by Rs. 11,564. There was a rise of Rs. 1,75,670 under judicial, and a fall of Rs. 1,51,381 under non-judicial, stamps. The year was one of agricultural prosperity and the explanation offered of these fluctuations, namely, that in good years money-lenders sue for the recovery of past debts while their clients contract fewer new debts, is plausible and probably to a great extent correct. It is satisfactory to find that, while the income from the sale of non-judicial stamps as a whole declined, that from receipt stamps continued to increase. Special attention has been drawn during the past year to one class of cases in which the law as regards the use of these stamps is very commonly evaded. The Lieutenant-Governor fears that only a very small number of the cases in which unstamped cheques are issued on native bankers are likely to come to the notice of officers of Government, but when the offence is detected an exemplary fine should be imposed.

3. The number of vendors of Court Fees Stamps in Montgomery has risen from 25 to 43. In the Review of the Stamp Report for the three years ending 1892-93 the excessive number of these vendors in this district was noticed. Probably the returns submitted next year will show an appreciable reduction.

4. The thanks of the Government are due to Messrs. T. G. and G. C. Walker for their efficient administration of the Stamp Department during the year under review.

ORDER.—Ordered, that a copy of the Review, together with a copy of the Report, be forwarded to the Government of India in the Finance and Commerce Department, and that a copy of the Review be forwarded to the Junior Secretary to the Financial Commissioners for communication to the Superintendent of Stamps; also that the Review be published in the *Punjab Government Gazette*.

By order of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant-Governor,

J. M. DOUIE,

Offg. Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

No. 437.

FROM

HARI KISHAN KAUL,

Offg. Junior Secretary to Financial Commissioner, Punjab,

To

H. C. FANSHAWE, ESQUIRE,

Offg. Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab.

Dated the 2nd July 1894.

SIR,

I AM directed by the Financial Commissioner to submit the usual Annual Statements of the Stamp Department, Punjab, for the year 1893-94, with a brief explanatory note by the Superintendent of Stamps.

2. The main point for notice in the administration of the Stamp Department in 1893-94, is, that receipts from the sale of Judicial stamps have increased by Rs. 1,76,523, while receipts from the sale of non-Judicial stamps have fallen by Rs. 1,53,899. The supposed reasons for these fluctuations are stated in the note of the Superintendent of Stamps, and while admitting that it is generally very difficult to ascertain the true reasons for such fluctuations, the Financial Commissioner thinks that the causes assigned by the Superintendent of Stamps are very probably correct. It is believed that the decrease in sale proceeds of non-Judicial stamps is, at all events, not due to any mismanagement or want of supervision in connection with the arrangements for the sale of stamps of this class. On the contrary, an unusual amount of attention has been paid to this subject; and a system is now under trial by which licensed vendors furnish quarterly statements of their transactions to the Collector of the district, in order to enable him to supervise the arrangements more efficiently. There has been an increase in the receipts under the head of one-anna Receipt stamps, and these stamps are now sold by 795 Sub-Postmasters as compared with 708 in the preceding year. The decrease has been under the head of general non-Judicial stamps, or impressed sheets.

3. The gross receipts of the year are the highest on record, being over Rs. 24,000 in excess of those of the preceding year.

4. In pursuance of the remarks made in paragraph 7 of the Government Review of the Triennial Stamp Report submitted last year, regarding proceedings under Chapter IV of the General Stamp Act, a Circular containing instructions to Civil Courts was issued by the Chief Court in November 1893, and the attention of all Revenue Courts has also been directed to the subject by Financial Commissioner's Circular memo. 4 of 15th February last. The discovery of an evasion of the Stamp Law mentioned in paragraph 5 of the Superintendent's Note, was followed by the issue of another Circular No. 9 of November 1893, and the publication of these orders may lead to a further increase of revenue from the sale of Receipt stamps.

5. Mr. T. Gordon Walker performed the duties of Superintendent of Stamps from the beginning of the year till the 6th February 1894, when he proceeded on 6 months' leave. Mr. G. C. Walker has since been officiating in the appointment.

I have, &c.,

HARI KISHAN KAUL,

Offg. Junior Secretary to Financial Commissioner, Punjab.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No. of para.	SUBJECT.	Page.
1	Introductory	1
GENERAL FINANCIAL RESULTS.		
2	Summary of receipts and charges and comparison with the figures of previous years	1
JUDICIAL RECEIPTS.		
3	Details of Court-fee Stamps	2
4	Recoveries in pauper suits	2
NON-JUDICIAL RECEIPTS.		
5	Details of Non-Judicial Stamps	2
6	Hundi paper	3
7	Non-Judicial impressed sheets	3
8	Stamp duty taken in cash and penalties levied	4
CHARGES.		
9	Details of Judicial and Non-Judicial charges	4
MISCELLANEOUS.		
10	Sale of Postage and Telegraph stamps	4
11	Reconcilement of departmental figures with those furnished by the Accountant-General, Punjab	4
12	Miscellaneous	6

APPENDICES.

No.	PARTICULARS.	Page.
A.	Statement showing the receipts and charges and other particulars	i
B.	Statement of collections of stamp duty on pauper suits...	xiv
C.	Statement showing the number of instruments dealt with and the results of proceedings under Chapters III and IV, and of prosecutions under Chapter VIII, Act I of 1879	xviii
D.	Statement showing the arrangements made for the vend of stamps and the discount allowed to vendors	xxiv

NOTE
 ON THE
ADMINISTRATION OF THE STAMP DEPARTMENT
 OF THE
PUNJAB
 For the year 1893-94.

1. Last year's report on the administration of the Stamp Department *Introductory.* embraced the stamp transactions of the three years 1890-91, 1891-92 and 1892-93. The present one, therefore, will be but a brief note on the stamp income and expenditure of the year 1893-94, this being in accordance with the orders of Government conveyed in letter No. 1573, dated the 11th July 1888, from the Junior Secretary to Government, Punjab, to the Secretary to Financial Commissioner.

The usual tabular statements are appended as below :—

Appendix A.—Showing the receipts and charges of the Department under all heads and the arrangements made for the vend of stamps.

Appendix B.—Showing collections and balances of Government dues in pauper suits.

Appendix C.—Showing the number of instruments dealt with and the result of proceedings under Chapters III and IV and the result of prosecutions under Chapter VIII of the Act during 1893-94, and

Appendix D.—Showing the arrangements made for the vend of Court fees and General Stamps and the discount allowed to vendors during 1893-94.

2. The receipts and charges of the year under report are summarized *Summary of receipts and charges and comparison with the figures of previous years.* in the following statement and contrasted with those of the previous years :—

	RECEIPTS.	1892-93.	1893-94.	DIFFERENCE.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Judicial { Sale of stamps Recoveries in pauper suits ... Miscellaneous	25,20,939 6,385 218	26,97,462 5,502 248	+1,76,523 -883 +30
	Total ...	25,27,542	27,03,212	+1,75,670
Non-Judicial	... { Sale of stamps Stamp duty taken in cash ... Penalties Miscellaneous	14,93,248 32,987 11,293 205	13,39,349 36,860 9,797 346	-1,53,899 +3,873 -1,496 +141
	Total ...	15,37,733	13,86,352	-1,51,381
	Grand total receipts ...	40,65,275	40,89,564	+24,289
	CHARGES.			
Judicial { Discount on sales Refunds Miscellaneous Office of Superintendent of Stamps Punjab (share of)	35,173 27,168 9,591 5,281	37,781 23,598 9,154 6,506	+2,608 -3,570 -437 +1,225
	Total ...	77,213	77,039	-174
Non-Judicial	... { Discount on sales Refunds Miscellaneous Office of Superintendent of Stamps Punjab (share of)	56,963 11,968 7,877 3,128	50,594 12,439 7,590 3,231	-6,369 +471 -287 +103
	Total ...	79,936	73,854	-6,082
	Total charges ...	1,57,149	1,50,893	-6,256
	Cost of stamp paper ...	46,737	41,429	-5,308
	Grand total charges ...	2,03,886	1,92,322	-11,564
	Total net revenue ...	38,61,389	38,97,242	+35,853

It may be noticed here that the gross receipts of the year under report are the highest on record; the striking features of the year were the very large increase that took place in the sales of Court-fee stamps and the still larger (in proportion) falling-off in income from Non-Judicial stamps.

3. Every district of the Province showed an improvement under this head on the previous year's sales except the five named herewith (1) Simla (2) Mooltan (3) Jhang (4) Gujrát and (5) Muzaffargarh. The increase was most conspicuous in the following districts:—

	Rs.
1. Jullundur 18,013	
2. Gurdáspur 16,199	
3. Dera Ismaïl Khan 13,762	
4. Pesháwar 11,991	
5. Ferozepore 9,035	
6. Amritsar 8,880	
7. Hissár 8,858	
8. Bannu 8,649	
9. Shahpur 8,520	
10. Kângra 8,200	

In the first named district the increase was attributed by the Collector to an increase in the number of appeals and other miscellaneous litigations. In Pesháwar and some other districts the improvement appears to have been largely due to the institution of a number of suits under Act XXVII of 1860, for certificates for the collection of debts on succession, for probates of wills and letters of administration. Generally speaking, however, the increase was due to the conditions of the year being favourable to the institution of money suits. That is, the cultivators had secured a good harvest; and on the other hand the money-lenders not being able to dispose of their grain stores at the usual rate of profit were naturally anxious to get what cash they could from their debtors. In the previous year the village money-lenders had held their hand for a time, partly on account of the agricultural depression following on the bad rabi harvest of 1892, and partly owing to the general sickness and fever that prevailed during the year. The number of suits instituted in 1893-94 was 2,76,828 or 16,023 more than in the previous year; though the money value of the former was slightly less than that of the latter.

4. The usual particulars of the recoveries in pauper suits will be found in Appendix B. The balance due at the commencement of the year stood at Rs. 30,204: further outstandings amounting to Rs. 16,119 accrued during the year, which brought up the total to Rs. 46,323. Of this sum only Rs. 5,460 was realized, which is less than in previous years. This subject was treated at length in last year's report and there is nothing more to add here. Statement B. shows at least nine districts in which little or nothing comparatively was realized under this head.

5. The realizations from the sale of non-judicial stamps during the year under report were less by Rs. 1,53,899, or 13 per cent. than those of the preceding year. The decrease occurred solely in sales of impressed sheets, as the following figures show:—

	1892-93.	1893-94.	DIFFERENCE.
Adhesive	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Hundi	1,25,014	1,33,969	+8,955
Ordinary	47,919	48,397	+478
Total	13,20,315	11,56,983	-1,63,332
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	14,93,248	13,39,349	-1,53,899

Under the head "Adhesive" are included "One-anna receipt stamps," "Notarial," "Foreign Bill" and "Share-transfer" Stamps. The increase occurred chiefly in sales of one-anna receipt stamps, the income from which continues to expand for the reasons assigned in paragraph 8 of last year's report. The number of Sub Post Masters selling this class of stamps was increased during the year from 708 to 795. As regards

the natural improvement to be expected each year as traders become better acquainted with the law as to the stamping of receipts and recognize more and more the necessity of complying with it, an interesting discovery of wholesale evasion of the law in question was made during the year by pure accident. In the course of the enquiries instituted in connection with the murder of Sawal Singh near Jummu in 1890, it became necessary to prove payment of a Hundī for Rs. 10,000 drawn at Jummu on an Amritsar firm. Mr. Sinclair, Officiating Government Advocate, who was conducting the enquiry, found that the firm on which the Hundī was drawn had been regularly issuing unstamped orders for large sums in favour of third parties on a native banker, who held money in deposit for the firm. Mr. Sinclair brought the matter to the notice of this office : enquiries were made from Amritsar and other districts and it proved that this was a common practice in many large business centres of the Province. A circular was at once issued (No. 9 of 20th November 1893) calling the attention of District Officers to the matter, and it is to be hoped that the discontinuance of this illegal practice will be evidenced during the current year by a considerable improvement in the sales of receipt stamps.

6. The recovery of income made under this head in the year 1891-92 and referred to in paragraph 10 of last year's report has

Hundī paper. continued unbroken ; the realizations have now remained steady at Rs. 48,000 or thereabouts for three years. The districts of Hissár, Dera Ismail Khan, Mooltan and Peshawar show an improvement said to be due to increase of business arising out of favourable harvests ; while those of Amritsar, Rawalpindi, Ferozepore and Delhi show a falling off due probably to accidental slackness of business.

7. The revenue from sale of Non-Judicial impressed sheets in the year

Non-Judicial Impressed sheets. under report amounting to Rs. 11,56,983 shows a falling off of Rs. 1,63,332, or a little over 12 per cent. on the realizations of the previous year. The income under this head prior to the year 1887-88 ranged well below 10 lakhs. In the last named year there was a sudden jump up of Rs. 1,34,123 or 13½ per cent. increase on the income of 1886-87, which was attributed by the District Officers to bad harvests, depressed agriculture and consequent increased resort on the part of the people to money-lenders for loans. The Superintendent of Stamps, however, preferred to believe that the rise was indicative of a general advancement of the Province in material prosperity. The realizations of the next five years for ordinary impressed stamps varied roughly speaking between Rs. 11,20,000 and Rs. 12,10,000, averaging nearly Rs. 11,52,000, which is very little below the income of the year at present under consideration. No doubt the latter has been an unusually favourable year for agriculturists, and the large falling off there has been also in the number of documents presented for registration lends colour to the view that the people having plenty of grain and fodder, and being hardly as yet touched seriously by the low prices now ruling, have been able to keep clear of dealings with the money-lenders : on the other hand the conclusion to be drawn from the figures of past years is not so much that the income from sales of impressed sheets in the year under report was specially low, but rather that the income from that head in the year 1892-93 was abnormally high, and the reasons for it being so high were partly indicated in paragraph 11 of last year's report. The districts in which the falling off of income under consideration was most remarkable are stated below :—

	Decrease.
	Rs.
Sialkot	17,153
Hoshiarpur	14,401
Jullundur	13,270
Lahore	13,237
Gujranwala	12,566
Gujrat	10,488
Jhelum	9,714
Gurdaspur	9,712
Amritsar	8,554
Dera Ismail Khan	8,520
Mooltan	7,429
Ferozepore	7,296

8. Of the total amount realized under this head, viz., Rs. 36,860 as *Stamp duty taken in cash and penalties levied.* against Rs. 32,987 during the preceding year, Rs. 31,985 was on account of documents stamped and skeleton forms impressed in this office with the usual one-anna colored impressions as compared with Rs. 29,780 realized under this head in 1892-93.

The details of these documents are as follows:—

	1892-93	1893-94.
Number of documents stamped with impressed labels	12,562	9,796
Number of skeleton forms stamped with one-anna colored impressions	163,842	168,923

The penalties levied under sections 34 and 37 of the Act amounted to Rs. 9,797 compared with Rs. 11,293 during the previous year. In view of the fact that columns 7, 8, 9 and 10 of Appendix C show an increase in the number of documents impounded and dealt with by the Courts and by Collectors, the explanation for the decline in the amount of penalties realized appears to be (1) that the bulk of the instruments impounded were perhaps liable to comparatively low stamp duty, and (2) that Collectors in the exercise of the powers vested in them by section 37 (b) of the Stamp Act showed greater leniency in exacting penalties.

9. The total charges incurred during the year (excluding cost of stamp *Details of Judicial and Non-Judicial Charges.* paper) were Rs. 1,50,893 compared with Rs. 1,57,149 in 1892-93. The chief decreases have occurred under the following heads:—

	<i>Decrease.</i>
	Rs.
1. Refund of stamp duty on appeal or review of judgment ...	3,252
2. Discount on sale of non-judicial stamps	6,369

The principal increases being under—

	<i>Increase.</i>
	Rs.
1. Discount on sale of Court-fee stamps	2,608
2. Refunds of value of stamps under sections 51, 52 and 54 of Act I of 1879	2,033

10. The following figures show that there has been a satisfactory increase in the sale of Postage stamps during the past year. The sale of Telegraph stamps show a slight decline compared with the results of the preceding year:—

	1892-93.	1893-94.	INCREASE.
Postage ... {	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	10,11,272	10,77,680	+ 66,408
Service ...	4,78,690	5,00,056	+ 21,366
Telegraph ...	3,07,248	3,02,934	- 4,314

I am not in a position to explain the causes of these fluctuations; they will no doubt be noticed in the reports of the heads of the Postal and Telegraph Departments.

11. The departmental figures of both income and expenditure were as usual compared month by month with the memoranda of treasury credits and statements of stamp charges furnished by the Accountant-General and all differences were reconciled. The misclassifications shown in the table below

occurred during the months of February and March 1894 and are briefly explained :—

INCOME.

Heads of Income.	District figures.	Accountant-General's figures.		DIFFERENCE.	
		Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.
1. One-anna receipt stamps	1,18,243	1,18,239	0 0	-4	0 0
2. Bills of exchange or Hundi stamps	48,397	48,399	2 0	+2	2 0
3. Other general stamps	11,72,709	11,72,657	0 0	-52	0 0
4. Court-fee stamps	26,96,972	26,97,465	7 0	+493	7 0
5. Other items including stamp duties realized... in cash, penalties and miscellaneous ... }	47,249	47,303	14 4	+54	14 4
6. Cash recoveries on account of pauper suits ...	5,502	5,505	13 0	+3	13 0
Total ...	40,89,072	40,89,570	4 4	+498	4 4

(1). Difference due to the fact that fractions of a rupee over 8 annas are reckoned as a rupee in the departmental returns, and those below 8 annas are omitted altogether.

(2). As above.

(3). After adjustments have been made under this head, about which Accountant-General has been addressed, the departmental figures will remain Rs. 11 short of those shown by the Accountant-General, which may be ascribed to the reason given in (1).

(4). The explanation of the difference of Rs. 493-7-0 more shown by Accountant-General under this head is that Court-fee stamps to the value of Rs. 490 were sold to the Resident in Kashmir. This amount is included in Accountant-General's figures but not in those departmentally communicated. Excluding this item therefore the total difference is reduced to Rs. 3-7-0 only and is due to fractions of a rupee being excluded as above in (1).

(5). The Accountant-General has been addressed about making certain adjustments under this head in his final accounts for the year, and when this is done the departmental receipts will remain in excess of Accountant-General's figures by Rs. 10-1-8, which is ascribable to the reason assigned in (1).

(6). Under this head also after the adjustments have been made the difference remaining will be annas thirteen only.

The differences under the head of expenditure are as follows :—

Heads of Expenditure.	District figures.	Accountant-General's figures.		DIFFERENCE.	
		Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.
1. Discount on General stamps	50,594	50,587	4 4	-6	11 8
2. " on Court-fee stamps	37,781	37,773	11 8	-7	4 4
3. District contingencies and postage, &c.,	16,744	16,747	15 8	+3	15 8
4. { Special establishment sanctioned for Hoshiárpur and Jullundur districts	300	0 0	+300	0 0

The differences under (1), (2) and (3) call for no remarks. That under (4) is due to the fact that no column has been provided in the departmental forms of expenditure showing cost of the special establishment sanctioned in the Hoshiárpur and Jullundur districts for punching stamps.

12. Mr. T. Gordon Walker held charge of the office of Superintendent of Stamps until the 6th of February when he proceeded on *Miscellaneous.* 6 months' special leave. During the year he inspected 5 district and 16 tahsîl stamp depôts, while the Assistant M. Hari Chand inspected 13 district and 37 branch depôts. I took charge of the office on the 20th of February and was able to inspect 6 district and 5 tahsîl depôts before the close of the year. No stamp frauds came to light during the year. Notice of the vend arrangements and other like matters may as usual be reserved for the next triennial report.

G. C. WALKER,

Officiating Superintendent of Stamps.

OFFICE OF SUPDT. OF STAMPS, PUNJAB,

Dated the 5th June 1894.

}

APPENDICES.

APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUNJAB STAMP DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94.

RAWALPINDI.

Siālkot	1,54,997	1,55,147	263	440	...	2	1,55,260	1,55,589	6,343	6,366	11	11	146	86	269	896	82,196	65,043	58,965	71,901
Gujrāt	77,697	73,294	15	93	3	...	77,715	73,387	1,993	1,981	51	40	14	31	47,624	37,136	49,082	39,188
Gujrānwāla	1,00,661	1,03,906	262	90	3	8	1,00,926	1,04,004	5,169	5,530	37	129	114	143	47,199	34,638	52,519	40,438
Shahpur	44,323	52,843	127	258	2	2	44,452	53,103	2,402	2,466	340	400	1,713	1,551	30,317	25,744	34,772	30,161
Jhelum	57,239	64,208	689	277	57,928	64,485	2,309	2,117	10	29	455	430	35,792	26,078	38,566	28,654
Rāwalpindi	1,12,586	1,18,238	799	76	...	22	1,13,335	1,18,336	8,163	7,997	349	307	6	4	...	17	3,143	2,597	60,272	53,491	71,033	64,413

Total

5,47,453 5,67,636 2,155 1,234 8 34 5,49,616 5,68,904 26,379 26,457 798 916 152 90 ...

17 5,708 5,150 3,03,400 2,42,125 3,36,487 2,74,755

PESHAWAR.

Hazāra	25,043	28,378	455	39	2	2	25,500	28,419	1,380	1,303	426	443	7	5	14,629	13,608	16,442	15,359
Peshāwar	68,976	80,967	85	104	69,061	81,071	2,880	2,931	592	690	1,342	1,509	50,382	49,700	55,196	54,839
Kohāt...	17,894	20,983	82	204	17,976	21,187	634	698	100	158	47	10	5,438	5,963	6,228	6,829

Total

1,11,913 1,30,328 622 347 2 2 1,12,537 1,30,677 4,894 4,932 1,127 1,300 ...

...

1,396 1,524 70,449 69,271 77,866 77,027

DERJĀT.

Bannu	42,504	51,153	465	414	3	1	42,972	51,568	984	1,089	473	560	217	220	28,539	23,225	30,213	25,094
Dera Ismail Khan	49,659	63,421	114	250	4	8	49,777	63,674	2,421	2,618	380	388	312	841	42,622	34,102	45,735	37,949
Dera Ghāzi Khan	36,701	43,077	109	21	3	2	36,903	43,100	3,371	3,718	430	586	212	134	25,656	23,703	29,669	28,141
Muzaffargarh	40,162	40,014	10	1	40,172	40,015	1,806	1,705	104	78	4	31,452	24,140	33,362	25,927	

Total

1,69,116 1,97,665 698 686 10 6 1,69,824 1,98,357 8,582 9,130 1,387 1,612 ...

...

741 1,199 1,28,269 1,05,176 1,38,979 1,17,111

Received from the Resident in Kashmir and from Ladākh.

85 490 2 85 *402

Received from the Kurram Valley ...

... ... 372 372

Received from Jabalpur

... ... 120 120

GRAND TOTAL 25,20,930 26,97,462 6,385 5,502 218 248 25,27,542 27,03,212 1,12,242 1,18,243 5,097 5,867 7,305 9,100 370 750 47,919 48,397 13,20,315 11,56,983 14,93,218 13,39,349

* Of this amount Rs. 400 was received from Kashmir and Rs. 2 from Ladākh.

III:

APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUNJAB STAMP DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94—continued.

RAWALPINDI.	Sialkot	26	17	192	9	170	315	210	5	35	...	15	89,503	72,357	2,44,763	2,27,946
	Gujrat	4	8	10	42	90	5	...	2	12	49,745	39,298	1,27,460	1,12,085
	Gujranwala	38	25	...	5	450	300	...	10	1	4	53,008	40,782	1,53,934	1,44,786
	Shahpur	146	46	...	20	20	1,073	1,405	520	...	25	1	1	36,344	31,827	80,796	84,930	
	Jhelum	15	30	1	2	5	2	560	570	...	140	278	10	...	7	14	72,777	66,468	1,86,112	94,048
	Rawalpindi	57	50	98	1,349	...	682	642
	Total	286	176	203	16	5	22	678	3,162	...	3,034	2,046	20	70	12	48	3,40,675	2,80,295	8,90,291	8,49,199
PESHAWAR.	Hazarra	49	87	...	33	597	1,145	...	19	2	1	17,000	16,644	42,500	45,063
	Peshawar	71	33	155	13	...	546	241	...	5	55,973	55,126	1,25,034	1,36,197
	Kohat	1	18	20	190	5	6,254	7,037	24,230	28,224
	Total	121	138	155	33	13	...	1,163	1,576	5	19	7	1	79,317	78,807	1,91,854	2,09,484
DERA JAT.	Bannu	3	2	40	30	3	1	30,259	25,127	73,231	76,695
	Dera Ismail Khan	5	11	...	12	55	60	...	28	5	3	45,800	38,063	95,577	1,01,737
	Dera Ghazi Khan	41	12	75	105	2	4	29,787	28,262	66,690	71,362
	Muzaffargarh	2	5	1	15	60	5	33,385	25,992	73,557	66,007
	Total	51	30	1	12	185	255	5	28	10	8	1,39,231	1,17,444	3,09,055	3,15,801
Received from the Resident in Kashmir and from Ladakh																				85 492 4
Received from the Kurram Valley																				243 ... 615 ...
Received from Jabalpur																				120 ...
GRAND TOTAL																				1,004 781 1,782 3,443 418 476 29,780 31,985 3 175 10,582 9,201 711 596 205 346 15,37,733 13,96,352 40,65,275 40,89,564

APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUNJAB STAMP DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94—continued.

		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34						
		CHARGES.																
		JUDICIAL.												NON-JUDICIAL.				
DISTRICT.	Division.	Discount on sale of Court-fee stamps.	Commissions to Sheriff in pauper suits.	On appeal or review of judgment under Act VII of 1870.	Refunds of stamp duty.	On stamps not required for use and spoiled stamps under G. I., Finl. Resolution No. 132, dated 11th January 1888.	Miscellaneous.	Share of cost of office of Superintendent of Stamps.	Cost of Stamp paper.	Total Judicial.	Discount on sale of Stamps.	Of penalties under Sections 36 and 42 of Act I of 1879.	Refunds.	Of value of spoilt and misused stamps and of stamps not required for use under Sections 51, 52 and 54 of Act I of 1879.				
Hissár		Rs. 836	Rs. 967	Rs. ...	Rs. 332	Rs. 137	Rs. 238	Rs. 151	Rs. 427	Rs. 509	Rs. ...	Rs. 1,833	Rs. 1,764	Rs. 884	Rs. 965	Rs. 108	Rs. 221	
Rohtak		655	738	2	301	227	237	129	214	243	...	1,409	1,337	585	550	109	63	
Gurgáon		427	449	...	150	218	16	88	63	141	...	656	896	347	350	51	14	
Delhi		1,380	1,393	11	2,643	539	975	418	73	60	...	5,082	2,410	2,551	2,689	623	978	
Karnál		576	648	5	321	370	...	133	232	282	...	1,129	1,438	696	691	45	412	
Umballa		1,738	1,823	...	651	1,205	1,567	610	812	224	...	4,268	3,862	2,198	2,333	705	397	
Simla		244	276	...	135	1,016	145	142	164	200	...	688	1,634	476	452	101	360	
	Total	5,856	6,294	13	5	4,533	3,712	3,178	1,671	1,485	1,659	...	15,065	13,341	7,737	8,030	1,742	2,445
Kánpur		869	997	2	...	56	128	182	133	211	256	...	1,320	1,514	1,038	1,079
Hoshiárpur		2,046	2,165	...	539	397	1,335	180	780	408	...	4,700	3,150	3,765	3,112	129	46	
Jullundur		1,944	2,179	3	647	329	325	380	713	500	...	3,632	3,390	3,417	2,891	489	353	
Ludhiána		1,394	1,473	14	441	245	417	80	270	292	“	2,536	2,097	2,243	2,063	652	714	
Ferozepore		1,687	1,818	...	993	1,202	117	387	279	424	...	3,076	3,831	2,605	2,315	634	575	
	Total	7,940	8,632	19	9	2,676	2,301	2,376	1,160	2,253	1,880	...	15,264	13,982	13,068	11,460	146	35
Mooltan		1,063	1,058	3	5	596	548	202	487	355	435	...	2,219	2,533	1,484	1,402
Jhang		716	699	105	212	19	123	16	18	...	856	1,052	966	855	747	1,032
Montgomery		437	526	...	492	144	75	244	279	184	...	1,283	1,098	534	496	106	88	
Lahore		2,802	2,986	3	...	576	740	731	1,053	526	321	...	4,728	5,100	4,280	3,779	17	63
Amritsar		2,523	2,660	8	3	1,718	698	1,695	1,473	365	340	...	6,309	5,174	4,508	4,132	1,158	1,797
Gurdáspur		1,699	1,950	...	4	382	300	110	68	245	281	...	2,436	2,603	3,127	2,711	490	1,049
	Total	9,330	9,879	14	12	3,869	2,642	2,832	3,448	1,786	1,579	...	17,831	17,560	14,899	13,375	271	253
		2,789	4,282	

RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot	2,293	2,330	3	693	249	391	275	714	838	4,094	3,692	3,582	2,775	100	50	689	858
	Gujrát	1,180	1,135	...	451	327	...	47	200	160	1,831	1,669	2,032	1,594	207
	Gujránwála	1,511	1,566	...	373	341	324	52	581	914	2,789	2,873	1,970	1,550	243	159
	Shahpur	645	780	...	721	920	26	107	532	556	1,924	2,363	1,225	1,069	1,416	15	40	34
	Jhelum	836	905	13	5	729	412	352	394	205	177	2,135	1,893	1,439	1,078	183	195
	Ráwálpindi	1,548	1,580	9	...	527	1,056	31	851	834	222	2,949	3,709	2,814	2,441	1,415	509
	Total	8,013	8,296	25	5	3,494	3,305	1,124	1,726	3,066	2,867	15,722	16,199	13,062	10,516	1,516	65	2,570	1,962
PEHAWAR.	Hazára	386	443	9	...	104	61	78	186	58	89	635	779	631	604	99	60
	Pesháwar	915	1,081	543	175	393	606	379	412	2,230	2,274	1,825	1,782	501	448
	Kohát	254	299	...	5	147	65	...	61	83	105	484	535	211	207	42	107
	Total	1,555	1,823	9	5	794	301	471	853	520	606	3,349	3,588	2,667	2,598	642	615
	Baunu	632	763	9	6	722	586	172	456	158	219	1,693	2,030	1,072	899	112	198
	Dera Ismail Khan	693	865	261	174	80	356	120	114	1,154	1,509	1,859	1,531	140	311
	Dera Gházi Khan	548	629	2	...	288	474	53	286	60	100	951	1,489	1,174	1,109	258	450
DERAJAT.	Muzaffargarh	606	600	236	126	9	21	52	88	903	835	1,425	1,081	37	42
	Total	2,479	2,857	11	6	1,507	1,360	314	1,119	390	521	4,701	5,863	5,530	4,620	547	1,001
	Cost of stamp paper
	Share of cost of office of Superintendent of Stamps.	5,281	6,506
	GRAND TOTAL	35,173	37,781	91	42	16,873	13,621	10,295	9,977	9,500	9,112	5,281	6,506	29,211	27,620	1,06,424	1,04,659	56,963	50,594	1,662	100	10,806

APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUNJAB STAMP DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94—continued.

DISTRICT.		CHARGES—concluded										NET REVENUE.					
		NON-JUDICIAL—concluded.										Judicial.		Non-Judicial.		Total.	
		Miscellaneous.	Share of cost of office of Superintendent of Stamps.	Cost of Stamp paper.	Total Non-Judicial.		Grand total charges.		Judicial.		Non-Judicial.		Total.		Total.		Total.
DELHI.	Division.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	1893-94. Rs.	1892-93. Rs.	
Hissár	...	427	509	1,419	1,695	3,252	3,459	57,045	65,970	24,454	27,224	81,499	98,194	111A	111A
Rohtak	...	82	79	776	692	2,185	2,029	43,079	50,062	17,106	16,339	60,185	66,401		
Gurgáon	...	63	141	461	505	1,117	1,401	30,803	31,385	9,605	9,484	40,408	40,869		
Delhi	470	488	3,644	4,155	8,726	6,565	1,17,640	1,22,551	71,413	73,891	1,80,053	1,96,442		
Karnál	...	232	232	973	1,385	2,102	2,823	41,842	46,218	18,254	18,406	60,096	64,624		
Umballa	...	312	223	3,215	2,953	7,483	6,815	1,19,469	1,27,244	52,572	57,077	1,72,041	1,84,321		
Simla	...	141	161	718	973	1,406	2,607	28,289	23,961	15,183	12,997	43,472	36,958		
Total		1,727	1,883	11,206	12,358	26,271	25,699	4,38,167	4,67,391	2,08,587	2,15,418	6,46,754	6,82,809		
Kánpur		424	509	1,591	1,634	2,911	3,148	57,136	65,073	27,599	28,163	84,735	93,236		
Hoshiárpur		345	408	4,599	3,873	9,219	7,023	1,33,114	1,42,047	84,573	71,099	2,17,687	2,13,146		
Jullundur		494	443	4,563	4,048	8,195	7,438	1,25,016	1,43,219	81,963	69,171	2,06,979	2,12,390		
Ludhiána		273	211	3,150	2,849	5,686	4,946	90,562	98,778	58,446	54,965	1,49,008	1,53,743		
Ferozepore		279	424	3,142	3,120	6,218	6,951	1,15,682	1,24,161	66,560	59,943	1,82,242	1,84,104		
Total		1,815	1,905	17,045	15,524	32,309	29,506	5,21,510	5,73,278	3,19,141	2,88,341	8,40,651	8,56,619		
Mooltan		206	243	2,437	2,677	4,656	5,210	77,188	73,635	43,511	39,608	1,20,699	1,13,243		
Jhang		48	60	1,120	1,003	1,976	2,055	45,320	44,879	23,652	20,345	68,972	65,224		
Montgomery		141	126	692	685	1,975	1,783	29,525	36,216	13,159	12,369	42,684	48,585		
Lahore		680	393	6,118	5,969	10,846	11,069	2,49,535	2,52,955	1,36,868	1,22,747	3,86,403	3,75,702		
Amritsar		267	191	5,265	5,372	11,574	10,546	1,75,709	1,85,402	1,10,699	1,03,106	2,86,408	2,88,508		
Gurdáspur		136	160	3,534	3,124	5,970	5,727	1,09,874	1,26,143	75,233	66,160	1,85,107	1,92,303		
Total		3,673	1,173	19,166	18,830	36,997	36,990	6,87,151	7,19,270	4,68,129	4,64,285	10,00,923	10,82,565		

RAWALPINDI.	Siálkot	400	600	4,771	4,283	8,865	7,975	1,51,166	1,51,897	84,732	68,074	2,35,898	2,19,971		
	Gujrát	134	100	2,166	1,901	3,997	3,570	75,884	71,718	47,579	37,397	1,23,463	1,09,115		
	Gujránwála	290	300	2,503	2,018	5,292	4,891	98,137	1,01,131	50,505	38,764	1,48,642	1,39,895		
	Shahpur	416	328	3,097	1,446	5,021	3,809	42,528	50,740	33,247	30,381	75,775	81,121		
	Jhelum	139	82	1,761	1,355	3,896	3,248	55,793	62,592	37,537	28,208	93,330	90,800		
	Ráwalpindi	536	125	4,765	3,075	7,714	6,784	1,10,386	1,14,627	68,012	63,393	1,78,398	1,78,020		
	Total	1,915	1,535	19,063	14,078	34,785	30,277	5,33,894	5,52,705	3,21,612	2,66,217	8,55,506	8,18,922		
PESHAWAR.	Hazára	57	90	787	754	1,422	1,533	24,865	27,640	16,303	15,890	41,168	43,530		
	Peshawar	350	300	2,676	2,530	4,906	4,804	66,831	78,797	53,297	52,596	1,20,128	1,31,393		
	Kohát	45	35	298	349	782	884	17,492	20,652	5,956	6,688	23,448	27,340		
	Total	452	425	3,761	3,633	7,110	7,221	1,09,188	1,27,089	75,556	75,174	1,84,744	2,02,263		
	Bannu	158	220	1,342	1,317	3,035	3,347	41,279	49,538	28,917	23,810	70,196	73,348		
DERAJAT.	Dera Ismail Khan	180	171	2,179	2,013	3,333	3,522	48,623	62,165	43,621	36,050	92,244	98,215		
	Dera Gházi Khan	100	100	1,532	1,659	2,483	3,148	35,952	41,611	28,255	26,603	64,207	68,214		
	Muzaffargarh	52	88	1,514	1,211	2,417	2,046	39,269	39,180	31,871	24,781	71,140	63,961		
	Total	490	579	6,567	6,200	11,268	12,063	1,65,123	1,92,494	1,32,664	1,11,244	2,97,787	3,03,738		
Received from the Resident in Kashmír and from Ladákh					+ 85	+ 492	+ 85	+ 492		
Received from the Kurram Valley					+ 372	...	+ 243	...	+ 615	...		
Received from Jabalpur					120	120	...		
Cost of stamp paper					+ 17,526	+ 13,809	+ 17,526	+ 13,809	+ 46,737	+ 41,429	- 29,211	- 27,620	- 17,526	- 13,809	- 46,737	- 41,429
Share of cost of office of Superintendent of Stamps					+ 3,128	+ 3,231	+ 3,128	+ 3,231	+ 8,409	+ 9,737	- 5,281	- 6,506	- 3,128	- 3,231	- 8,409	- 9,737		
GRAND TOTAL					7,877	7,590	3,128	3,231	17,526	13,809	97,462	87,663	2,03,886	1,92,322	24,21,118	25,98,553	14,40,271	12,98,681	38,61,389	38,97,242		

APPENDIX A:

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUNJAB STAMP DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94—concluded.

RAWALPINDI.		RAWALPINDI.																										
PEPSHAWAR.		PEPSHAWAR.																										
DERAJAT.		DERAJAT.																										
Siálkot	...	11	14	11	30	7	86	75	115	119	2,848	2,830	20	88	13	19	...	2,094	2,168	5,875	5,105	19,324	19,510	13,56,959	16,03,903	
Gufrát	...	7	8	6	6	31	33	...	98	77	142	124	1,728	1,661	19	5	28	26	...	1,437	1,037	3,212	2,720	8,920	5,673	5,27,183	4,49,730	
Gujránwála	...	12	13	20	18	14	14	...	66	64	112	109	1,731	1,783	70	59	9	21	...	1,671	1,262	3,481	3,125	12,746	13,892	11,53,954	7,09,420	
Shabpur	...	17	5	19	26	20	16	...	34	33	90	80	979	1,135	108	99	27	27	...	756	588	1,870	1,849	4,725	5,270	3,45,374	3,62,584	
Jhelum	...	9	9	4	1	4	48	...	64	61	81	119	962	1,038	15	3	36	24	...	1,262	918	2,275	1,983	5,244	6,053	5,26,785	5,11,942	
Ráwalpindi	...	12	30	12	13	57	57	...	141	143	222	243	1,998	1,881	47	71	46	24	...	2,271	2,045	4,362	4,021	11,463	11,270	9,47,845	10,77,245	
Total	...	68	79	72	94	133	168	...	489	453	762	794	10,246	10,328	279	325	150	141	...	10,391	8,018	21,075	18,812	62,422	64,674	48,58,100	47,14,830	
PEPSHAWAR.		PEPSHAWAR.																										
Hazára	...	4	3	4	4	17	17	...	17	17	42	41	522	579	25	53	16	11	...	454	404	1,017	1,047	3,127	3,173	1,91,260	1,62,771	
Peshawar	...	18	13	15	15	26	100	74	128	128	1,445	1,170	110	228	1,185	1,465	2,740	2,863	6,382	6,702	5,81,378	4,48,717	
Kohát	...	2	2	2	1	1	1	...	11	10	16	14	339	389	18	...	4	8	...	104	109	465	506	2,089	2,367	1,29,131	1,20,554	
Total	...	19	18	21	20	18	18	...	26	128	101	186	183	2,306	2,138	153	281	20	19	...	1,743	1,978	4,222	4,416	11,598	12,242	9,01,769	8,32,042
DERAJAT.		DERAJAT.																										
Bannu	...	5	4	2	3	21	21	...	32	37	60	65	941	955	8	5	7	5	...	748	697	1,704	1,662	5,088	5,994	2,33,009	2,63,420	
Dera Ismail Khan	...	5	9	9	10	7	23	...	93	107	114	149	1,162	1,251	32	30	6	4	...	1,352	1,111	2,552	2,396	5,800	7,358	3,81,052	4,58,286	
Dera Gházi Khan	...	4	4	1	...	3	4	...	72	61	80	69	780	910	5	...	27	28	...	910	800	1,722	1,738	5,193	5,965	2,54,690	3,90,414	
Muzaffargarh	...	6	6	5	5	1	41	42	53	53	812	700	24	29	1	1,194	952	2,031	1,681	6,538	6,894	3,32,664	2,98,641	
Total	...	20	23	17	18	32	48	238	247	307	336	3,695	3,816	69	64	41	37	...	4,204	3,560	8,009	7,477	22,619	26,211	12,01,415	14,10,770
...			
...			
...			
...			
...			
...			
...			
...			
GRAND TOTAL	...	291	316	204	230	504	565	...	26	1,972	1,876	2,971	3,013	45,313	46,377	805	957	618	671	...	45,400	40,370	92,136	88,375	2,60,805	2,76,828	2,20,39,196	2,17,30,300

APPENDIX B.

APPENDIX B.

STATEMENT OF COLLECTIONS OF STAMP DUTY ON PAUPER SUITS IN THE PUNJAB FOR THE OFFICIAL YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94.

DISTRICT.	Year.	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Amount realized in the year.	Amount erased under Commissioner's sanction during the year.	Amount of commission paid to Sheriff.	Total of columns 6 to 8.	Balance remaining due, column 5, minus column 9.					
Hissár {	1892-93	95	...	95	95	95	95	...	95		
			1893-94		
Rohtak {	1892-93	110	...	110	108	2	110	23	37	110	23	37		
			1893-94	...	60	60	23		
Gurgáon {	1892-93	225	101	326	13	13	313	313		
			1893-94	313	...	313	313	313		
Delhi {	1892-93	3,003	1,108	4,111	530	89	...	11	630	...	1,782	1,782	3,481	3,481	2,631	2,631			
			1893-94	3,481	932	4,413	246	1,536		
Karnál {	1892-93	427	12	439	5	865	439	439		
			1893-94	439	437	876	262	598	5	865	865	11	865	865	865	865	865	865	11		
Umballa {	1892-93	1,645	555	2,200	2,200	2,200		
			1893-94	2,200	1,909	4,109	315	1,216	1,531	2,578		
Simla {	1892-93	226	491	717	28	463	491	226		
			1893-94	226	4	230	4	4	226		
Kángra {	1892-93	342	73	415	82	2	84	331	331		
			1893-94	331	40	371	15	247	262	262	109		
Hoshiárpur {	1892-93	196	858	1,054	133	7	140	914		
			1893-94	914	124	1,038	40	40	998		
Jullundur {	1892-93	371	171	542	148	90	241	301		
			1893-94	301	35	336	118	149	2	269	67		
Ludhiána {	1892-93	3,082	1,156	4,238	372	14	386	3,852	3,852		
			1893-94	3,852	830	4,682	498	543	7	543	543	7	543	543	543	543	543	4,139	4,139		
Ferozepore {	1892-93	1,225	653	1,878	77	41	118	*1,760		
			1893-94	1,680	353	2,033	263	794	1,057	976		
Multan {	1892-93	229	388	617	164	3	167	167	450		
			1893-94	450	204	654	259	...	5	264	264	5	264	264	264	264	264	390			
Jhang {	1892-93	373	377	750	39	39	711		
			1893-94	711	238	949	53	53	896		
Montgomery {	1892-93	504	182	686	10	10	676		
			1893-94	676	46	722	94	94	628		
Lahore {	1892-93	2,923	122	3,045	168	651	3	822	2,223	2,223		
			1893-94	2,223	2,659	4,882	615	561	1,176	3,706		
Amritsar {	1892-93	2,179	2,605	4,784	490	634	8	1,132	3,652	4,523		
			1893-94	3,652	2,023	5,675	177	972	3	1,152	1,152	3	1,152	1,152	1,152	1,152	1,152	4,523	4,523		

* Deputy Commissioner explains that Rs. 80 erased under Commissioner's sanction during March 1893, were erroneously not shown in the Annual Statement for 1892-93, therefore the balance remaining due at the end of March 1893 should be Rs. 1,680.

APPENDIX B—concluded.

DISTRICT.	Year.	Balance at the close of last year.	Balance since ascertained.	Total of columns 3 and 4.	Amount realized in the year.	Amount erased under Commissioner's sanc- tion during the year.	Amount of commission paid to Sheriff.	Total of columns 6 to 8.	Balance remaining due, column 5, minus column 9.	
									9	10
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Gurdáspur {	1892-93 370	42	412	10	10	402	
	1893-94 402	25	427	231	143	4	378	49		
Siálkot {	1892-93 1,105	335	1,440	260	170	3	433	1,007	
	1893-94 1,007	1,135	2,142	440	36	...	476	1,666		
Gujrát {	1892-93 36	26	62	15	15	47	
	1893-94 47	133	180	93	93	87		
• Gujránwála {	1892-93 2,118	1,308	3,426	262	305	...	567	2,859	
	1893-94 2,859	1,801	4,660	90	68	...	158	4,502		
Shahpur {	1892-93 752	187	939	127	582	...	709	230	
	1893-94 230	253	483	258	14	...	272	211		
Jhelum {	1892-93 919	269	1,188	676	66	13	755	433	
	1893-94 433	66	499	272	87	5	364	135		
Ráwálpindi {	1892-93 1,069	498	1,567	790	212	9	1,011	556	
	1893-94 556	102	658	76	224	...	300	358		
Hazára {	1892-93 169	495	664	446	...	9	455	209	
	1893-94 209	136	345	39	23	...	62	283		
Pesháwar {	1892-93 968	1,113	2,081	85	790	...	875	1,206	
	1893-94 1,206	822	2,028	104	1,413	...	1,517	511		
Kohát {	1892-93 755	484	1,239	82	413	...	495	744	
	1893-94 744	730	1,474	199	...	5	204	1,270		
Bannu {	1892-93 598	500	1,098	456	324	9	789	309	
	1893-94 309	555	864	408	41	6	455	409		
Dera Ismail Khan	... {	1892-93 653	263	916	114	152	...	266	654	
	1893-94 650	423	1,073	250	539	...	789	280		
Dera Gházi Khan	... {	1892-93 56	109	165	107	...	2	109	56	
	1893-94 56	21	77	21	21	56		
Muzaffargarh	... {	1892-93 47	10	57	10	10	47	
	1893-94 47	23	70	1	47	...	48	22		
GRAND TOTAL	... {	1892-93 26,770	14,491	41,261	5,802	5,084	91	10,977	30,284	
		1893-94 *30,204	16,119	46,323	5,460	8,750	42	14,252	32,071	

* For the difference of Rs. 80 less shown, please see explanatory note at foot of page XIV.

APPENDIX C.

APPENDIX C.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF INSTRUMENTS DEALT WITH AND THE RESULT OF PROSECUTIONS UNDER CHAPTER VII OF ACT I OF 1879, DURING THE YEARS 1892-93 AND 1893-94.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24			
NUMBER OF INSTRUMENTS IMPOUNDED UNDER SECTION 33.						DISPOSAL OF IMPOUNDED INSTRUMENTS.						DETAIL OF DISPOSALS BY THE COLLECTOR.						NUMBER OF INSTRUMENTS IN PROSECUTIONS IN RESPECT TO WHICH PENALTY WAS REFUNDED.						PUNISHMENT AWARDED.		
District.	Year.	Number of instruments dealt with under Sections 30 and 31.						By the Courts.						By the Collector and other Revenue Officers.						Under Section 37.						Under Section 38.
Hissar	...	{ 1892-93	...	29	...	1	30	29	...	4	4	...	1	4		
Rohtak	...	{ 1892-93	...	6	...	6	...	6	...	2	2		
Gurgion	...	{ 1892-93	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	1	...	1	2		
Delhi	...	{ 1892-93	4	17	...	1	18	16	1	5	5	1	1		
Karnal	...	{ 1892-93	4	4	...	4	...	4	...	1	1	...	4	4		
Umballa	...	{ 1892-93	...	19	2	3	24	19	17	17	17	...	5	5	3	3	3	2	2	...		
		{ 1893-94		

Simla	...	{	1892-93	...	1893-94	1/2	2/2	1/1	2/1	8/5	...
Total	...	{	1892-93	8	76	2	5	83		71	5	12	...	12	3	4	5	4	1	5	63	...
			1893-94	1	60	60		56	4	4	...	4	...	5	4	4	4	1	1	5	...	
Kângra	...	{	1892-93	...	50	3	14	67		37	13	30	...	18	...	12	5	12	13	12	13	356	...	
			1893-94	...	36	...	7	43		25	11	18	1	17	...	*10	10	11	8	9	159	...		
Hoshiárpur,	...	{	1892-93	...	33	4	17	54		33	...	21	...	21	1	4	5	3	3	30	...	
			1893-94	...	36	8	11	55		34	2	21	...	21	1	
Jullundur	...	{	1892-93	...	12	1	...	13	...	12	1	...	1	
Ludhiána	...	{	1892-93	1	24	24		24	5	...	5	3	13	3	9	42	...	
			1893-94	1	28	5	...	33		28	5	...	5	40	46	28	32	246	1 month.	
Ferozepore	...	{	1892-93	...	166	3	1	170		162	4	8	...	8	1	1	1	1+	
			1893-94	2	196	16	2	214		196	...	18	...	18	2	...	2	1	2	1	2	160	...	
Total	...	{	1892-93	1	273	10	32	315		256	17	59	...	47	...	12	5	1	1	20	32	18	25	428	...	
			1893-94	3	308	30	20	358		295	13	63	1	62	...	10	3	...	2	51	59	37	43	565	...	
Mooltan	...	{	1892-93	...	9	1	...	10		9	...	1	...	1	1	
			1893-94	...	14	1	...	15		14	...	1	...	1	
Jhang	...	{	1892-93	...	15	15		15	1	
			1893-94	...	13	13		13	
Montgomery,	...	{	1892-93	...	62	62		62	
			1893-94	...	55	55		55	
Lahore	...	{	1892-93	...	11	11		8	3	3	...	3	6	5	5	3	3	12	...	
			1893-94	1	58	...	1	59		55	3	4	...	4	5	37	44	36	42	123	...	
Amritsar	...	{	1892-93	2	47	2	10	59		45	2	14	...	14	1	2	2	1	1	20	...	
			1893-94	1	54	1	2	57		54	...	3	...	3	1	6	6	6	6	146	...	
Gurdáspur	...	{	1892-93	...	3	3		3	1	...	1	3	3	81	...	
			1893-94	...	26	...	1	27		26	...	1	...	1	2	2	3	75	...	
Total	...	{	1892-93	2	147	3	10	160		142	5	18	...	18	8	10	10	4	4	113	...	
			1893-94	2	220	2	4	226		217	3	9	...	9	6	45	53	42	48	344	...	

* These 10 cases
are also included
in column 12.

† Discharged for
want of proof.

APPENDIX C—concluded.

District.	Year.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		NUMBER OF INSTRUMENTS IMPOUNDED UNDER SECTION 33.							DISPOSAL OF IMPOUNDED INSTRUMENTS.							DETAIL OF DISPOSALS BY THE COLLECTOR.									
Sialkot	... { 1892-93	38	2	40	37	1	3	...	3	...	1	3	
	1893-94	31	1	4	36	30	1	6	...	6	
Gujrat	... { 1892-93	9	...	9	9	7	...	7	
	1893-94	7	
Gujrānwala	... { 1892-93	54	1	55	43	11	12	...	4	
	1893-94	61	3	64	46	15	18	...	3	...	15	
Shahpur	... { 1892-93	58	1	1	60	47	11	13	3	8	...	2	...	4	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
	1893-94	64	2	...	66	54	10	12	3	7	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Jhelum	... { 1892-93	20	2	22	12	8	10	1	9	
	1893-94	24	24	13	11	11	...	11	
Ráwalpindi	... { 1892-93	32	...	32	32	...	1	...	1	
	1893-94	43	...	43	42	1	...	1	...	1	
Total	... { 1892-93	211	2	218	180	31	38	4	24	...	10	1	2	7	1	19	27	13	16	141		
	1893-94	1	230	6	240	38	48	4	27	1	16	2	2	...	24	32	13	16	143		

REMARKS.

Hazára	1892-93	85	85	3	172	85	165	4	7	7	7	10	10	8	8	135	...					
	1893-94	169	...	3	172	165	3	6	1	2	19	...					
Pesháwar	1892-93	1	2	1	1	5	...					
	1893-94	2	2	2	2	16	...					
Kohát	1892-93	...	1	1	...	15	6	6	1	6	6	1	1	10	...					
	1893-94	21	21	15	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	65-8	...					
Total	1892-93	85	1	86	180	85	10	13	...	7	1	12	12	10	10	150	...					
	1893-94	190	3	193	180	180	10	13	...	7	6	11	14	9	10	100-8-0	...					
Bannu	1892-93	7	1	8	7	7	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	20	...					
	1893-94	6	...	6	6	6					
Dera Ismáil Khan.	1892-93	8	1	9	8	1	...	1	...	1	...	6	6	3	3	40	...					
	1893-94	7	3	10	7	7	...	3	...	3	...	9	11	9	9	35	...					
Dera Gházi Khan.	1892-93	7	1	6	14	3	4	11	6	5	1	40	40	40	40	131	...					
	1893-94	7	...	6	13	6	1	7	...	7					
Muzaffargarh	1892-93	2	1	3	2	1	...	1	1	2	3	2	3	14	...					
	1893-94	13	...	13	11	2	2	1	1	2	3	2	3	14	...					
Total	1892-93	24	3	7	34	20	4	14	6	7	1	47	47	44	44	191	...					
	1893-94	33	3	6	42	30	3	12	1	11	...	11	14	11	12	49	...					
GRAND TOTAL	1892-93	11	816	20	60	896	754	62	142	10	105	2	112	133	93	104	1,086	...				
	1893-94	7	1,041	41	37	1,119	970	71	149	6	120	1	+32	16	2	2	146	176	113	130	1,206-8	...

* Figures
in cols. 18
to 22 are
on account
of prosecutions
instituted under
sections 61
& 62 of the
Stamp Act.

† Ten cases shown in this column opposite the Kánpur district are also included in the figures given in column 12, i.e., in addition to deficient stamp duty being levied under Section 37 (b) of the Stamp Act prosecutions were instituted under section 40 in respect of these 10 cases.

OFFICE OF SUPDT. OF STAMPS, PUNJAB :

Dated the 5th June 1894.

G. C. WALKER,

Offg. Superintendent of Stamps, Punjab.

APPENDIX D.

APPENDIX

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ARRANGEMENTS MADE FOR VEND OF COURT FEES AND
THE PUNJAB FOR

1 DISTRICT.	2 Year.	3 NUMBER OF VENDORS.	4 COURT FEES. Official vendors, i.e., Treasurers and their agents.	5 Discount allowed.	GENERAL				
					Other licensed vendors.				
					6 At the Sadar.	7 At outlying Tahsils.	8 In towns other than District or Tahsil head- quarters.	9 In villages.	10 Total.
			Rs.						
Hissár	4	967	4	8	17	3	2	30
Rohtak	5	738	5	...	4	1	...	5
Gurgáon	5	449	5	1	8	1	...	10
Delhi	7	1,393	3	28	10	2	2	42
Karnál	3	648	3	4	12	5	9	30
Umballa	15	1,823	15	5	10	33	18	66
Simla	3	276	3	7	3	5	...	10
Kángra	21	997	11	...	3	...	29	37
Hoshiárpur	25	2,165	12	2	4	20	41	67
Jullundur	13	2,179	13	11	9	17	46	83
Ludhiána	3	1,473	3	11	6	11	47	75
Ferozepore	8	1,818	8	20	11	18	66	115
Mooltan	9	1,058	9	16	2	5	5	28
Jhang	3	699	3	2	4	3	38	47
Montgomery	43	526	43	3	45	48
Lahore	11	2,986	11	37	21	9	116	183
Amritsar	11	2,660	11	32	...	8	74	114
Gurdáspur	7	1,950	7	40	45	85
Siálkot	14	2,330	14	12	5	22	36	75
Gujrát	8	1,135	8	5	...	18	54	77
Gujránwála	13	1,566	9	4	6	5	49	64
Shahpur	5	780	5	...	7	6	20	33
Jhelum	9	905	9	2	11	...	48	61
Ráwalpindi	30	1,580	...	23	19	12	99	143
Hazára	3	443	3	2	4	1	10	17
Pesháwar	13	1,081	13	30	5	5	34	74
Kohát	2	299	2	5	5	10
Bannu	4	763	4	5	11	4	17	37
Dera Ismail Khan	9	865	9	8	20	55	24	107
Dera Gházi Khan	4	629	4	8	10	6	37	61
Muzaffargarh	6	600	3	3	1	3	35	42
Total	316	37,781	252	291	223	311	1,051	1,876

OFFICE OF SUPDT. OF STAMPS, PUNJAB: }
Dated the 5th June 1894. }

D.

GENERAL STAMPS AND THE DISCOUNT ALLOWED TO VENDORS OF EACH CLASS IN THE YEAR 1893-94.

11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

STAMPS.

VENDORS.

DISCOUNT ALLOWED.

Sub-Post-masters.				Other licensed vendors.				Sub-Post-masters.					
Licensed to sell impressed sheets and receipt stamps. only.	Selling receipt stamps	Patwáris selling receipt stamps only.	Total.	Official vendors, (i.e., Treasurers' agents) duly licensed.	At the Sadar.	At outlying Tahsils.	In towns other than District or Tahsil headquarters.	In villages.	Licensed to sell impressed sheets and receipt stamps. only.	Selling receipt stamps only	Patwáris selling receipt stamps only.	Total.	
10	29	73	491	224	223	2	1	450	3	21	...	965	
5	4	19	438	...	53	35	...	88	7	17	...	550	
5	5	20	174	10	154	2	...	166	...	10	...	350	
3	3	48	236	2,200	169	65	8	2,442	...	11	...	2,689	
9	3	38	67	209	337	66	8	620	1	3	...	691	
6	6	96	268	566	245	725	467	2,003	16	46	...	2,333	
2	11	26	159	234	8	242	4	47	...	452	
1	45	94	435	...	112	132	343	587	5	52	...	1,079	
2	5	86	318	233	230	1,024	1,275	2,762	14	18	...	3,112	
18	5	119	201	328	649	873	772	2,622	56	12	...	2,891	
...	45	123	414	668	241	238	482	1,629	...	20	...	2,063	
6	60	189	328	490	280	761	415	1,946	1	40	...	2,315	
1	18	56	349	856	28	107	22	1,013	17	23	...	1,402	
9	16	75	183	28	154	154	298	634	10	28	...	855	
5	3	99	156	93	195	288	5	47	...	496	
9	4	207	170	1,235	956	165	1,171	3,527	29	53	...	3,779	
10	38	173	526	1,669	...	1,525	355	3,549	49	8	...	4,132	
9	31	132	377	1,083	1,163	2,246	70	18	...	2,711	
30	...	119	500	611	1,000	230	327	2,168	88	19	...	2,775	
6	33	124	526	133	...	106	798	1,037	5	26	...	1,594	
18	14	105	217	367	271	100	524	1,262	59	21	...	1,559	
26	16	80	355	...	144	162	282	588	99	27	...	1,069	
1	48	119	133	136	357	...	425	918	3	24	...	1,078	
13	57	213	301	399	841	52	753	2,045	71	24	...	2,441	
4	17	41	136	73	127	36	168	404	53	11	...	604	
15	...	26	128	89	566	291	228	380	1,465	228	...	1,782	
1	1	14	90	65	44	109	...	8	...	207	
3	21	65	192	183	279	61	174	697	5	5	...	899	
10	23	149	386	100	221	600	190	1,111	30	4	...	1,531	
5	4	69	281	288	114	95	303	800	...	28	...	1,109	
...	5	50	100	170	59	228	495	952	29	1,081	
230	56	26	2,949	8,596	12,041	7,543	8,948	11,838	40,370	957	671	...	50,594

G. C. WALKER,

Off. Superintendent of Stamps, Punjab.



