REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1913.

Price: Re. 0-4-0 or 4d.





Lahore:

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB, 1914.



Agents for the sale of Punjab Government Publications.

IN LONDON.

CONSTABLE & Co., 10, Orange Street, Leicester Square, W. C.

GRINDLAY & Co., 54, Parliament Street, S. W-

HENRY S. KING & Co., 65, Cornhill, E. C.

P. S. King & Son, 2 and 4, Great Smith Street, Westminster, S. W.

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER & Co., 68-74, Carter Lane, E. C.

B. QUARITCH, 11, Grafton Street, New Bond Street, W.

W. THACKER & Co., 2, Creed Lane, London, E. C.

LUZAC & Co., 46, Great Russell Street, London, W. C.

OLIVER & BOYD, Tweeddale Court, Edinburgh.

E. Ponsoney, Limited, 116, Crafton Street, Dublin.

MR. T. FISHER UNWIN, 1, Adelphi Terrace, London, W. C.

ON THE CONTINENT.

FRIEDLANDER & SOHN, 11, Carlstrasse,

OTTO HARRASSOWITZ, Leipzig.

KARL W. HIERSEMANN, Leipzig.

ERNEST LEROUX, 28, Rue Bonaparte, Paris.

MARTINUS NIJHOFF, The Hague,

IN INDIA.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta & Simla.

NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.

R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta.

THACKER & Co., Bombay.

HIGGINBOTHAM & Co., Madras.

T. FISHER UNWIN, Calcutta.

V. KALYANARAM IYER & Co., 189, Esplanade Row, Madras.

G. A. NATBSAN & Co., Madras.

SUPERINTENDENT, AMERICAN BAPTIST Mission Press, Rangoon.

A. CHAND & Co., Imperial Book Depôt Office, Delhi.

GULAB SINGH & SONS, Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.

Manager, Punjab Law Book Depôt, Anárkali Bazar, Lahore.

S. MUMTAZ ALI & SON, Rafah-i-'Am Press, Lahore [for Vernacular publications only].

MESSRS. RAMA KRISHNA AND SONS, Booksellers and News Agents, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent and Proprietor, Nazair Kanun Hind Press, Allahabad.

D. B TARAPOREVALA Sons & Co., Bom-bay.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1913.



Lahore:

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB, . 1914.

01.5 0.9 01.9 2

SERVICE VOTE

SUPPLY SELACION CONTACTALISM OF

OFFICE PROPERTY

SHALL WAS TO THE WAY

modern

STATISTICS.

Por the going and one State States and a going

(Maximum number of pages fixed for the text-6.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Para.	Subject.		Page.
1	Estates under management		1
2	Estates taken over and released from superintendence		ib.
3	Assets and investments		ib.
4	Reduction of debt		2
5	Arrears of rent and treatment of tenants		ib.
6	Expenditure on improvements		iō.
7	Education		3
8	Audit and management		ib.
	STATEMENTS.		
1	General statement of estates under charge of the Court during the year ending 30th September 1913	of Wards	li—lii
11	Assets and liabilities as on 30th September 1913		iv—v
III	Income of the year ending 30th September 1913		vi—vii
īv	Expenditure of the year ending 30th September 1913		yiii—ix
v	Important transactions of the year (if any)		x
VI	Estates released during the year		xi

- Jakina

approximately and

and the state of t

property and the second

THE WEST PARTY.

AND A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE

and, by high asia was stabilition to t

the state of the state of the state of the state of

to the particular property and to could be de-

(deer) are on a man share astrone,

Carrie (a) May be personal transfer

FROM

THE HON'BLE MR. J. P. THOMPSON, I.C.S.,

Revenue Secretary to Government,

Punjab and its Dependencies,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, / DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

Dated Lahore, 26th June 1914.

Revenue and Agriculture-

SIR,

I am directed to submit, for the information of the Government of India, a copy of the report on the administration of the estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending the 30th September 1913.

2. The management of all estates continues to be satisfactory.

Three estates were released during the year and were handed back free of debt and with increased assets.

3. The problem of the disposal of surplus balances continued to present difficulties during the year, but an excellent opening for investment has recently been afforded in the auction sales of Government waste land in the Lower Bari Doab area. Four of the larger estates, namely, Kot Fatch Khan in Attock, S. Hardit Singh in Rawalpindi, Mamdot in Ferozepore and Manauli in Ambala, availed themselves of this opportunity and purchased over 4,400 acres at a total cost of more than 12 lakhs. The average price was Rs. 273 per acre. Further opportunities of the same nature will occur during the present and the coming year.

The question whether the investment of wards' money is subject to the restriction of the Indian Trusts Act is one of great importance. The highest legal advice has been taken, and in the opinion of the Advocate-General, Bengal, section 17 (1) of the Punjab Court of Wards Act does not make the Indian Trusts Act applicable so as to bar the investment of wards' funds otherwise than in accordance with sections 20 and 21 of the Indian Trusts Act, though in ordinary circumstances the wards' money should be invested in securities authorised by those sections.

4. The steady reduction in the liabilities of indebted estates is a matter for satisfaction. The report indicates, however, that there is in some estates a tendency to laxity in the collection of rents, and that expenditure on permanent improvements might be increased with advantage. The intervention of middlemen in some of the larger estates appears to be a source of trouble, and there are obvious advantages to both parties in the estate dealing direct with its tenants. The Lieutenant-Governor would be glad to see this system encouraged and extended as far as possible.

5. The Lieutenant-Governor has read with interest the account given in paragraph 6 of the various developments in the larger estates in the way of horse and cattle-breeding, fruit culture, grain marts, &c. In the case of the more prosperous estates he desires to see such developments encouraged, where they are likely to succeed.

He is glad to see that a tenants' co-operative society is being started in the Kot estate, and considers that every large estate, with a considerable body of tenants, should take similar action, for a contented and unembarrassed tenantry is the best asset an estate can possess.

The education and subsequent training of the wards are quite as important as the good management of their estates, and the Lieutenant-Governor observes that these are receiving the careful attention of the Court of Wards.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. P. THOMPSON,

Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

No. 322.

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONERS' OFFICE:

Lahore, dated 26th March 1914.

FROM

H. A. SMITH, ESQUIRE, I.C.S.,

Senior Secretary to the Financial Commissioners, Punjab,

To

THE HON'BLE MR. J. P. THOMPSON, I.C.S.,

Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

The Hon'ble Mr. H. J. Maynard.

SIR.

I am directed to submit the Annual Court of Wards Report for the year ending the 30th of September 1913.

I have the honour to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient Servant,
H. A. SMITH,

Senior Secretary to the Financial Commissioners, Punjal

\$210 OZ

Parametar desirence and Orthogon

TOTAL

M. A. BHIVE, Degrees, Loss,

Section Sciences (asks Francisc Commentances, Pendus.

The Hostenside, S. F. Thompson, Lon,

Received Stayettery for Consumed Manyott

holt, on biblish, 22/0/12 hard.

(017.3)

I stading of the color of the changed Court of Westle Report for the system and the color of the change of the color of th

I (liera din Armone fo del Ging L'ournisse stic (lient Gerenne) U. A. Galittei,

Segion Secretary lotte. Placerial Com Educes, Lough

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1913.

1. During the year 1912-13 the Court of Wards had 50 estates under management. Of these three were released during the year. The Court was managing estates with gross assets amounting to over four crores of rupees. The largest estates are Mamdot (value 85 lacs), S. Hardit Singh (value 48 lacs) and Shekhupura (value 31 lacs). The total liabilities of the estates under management are 7 lacs, as against 33 lacs on the date of assumption of charge. Of the present liabilities, the Sher Shah estate in Multan claims nearly 2 lacs and the Guler estate in Kangra 1½ lacs. Three other estates owe sums between half a lac and one lac. Twenty-seven estates are either absolutely or practically free from debt. Five estates have an income of over a lac, and seven have an income of over half a lac.

Estates taken over and released from superintendence.

2. No new estate was taken under management during the year. Three estates were released:—

- (1) The Arnauli estate in the Ambala District.—Arnauli was taken under management in 1897. The small debt of Rs. 16,000 has been paid off. The landed property of the estate has increased from 853 to 1,002 acres. Rupees 1,04,000 have been spent on permanent improvements. The value of the house property has risen from Rs. 34,000 to Rs. 85,000, and the total assets of the estate have swelled from Rs. 91,000 at the time of assumption of charge to Rs. 2,73,000 at the date of release.
- (2) The Mallamoula estate in the Ferozepore District.—This estate was taken over in 1899. It had then a debt of Rs. 1,05,000 and its total assets were Rs. 2,68,000. The debt has been paid off and the estate restored to the owners with total assets amounting to Rs. 2,35,000.
- (3) The Bhagowala estate in the Gurdaspur District.—This estate was taken over in 1909. One share in the estate was released last year. The remaining two shares had in 1909 a debt of Rs. 19,000 and assets amounting to Rs. 62,000. These shares have been handed back free of debt, while the assets are now worth Rs. 81,000.
- 3. Reports received show that the subject of investment engages the careful attention of all the Deputy Commissioners concerned. The difficulty of finding suitable investments should diminish with the forthcoming auctions of Crown lands on the Triple Project Canals, but is still felt. Even the recent Bank failures and the consequent tightness of money have not made property owners so ready to sell land or houses as might have been anticipated. Large numbers of them are anxious to mortgage, but mortgage is a far less suitable investment for wards' money than purchase. With cash balances amounting to nearly 13 lacs at the end of last year Deputy Commissioners were only able to invest half a lac in purchase of land, and rather less in

the purchase of house property. Loans on security of land were made to the extent of Rs. 1,30,000, and Rs. 1,17,000 were invested in securities. The price fetched by land auctioned in the colonies in 1913 was too high for the Rangar Nangal estate, but several managers intend to bid for colony land in Montgomery. Butala is the only estate that has purchased any considerable area of land this year, while the only important purchases of house property recorded are by Manauli and the Hardit Singh estate. Loans to other wards account for Rs 18,000 only, but loans to outsiders came to Rs. 1,14,000, all secured on landed property. The question whether the investment of wards' money is subject to the restrictions of the Indian Trusts Act, 1882, is engaging the attention of Government's legal advisers.

- The total liabilities of estates under the Court are less by Rs. 1,61,000 than they were last year. The Shekhu-Reduction of debt. pura estate is free of debt for the first time since it was taken over. Kamalia is practically free, while the Jalpana estate in Mianwali has paid off nearly all the principal owed to Government. Faridpur has consolidated its debts at a considerable reduction of the total. The most heavily indebted estate is Sher Shah which owes Rs. 1,95,000. On the assumption of charge in 1900 the total debt was Rs. 3,18,000. Rupees 23,000 were devoted to the reduction of debt during the year. Guler has a debt of Rs. 1,60,000, mostly owed to the Raja of Tehri Garhwal, to whom an annual instalment of Rs. 15,000 is paid. In general the few estates that are considerably involved are making good progress towards solvency. Bhabaur, in the Hoshiarpur district, which was mentioned in this connection last year, still owes the same amount (Rs. 57,000). But the loan bears no interest as the land of the ward is mortgaged with possession to the creditors. Some of this land is now being redeemed by means of a loan from Mamdot, and it is hoped that direct management will increase the income and enable some progress to be made towards liquidation.
- 5. The total amount of rent in arrears is still very heavy, though less by Rs. 16,000 than last year. Kartarpur, Mamdot, the Shahpur estates and Sher Shah have all reduced their outstandings, but those of Sidhowal have doubled, while in Luddan outstandings have suddenly leapt up from Rs. 2,000 to Rs. 54,000, a figure which contrasts strangely with the Deputy Commissioner's remark that rents are almost always easily collected. The outstandings of the Hardit Singh estate remain at about the same amount. Most estates deal direct with their tenants. Mamdot and Sher Shah report trouble with the lessees. The new leases in Mamdot are being granted with care and attention to the interests of the ward and with consideration for the old tenants.
- The expenditure on permanent improvements during the year is somewhat disappointing. It amounted Expenditure on improvements. in all to Rs. 1,30,000, which is slightly less than last year. Mamdot is returned as spending half a lac, but Rs. 20,000 were arrear payments. Various schemes of expenditure are now in contemplation, including improvements to roads and canals. The stud at Hiraj is said to be well-managed, but again no account of the profits and expenditure has been furnished. The stud consists of 30 mares for pony-breeding and 30 mares for mule-breeding. The Guru Harsahai estate also keeps ten brood mares at Hiraj. Sheep are bred on the Mamdot estate, and the experiment is paying well. The cattle farm has yet to shew a profit. Much the most enterprising estate in the Province is that of Kot Fatch Khan in the Attock district. stud sells most of its young stock to the Remount Department, the average price last year being Rs. 308. Various prizes were taken at the Great Punjab horse show, including the silver cup for hacks. Kot breeds also camels, cattle and sheep. A fruit garden has been planted, and the management have started a small grain mart which may in time rival Fatehjang. The estate is also starting a co-operative society for its tenants and a weaving school. The Hardit Singh estate is mainly urban property, though the wards own considerable

areas in the Lower Chenab Colony. The Commissioner of Rawalpindi thinks that the estate should be managed in a more public-spirited fashion. His suggestions in this behalf will be awaited with interest.

- 7. Twenty wards are being educated at the Aitchison College—an increase of six since last year. Three juveniles have been sent to Queen Mary's College. The possibility of sending five more wards to the Aitchison College is under the consideration of the Financial Commissioner. The employment of wards who have completed their school or college education, as Assistant Managers of their own estates, is also engaging his attention as an educative measure. It is on the moral and the human side that Court of Wards management is least uniformly satisfactory.
- 8. The professional audit of the accounts continues to be of the greatest value. Various irregularities in estate management have been brought to light by this agency and rectified. The management of the various estates is good. The Financial Commissioner wishes particularly to acknowledge the services of Lala Ram Chand in the Hardit Singh estate, Malik Muhammad Hyat Khan at Mamdot and M. Sher Khan at Kot. The total cost of management is slightly over 1½ lacs, or 8.5 per cent. of the total ordinary income of the estates. A comparison of the incidence of cost of management in individual estates would be misleading, because of the varying nature of the property. Jagir income is collected very easily and cheaply, while rents in kind present considerable difficulties, and house property and cash rents occupy an intermediate position between the two.

STATEMENTS.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF TH

Division.	District.		Serial No.	Name of estate,	Name of ward,	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge,
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
		-	1 2	Arnauli N. Zulfiqar Ali Khan	B. Shamsher Singh Mubammad Zulfigar Ali	21-12-1890 2-1-1903	13-9-1897 27-8-1908
AMBALA	Karnal		3 4	Sidhowal N. Niamat Ali Khan	Khan. B. Zubarjung Singh N. Niamat Ali Khan	9-11-1888 7-2-1903	7-2-1911 18-10-1909
	Ambala	{	5 6 7—11	Manauli Kotaha 5 petty estates	S. Umrao Singh Mir Jafar Ali Khan	15-6-1896 1867	7-9-1909 1-2-1911
	Kangra Hoshiarpur	{	12 13 14	Guler { Lambagraon Bhabaur	Raja Raghu Nath Singh Tika Baldev Singh Mian Daljit Chand Rai Hira Chand	-	29-11-1899 8-12-1909 4-4-1888 9-12-1886
JULLUNDUR	Jullundur	{	15 16—18	Kartarpur {	Guru Atma Singh Kanwar Sardul Singh	12-6-1901 23-7-1902	2-12-1908
	Ludhiana		19	Ladhran {	Brij Chand Singh Gurja Singh Buldev Singh	4-7-1894 14-7-1897	5-3-1909
	Ferozepore		21	Guru Harsahai {	Nawab Ghulam Qutab-ud- din Khan, Guru Jaswant Singh Guru Karam Singh	13-10-1889 16-10-1898 14-10-1902	20-1-1891
		- (22	Mallanwala {	Muhammad Ali Khan Barkat Ali Khan		9-8-1899
	Lahore	-	23	Sheikhupura {	Raja Fateh Singh S. Kesri Singh Rani Chanian S. Jhanda Singh	5-11-1883 24-3-1885 22-9-1882	1-10-1900
LAMORE		-	25—27	S. Sarup Singh { 3 petty estates	S. Fateh Singh	12-10-1893	17-4-05
	Amritsar Gurdaspur	. 5	28	Chahal { Bhagowala	S. Autar Singh S. Harnand Singh S. Pritpal Singh	6-2-1899 30-12-1904 1889	20-2-1908 27-10-1909
	Sialkot		31 & 32	Do. 2 petty estates	S, Bikramajit Singh	5-1-1899	
1	Gujranwala	***	33	Kalaswala	S. Randhir Singh S. Bahadur Singh	Novr. 1894 25-7-1892	29-10-1898
ſ	Shahpur	5	35	Mitha Tiwana {	S. Budh Singh Malik Dost Muhammad Khan	3-4-1895 1-11-1887	9-4-1906
RAWALPINDI	Jhelum	(36 37 & 38	1 petty estate 2 petty estates	Malik Ghulam Jilani Khan	7-12-1888	
	Rawalpindi	199	89	S. Hardit Singh {	S. Sohan Singh	18-7-1894) 05 1000
	Attock Mianwali	***	40.	Kot Fatteh Khan	S. Mohan Singh S. Muhammad Nawaz Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan	6-6-1897 12-8-1901	2-5-1906 2-4-1903 14-1-1907
	Montgomery	{	42 -43 44	Kamalia { Faridpur Basirpur	Muhammad Saadat Ali Khan Muhammad Qalab Ali Khan Muhammad Usman Khan	17-1-1900	23 1-1906 17-10-1910
	Jhang		45	Jhang Sial {	B. Dewa Singh Muhammad Manladad Khan Muhammad Hakim Khan		3-7-1911 9-3-1904
MULTAN		1	46	Salarwahan }	S. Muhammad Jafar Shah S. Muhammad Nawaz Shah S. Muhammad Bagar Shah	2-10-1903 9-7-1897	4-4-1907
	Multan	-1	47	Sher Shah {	S. Amir Haidar Shah M. Ahmad Yar Khan	8-4-1896	11-10-1900
	1	1	48	Makhdum Hassan	M. Muhammad Yar Khan	8-2-1905 3-10-1899	} Feby. 1909
1	Muzaffargarh		50	Bakhah. Qazi Sheikh Muhammad	Khan Bahadur Makhdum Hassau Bakhsh, Qazi Sheikh Muhammad	29-7-1891	15-6-1904

No. I.
COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1913.

				LAND HELD	IN PROPRIET	ARY RIGHT.			
Section and sub-section	n of Act applicabl		Annual value of jagir.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land-revenue assessment.	Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total liabilities on 30th September 1913.	Serial No.
8			9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Re.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Section 35 (b), Punjab Section 6, Punjab Act		***	45,162 16,181	1,003 3,774	592 1,996	490 2,745	64,935		1 2
Section 5 (d), Punjab A Sections 6 and 9, Punja	ct II of 1903	***	34,598 12,109	1,337	974	7,266	93,896		3 4
Section 6. Punjab Act 1			40,697	3,950	1.927		104	32	5
Section 5, Paragraph 2,	Punjab Act II of	1903	12,444 29,004	8,415 6,815	5.079	4 315	***	17	7-11
Section 35 (g), Punjab	Act IV of 1872	***	23,567	16,719	8,642	2,874	4,26,692	1,60,126	/ 12
Section 5 (1), Punjab A Section 35 (g), Punjab	Act IV of 1872	***	2,000	5,216	1,210	12	21,323		13
Ditto	ditto	***	1,221	1,995	446	497	20,000	00,001	
Section 6, Punjab Act	II of 1903	***	11,358	11,722	9,932	15,083	67,014		15
			5,332	658	608	1,576	7,356		16—18
Section 6, Punjab Act	II of 1903		4,012	190	190	406	1,010	3	19
	ditto	***	76,783	66,995	59,827	53,950	3,57,647	8,704	20
	ditto		7,223	15,448	13,458	5,739	1,27,418	776	21
Ditto	ditto	***		5,846	3,562				22
Ditto	uniso		***	0,030	0,000	2,000		1	
Section 35 (8), Punjab	Act IV of 1872		80,138	9,069	3,529	6,203	6,66,65		23
Section 6, Punjab Act	II of 1903	***	6,652	2,169	1,311	1,634			25-27
			4,000	1,868	1,578	1,250	7,460	2,113	
Section 6, Punjab Act	II of 1903	***	2,421	525	415	1,447	2,641		28
Section 5 (1), Punjab	Act II of 1903 ditto	***	P 700	153 152			0.087	5	30
Ditto	··	***		1,443				3	31 & 32
Section 35 (b), Punjab section 6 of Act II of	Act IV of 1872, f 1903.	and		914	836	927	9,737	1	33
Section 6, Punjab Act		***	563	3,614	2,110	2,638	701	5,000	34
Section 5, Punjab Act	II of 1903	**	8,173	11,027	2,689	2,647	30,000		35
The second secon			6,789	10,161	1,918	1,599	76,289		36
			Inam 300	9,189	3,707	2,838	16,827	9,274	37 & 38
Section 6, Punjab Act	II cf 1903	***	999	15,076	11,784	54,950	3,245		39
Ditto	ditto	***	6,184	58,632	32,870	14,361		79,269	40
Ditto	ditto	***	***	14,432	4,227	6,963	1,02,100		S HIT
Section 5 (1) Punjab A	ct II of 19/3	***	216	20,043	17,859	1,819	1,08,371	3,161	42
Section 9. Puniab Act	H of 1903		1,085	5,962	2.794	3,044	22,613	20,643	43 44
Section 5 (2) (d), Punja	sb Act II of 1903		2,057	2,162 4,882	1,184	1,337 3,515	4,285 62,944	2,465	45
Section 5 (1), Punjab A	20 11 01 1003	***	2,000					1. 1. 1.	10
Section 5, Punjab Act	II of 1903			7,165	4,000	11,773	71,434	654	46
Section 35 (g), Punjab	Act IV of 1872	***	2,801	11,453	4,300	5,757	3,17,939	1,55,316	47
Section 6, Punjab Act I	II of 1903	***		21,680	12,005	12,767	12,242	1,431	48
Section 5 (1), Punjab A	ct II of 1903		3,448	4,706	1,163	2,947	1,79,107	63,278	49
Punjab Act II of 1903		***	(b) (d, b) (c)	1,260	592	949	2,080		50
to the first of the	Total		4,49,217 Inam 300	367,817	225,935	2,51,833	33,56,220	7,02,821	

STATEMENT

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

			100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				5				ASS
		166.69			A CONTRACTOR		roper	Investm	ents, loan	es and ade	ances.
District.		Serial No.	Name of estate.		Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immorable property.	Advances to tenants,
1		2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Kanyat	-	1 2 3 4	Arnauli N. Zulfiqar Ali Khan Sidhowai N. Niamat Ali Khan		Ra.' 11,29,053 4,04,525 8,64,950 8,02,725	Rs. 38,498 2,22,380 2,01,242	Rs. 85,829 57,400 61,747	Rs. 1,10,900 1,48,500	Rs	Rs. 127	Rs.
AMBALA	{	5 6 7—11	Manauli Kotaha 5 petty estates		10,17,425 3,11,100 7,25,100	4,15,137 3,45,200 2,10,495	1,11,952 46,598 1,01,806	25,000	64,000	93,834	
KANGRA	{	12 13	Guler Lambagraon		5,89,175 50,000	2,29,920 960	69,300 650	=	=	=	=
HOSMIABPUR		14	Bhabaur		30,525	\$9,760	1,000	***	***		==
JULIUNDUB	%	16-18	Kartarpur 3 petty estates		2,83,950 1,33,300	12,06,640 1,26,080	2,81,750 97,050	38,900 9,800	=======================================	38,000 3,024	480
LUDUIANA		19	Ladhran		1,00,300	32,480	8,830	-		==	
PEROZEPORE	-{	20 21 22	Mamdot Guru Harsahai Mallanwala		19,19,575 1,80,575 	42,85,922 15,44,600 2,04,400	4,13,600 1,24,433 18,170	4,22,756 12,000	7,02,690	1,93,759	
LANORS	.{	23 24 25—27	Sheikhupura S. Sarup Singh 3 petty estates		20,04,107 1,66,300 1,00,000	5,26,453 1,27,600 1,52,480	4,86,867 1,19,700 38,691	49,823 26,000	2,000	===	1,438
AWBITSAR		28	Chahal		60,525	70,400	80,000	9,100	-::	-	R
GUBDASPUR		29 30 31 & 32	Bhagowala 3 petty estates		=	30,000 30,000 3,23,000	10,666 10,666 3,16,200	522		985	11 11
Charles Amount on a		33 34	Kalaswala Butala		14,075	1,00,000 3,28,824	65,000 80,200			7,086 14,595	=======================================
SHAHPUR	{	35 36	Mitha Tiwana 1 petty estate		2,04,325 1,69,725	2,11,760 1,27,920	20,200 20,700	800	=	=	563 82
JHRLUM	-	37 & 38	2 petty estates		Inam 300	2,46,327	12,660	800	6,570	400	=
ATTOCK		39 40 41	S. Hardit Singh Kot Fateh Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan	: 1 :	24,975 1,54,600	17,65,025 11,30,350 4,32,080	23,01,884 1,97,187 15,500	32,900 2,20,000	::	27,588 55,298	690 22,050
MONTGOMERY	{	42 43 44	Kamalia Faridpur Basirpur		5,400 27,125 51,425	2,63,500 2,43,520 1,06,960	10,000 20,000 12,000			11.11	
JRANG		45	Jhang Sial		50,000	2,46,240	40,000	=	=		259
	{	46 47 48 49	Salarwahan Sher Shah Luddan Makhdum Hassan Bakhsh		70,025 86,200	7,16,500 10,84,775 10,21,360 3,50,598	22,000 3,190 85,520 83,770	70,000	24,000	51,102	7,405 175
MURAPPARGARU	-	50	Qazi Sheikh Muhammad	***		83,315	12,587	Tip.	111	===	₩_
-	1		Total	***	1,12,31,385 Inam 300	1,88,22,701	53,94,203	11,77,801	7,99,260	5,02,913	33,201

No. II.
AS ON SOTH SEPTEMBER 1913.

178.	BINS!					1			LIAB	ILITIES.				100
	Cash	01/8	1	Other asse	ets.	1	Bala	nces of loa	ins still due	Other :	sums due		lities.	
In Banks.	In Treasury.	In hand.	Estimated value of un-	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jowellery, stock and other items.	Total assets.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons.	To Government.	To other persons.	Total liabilities.	Excess of assets over liabilities	Serial No.
- 11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs. 20,000	Rs. 37,899 28,528 47,537 10,938	Rs. 13,099 200 359 100	Rs 10,155	Rs. 1,758 18,297 5	Rs. 10,337	Rs. 14,35,404 7,25,128 13,52,787 3,14,620		. Rs	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs,	Rs. 14,35,400 7,25,129 13,52,789 3,14,620	7 3
600	33,514 4 167 34,683	200 95 375		2,754 54 321	11,604 1,392 715	17,75,420 7,08,606 10,84,443	2		=	32 17 20	75,48	31 75,50	7 7,08,58	9 6
	991 111				=	8,89,386 51,721		-	1,60,126 9,071		=	1,60,12 9,07		
-	6,025		***			77,310			56,951	-	-	56,95	20,35	14
347	27,251 16,455	2,005	79	4,500 665	45,879 10,056	18,79,434 3,96,777		***					18,79,43	
	4,312			***	235	1,46,157							1,46,15	7 19
10	3,81,892 86,978 59	650 5,820	7,519 61	15,529 10,093 520	1,97,819 6,408 5,619	85,33,602 19,78,426 2,35,146	4.			8,704 776 51		8,70 770 5	19,77,650	21
 8,839	24.179 9,783 8,172	200 50 152	491 209	5,915 3,724 974	42,221 64,081 8,531	30,89,942 5,41,552 3,47,436		2,11:		=	-	2,11:	30,89,94 5,41,55 3,45,37	24
***	17,840		1,800	2,290	5,400	1,97,355	-	-					1,97,350	20
21,400	24,791	6,546		72 187 1,133	8,578	40,738 40,853 7,03,155	***	=			:	=	40,738 40,868 7,03,155	30
6,600	12,735 2,966			2,649 248	4,950	1,99,827 3,90,958		-	5,000	=	=	5,000	1,99,827 3,85,958	33 34
	5,180		10 81		5,967 1,924	4,58,211 3,25,651	=	-	8,985		=	8,985	4,58,211 3,16,666	35 36
***	7,917		1,051	-	4,200	2,80,225		6,570	2,704	On Phone		9,274	2,70,951	37 & 38
3,36,500	50,837 66,027 9,518	4,074 500 95	33,125		1,56,102 40,804	47,91,035 19,20,077 4,59,017	-::	-		69,257	10,012	79,269	47,91,035 19,20,077 3,79,748	59 40 41
	194 5,201 2,276	649	115 342 51	3,745	3,480 20,000	2,86,434 3,16,896 1,72,720	3,161	20,643 2,084	381			3,161 20,643 2,465	2,83,273 2,96,253 1,70,255	42 43 44
	6,505	20	•	2,046	500	3,45,570							3,45,570	45
1,045	23,164 14,864 38,596 399	100 30	20,632 25,608 8,817	13,942 20,305 54,045 3,803	1,384 200 19,080 1,822	7,97,622 11,93,359 13,96,766 5,36,659		1,36,074	58,650 62,877	654 592 603 401	 828 	654 1,95,816 1,431 63,278	7,96,968 9,98,043 13,95,335 4,73,381	46 47 48 49
-	2,439		3,473	597		1,02,411			-				1,02,411	50
1,85,841	10,55,971 3	5,406 1,	,72,181 2,	,18,433 6,	80,090 4	,05,18,886	3,161	1,67,484	3,64,745	81,107	86,324	7,02,821	3,98,16,065	

STATEME INCOME OF THE YEAR ENDI

									7	2003
					Incom	e from sale	of	Income fro	m loans rece	ivea
District.	7	Serial No.	Name of estate.		Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of wards.	Other persons.
1		2	3		4	5	8	7	8	9
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re
KARNAL	{	1 2 3 4	Arnauli N. Zulfiqar Ali Khan Sidhowal N. Niamat Ali Khan		-		-		-	
AMDALA	{	5 6 7—11	Manauli Kotaha 5 petty estates		430	20,402 200	71,880	=		
KAYGRA	{	12 13	Guler Lambagraon			=	::			***
HOSHIABPUR JULLUNDUR	5	14 15 16—18	Kartarpur 3 petty estates				4,773			
LUDHIANA		19	Ladhran	•••	5,890					***
FREOZEPORE	}	21 22	Gurubarsahai Mallanwala			***			=	
LAHORE	{	23 24 25—27	Sheikhupura S. Sarup Singh 3 petty estates		=	-			2,000	
AMRITSAR GURDASPUR	5	28 29 30	Chabal Bhagowala Do			-		=	-	
SIALKOT	5	31 & 32	2 petty estates Kalaswala		-	6,000				-
GUJEANWALA		34 35	Butala Mitha Tiwana			-	11,272			5,
JHELUM .	1	36 37 & 38	1 petty estate 2 petty estates							
RAWALPINDI ATTOCK	***	39 40	Hardit Singh Kot Fateh Khan							
MIANWALI		41	Muhammad Nawaz Khan Kamalia		860 4°,309					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
MONTGOMERY	}	43 44	Faridpur Basirpur	-	97,309				20,000 2,500	
JHANG		46 46 47	Jhang Sial Salarwahan Sher Shah					-		***
Muzappargabi	(48 49 50	Luddan Makhdum Hassan Bakhsh Qazi Sheikh Muhammad	-	2,768 500		4,816	~		
	1		Total		58,757	26,602	92,741		24,500	5,

No. III. 30th SEPTEMBER 1913.

DEBT TRAN	SACTIONS.			Овз	DINABY INCOM	E.			
Income from repayment of loans due to the estate.	Recovery of advances made to tenauts.	Total.	Jagir or talukdari income.	Cash reat.	Sale of grain and other produce and stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.	Total income.	Serial No.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Re.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
446 550		446 550	7,156 16,190 30,446 12,112	30 12.851 4,751	11,323	8,425 5,987 7,288 87	10,611 35,029 53,808 12,199	10,611 35,474 54,358 12,199	
6,307	20	78,187 20,422 1,062	41,144 12,183 29,601	17,661 17,119 14,53	5,108 3,853 5,001	12,845 2,172 711	76.258 35,327 50,166	1,54,445 55,749 51,228	7-
-			21,356 2,000	2,010	84 ⁹ 27	351 79	24,565 2,107	24,565 2,107	
-			1,253	1,993		1,248	4,494	4,494	
-	919	919 4,773	11,358 6,030	18,534 4,122	1,636 1,466	34,423 3,913	65,951 15,531	66,870 20,304	16
			3,237	594	563	714	5,108	5,108	15
35,411 20	1,476	41,301 1,496	60,926 3,824	1,87,840 20,407 841	3,417 37,021 2,350	2,12,301 8,015 568	4,64,484 69,267 3,759	5,05,785 70,763 3,759	
2,549 613 63	2,218	2,549 613 4,281	88,599 7,493 3,975	38,995 1,805 6,243	4,727 6,7 2 0	6,797 13,583 2,763	1,34,391 27,608 19,701	1,36,940 28,221 23,982	25-
			2,443	2,697	4,291	674	10,105	10,105	
		6,000	-	216 216 17,271	529 528 690	30 30 1,126	774 774 19,087	774 774 25,087	31 8
610		610		4,934	3,850	4,022	12,806	13,416	
1,356	5	17,633	608	14,143	982	1,443	17,176	34,809	
	242 82	242 82	9,557 8,495	319 103	20,719 6,476	5,567 4,754	36.162 19,828	36,404 19,910	
830		830			7,190	417	7,607	8,437	37.8
170	3,206	3,376	999	19,050	93,619	1,55,423	2,69,091	2,72,467	
13,562	13,920	27,482	6,224	13,975	47,601	22,917	90,717	1,18,199	
	990	1,850			49,420	1,137	50,557	52,407	
491	84	48,309 20,575 2,500	1,393	5,491	6,520 12,709 4,217	393 1,495 731	16,248 14,204 7,173	64,557 34,779 9,673	
	50	50	2,587		15,680	287	18,534	18,584	
25,135	60	27,903 560	2,801	30,781 40 1,818	38,287 511 22,336 8,918	2,113 54 7,887 1,090	40,400 34,147 30,263 14,734	40,400 34,147 58,166 15,294	
	-	4,816		4	4,274	760	5,038	9,854	
88,545	23,272	3,19,417	4,00,722	4,62,540	4,33,406	5,29,120	18,25,788	21,45,205	

STATEMENT EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR

Armail										1	VESTMEN	I, LOAN A	ND DEE
1 2 3 4 6 6 7 8 0 10								P	urchase o	f	Loa	ns.	
1 2 3 4 6 6 7 8 0 10				Name of esta	ste.		t of debts.		roperty.	securities of local bodie	other estates under	100	es made to tenants.
Armail			Serial No				Paymen	Land.	House p	Gyvernn securi	Made to	Secured able p	Advance
TARNAL	1		2	3			4	6	6	7	8	9	10
2							Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Maria	CABVAE	{	2 3	N. Zulfiqar Ali Khan Sidhowal		***	770	3,500		***			-
13 Lambagrach 500	MDAGA	{	6	Kotaha	***	***	44,402 6,475	***	***	•••			
15	ANGRA	{	13	Lambagraon				1		10000			
16—18 3 petry estates	OSHIABPUR		15,	Kartarpur			o re	E COLUMN	A COLUMN				48
CECERFORE 2 Comparable 2 Compa]	16—18	3 petty estates				***	1 12913				***
CELEFORE 221 Garubarsahai 162 642	UDHIANA			Vanadat						-	1		214
ABORA 24 S. Sarup Singh 11.880 7,121 2,000	ERCERPORE	-{		Guruharsahai	***	***	1941		***		***	***	
MRITSAB 28 Chabal 29 Bhagowala 20 31 & 32 2 petty estates 320 31 & 32 2 petty estates 320 31 & 32 2 petty estates 320 31 & 32 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	AHORN	{	24	S. Sarup Singh	***	-	11,880		2.75-2.50		***		
Do. Salarwala Salarwala	MRITSAB		28	Chahal								18.22	
ALKOT 33 Kalaswala 21,469 21,469 21,469 200 5 EARPUR { 35 Mitha Tiwana 10,621 1,994 158 200 5 ERLUM 37 & 38 2 petty estates 1,200 200 400	URDASPUR	}	30	Do	***	***	***	***					***
STRANWALA 34 Butala 21,469 21,469 35 Mitha Tiwana 10.621 1,994 158 200 58 200 58 200 59,000 1,994 200 400 200 400 200 400 200 400 200	ALKOT	***		Walana ta								" Aller	
36 1 petty estate 9,000 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 200 1,994 108 1,994 108 1,994 1,	UJBANWALA	***	1000										-
AWALPINDI 39 S. Hardit Singh 930 23,925 86,700 21,950 19,8 IANWALI 40 Kot Fatch Khan 23,503 30,000 21,950 19,8 IANWALI 50 Jhang Sial 5000 5000 5000 500000 50000		(36	1 petty estate									5
A0 Kot Fatch Khan	AWALPINDI						13-5					400	-
Muhammad Nawaz Khan 23,503 23,503	TTOCK	***	40	Kot Fateh Khan	***							M. D. 128	19,8
43 Faridpur 17,448 500 17,448 500 17,448 500 17,448 1500 17,014 159 17,014 17,01	IANWALI	***		Vamalia		***		•••					
45 Jhang Sial 7,014 159	CONTGOMERY	{	43	Faridpur	***	***	17,446	***	***				
### Shershah 23,417 100 2,500 50,000 2,500 50,000 2,500 50,000 2,500 50,000	HANG	***		Salamahan	***	***		1000			1000	A 51 84	
UEAPPARGARE 50 Qazi Sheikh Muhammad 12.341	IULTAN	-{	47	Shershah Luddan	***	***	23,417	100	•••		***	***	=
	(UZAPPARGARH	***	50	Qazi Sheikh Muhammad	***					3446	16 - 1	28 (2)	100
			1	To	otal		2,78,088	52,690	48,691	1,17,160	18,500	1,14,450	21,0

o. IV. NDING 30th SEPTEMBER 1913.

	ons.				ORDINA	RY EXPE	INDITURE.			D WALL		emen 100m	
Cost of permanent improvements.	Total,	Land revenue, cesses, commutation fees and haq-ul-tabsil.	Ward's rate.	Cost of manager and staff.	Total cust of management (sum of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary improvements and repairs.	Personal expenses of ward including cost of education.	Allowances to ward's family.	Expenditure on law, domestic ceremonies, servants, stables, &c.	Total ordinary expenditure.	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management (column 16) on total ordinary income (column 17 of Statement III).	Serial No.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
3,683 3,697	10,183 11,467	840 7,074 8,975 2,619	1.000 570 876 971	1,170 2,047 4,932 564	2.170 2.617 5,809 985	751 4.070 1,138	2,941 2,977 7,200 1,629	1,734 2,490 5,692 1,920	7,177 5,287 18,712 4,186	15,413 24,425 47,525 11,289	15,413 34,608 58,992 11,289	20 7·5 10·8 7·6	
43	73,601 44,403 10,518	9,773 5,450 8,108	1,010 622 1,685	4,003 2,079 2,971	5,012 2,701 4,656	1,048 883 913	4,421 6,670	18,222 588 4,678	16,785 3 106 8,268	55.261 12,728 33,293	1,28,862 57,130 43 811	6·5 8 9·3	
411	16,216 500	6 12	697 104	613 120	1,310 224		6,735 255	780 709	398 414	9,229 1,614	25,445 2,114	5·3 10·6	
***		502	225	132	357	49		1,100	291	2,299	2,299	8-	1
1,071	39,551	6,628 1,314	894 562	4,416 633	5,310 1,198	1,750 2,607	3,656 1,442	9,000 2,975	23.276 7,819	49,620 17,355	89,171 17,355	8·1 4·3	16-
- 1		730	252	420	672	453	1,350	187	1,483	4,825	4,825	13.2	1
201 499	49,201 4,141 162	24.580 8,203 1,282	1,199	23,689 6,491 379	23,689 7,690 1,119	74,356 1,729 351	63,217 4,398 450	16,980 1,630	74,046 10,602 7,176	2,76,868 34,252 10,378	3,26,069 38,393 10,540	5°1 12°3 29°8	1
764	34,167 13,644 9,121	10,159 512 1,570	1,517 417 846	4,354 1,612 1,672	5.871 2.029 2,518	13,533 735 557	9,564 2,163 1,820	5,380 1,545 4,880	39,676 8,006 8,551	84,183 14,990 19,896	1,18,350 28,634 29,017	4·4 7·3 12·8	100
		1,240	445	414	859	371	1,828	1,817	2,418	8,533	8,533	8.7	
436	756	128 2 4.735	134 147 1,046	60 59 1,680	194 2°6 2,726	4 4 2,773	671 560 2,034	4,235	55 55 6,466	1,052 827 22,969	1,052 827 23,725	25.0 26.6 14.3	31
210	210	1,002	459	685	1,144	476	1,766		342	4,730	4,940	8.9	
•	21,469	971	1,704	1,900	3,604	345	3,475	1,218	2,333	11,948	33,415	21-	1
	13,536 11,076	3,555 1,708	181	2,378 670	2,559 670	408 596	3,850 1,807	2,695 1,440	7,290 4,478	20,357 10,199	33,893 21,275	7·1 3·4	
	1,800	1,544	338	679	1,017	87	1,776	2,389	1,449	8,263	10,062	13.4	37
,533	1,19,088	55,174	8,643	13,902	22,545	32,495	8,153	5,988	79,743	2,04,098	3,23,186	8.3	
3,227	75,004 23,503	14,409	1,546	14,547	16,093	654	3,080	8,625	16,329	59.190	1,34,194	17-7	
325 381	59,400 17,771 881	6,963 330 2,702 1,223	574 316 99 442	3,169 2,489 2,218 1,450	3,743 2,798 2,317 1,892	2,000 263 871 168	1,800 3,240 1,887 1,838	98 2,900 1,173	1,301 1,379 381	23.829 8,028 11,956 6,674	47,332 67,428 29,727 7,555	7.4 17.2 16.3 26.3	
146	7,819	2,902	220	884	1,104	237	3,000	***	174	7,410	14,729	59	
475 254 613 436	475 24,771 65,163 9,229	11,773 5,757 12,767 2,947	1,124	2,2°0 1,502 10,436 1,736	2,290 1,502 11,560 1,736	5,259 1,139 3,183 446	4,383 4,191 2,951 4,540	3,270 1,486 3,158	1,511 3,815 9,789 794	28,486 17,890 43,308 10,463	28,961 42,661 1,08,471 19,692	5·7 4·3 38 2 11·7	
	12,341	1,017	268	144	412	581	601		717	3,328	15,669	8.1	

STATEMENT No. V.
IMPORTANT TRANSACTIONS OF THR YEAR (IF ANY).

	1	1	LAND P	UECHASED.	LAND	SOLD.		OF HOUSE	OF GOVE	RNMENT	VALUE O		VALUE (OF LOANS BY	CEIVED		
District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Area.	Value.	Area.	Value.	Purchased.	Sold.	Purchased.	Sold.	To other estates under the court of wards.	To other persons on security of immoveable property.	Government.	Other estates under the court of wards.	Other persons.	Debts of estate paid off.	Serial No.
1	2	3	- 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
			Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Re.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	
BALA { NGBA { NGBA { NGBA { INGRA { NGBA { INGRA	47	Manauli Kotaha Guler	287 287 1	3,500 8,948 26,550 1,994 930 100	 	48,309	20,402 4,206 158 23,925	20,402	200 86,700 30,000		14,000	100 38,000 21,950 50,000		20,000	5,0co	44,402 16,216 29,961 11,880 10,621 23,503 59,400 17,446 23,417	2 5 6 12 15 23 24 34 35 39 40 41 42 43 47 48
		Total	349	42,022	258	51,077	48,691	20,402	1,16,900		16,500	1,10,050		20,000	5,000	2,86,846	

STATEMENT No. VI.

ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR.

							ARI	EA OF L	AND HE	LD IN P	ROPRIE	FARY	ts during		OTHER T			Asset	S OTHER	THAN VA	LUB OF	JAGIR
					6.		On a	issumpt charge	ion of	0	n relea	80,	improvements									
District.	Name of estate,	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area,	Land revenue assessment,	Expenditure on permanent im period of charge.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities,	Other assets,	Total assets.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
					Rs.	Ra.	Acres.	Acres,	Rs.	Acres	Acres	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Fs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.
arnal	Arnauli	B. Shamsher Singh.	13th September 1897.	15th January 1913.	16,779	***	853	313	467	1,002	592	490	1,04,551	37,154	34,000	20,000	91,154	38,998	85,829	1,10,900	38,026	2,73,753
erozepore	Mallanwala	Muhammad Ali Khan and Bar- kat Ali Khan,	9th August 1899.	15th February 1913.	1,05,219	***	6,059	2,867	2,609	5,846	3,562	2,896	***	2,08,723	18,170	41,790	2,68,683	2,04,400	18,170		12,576	2,35,146
urdaspur	Bhagowala	S. Pritpal Singh and S. Bikramjit Singh,	1909.	20th February 1913. Do.	10,005	***	198	180	177.00	153 152	139	307	***	18,773 18,773	10,667		30,937 31, 069	30,000	10,666		72 187	40,738

378 FC-300-28-3-14-SGPP Lahore.

