

F 54

REPORT



ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES


UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1918.



12773

Lahore :

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB.

1919.

Price : Rs. 0-8-0 or 9d.



Faint, illegible text or markings, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.



**Punjab Court of Wards Report
for the year 1917-18.**

List of Agents for the Sale of Punjab Government Publications.

IN THE UNITED KINGDOM.

- CONSTABLE & Co., 10, Orange Street,
Leicester Square, London, W.C.
- KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER & Co.,
Limited, 68-74, Carter Lane, E.C.,
and 25, Museum Street, London,
W.C.
- BERNARD QUARITCH, 11, Grafton Street,
New Bond Street, London, W.
- T. FISHER UNWIN, Limited, No. 1,
Adelphi Terrace, London, W.C.
- P. S. KING & SON, 2 & 4, Great
Smith Street, Westminster, London,
S.W.
- H. S. KING & Co., 65, Cornhill, and 9,
Pall Mall, London.
- GRINDLAY & Co., 54, Parliament Street,
London, S.W.
- W. THACKER & Co., 2, Creed Lane,
London, E.C.
- LUZAC & Co., 46, Great Russell Street,
London, W.C.
- B. H. BLACKWELL, 50 & 51, Broad
Street, Oxford.
- DEIGHTON BELL & Co., Limited, Cam-
bridge.
- OLIVER & BOYD, Tweeddale Court,
Edinburgh.
- E. PONSONBY, Limited, 116, Grafton
Street, Dublin.
- WILLIAM WESLEY & SON, 28, Essex
Street, Strand, London.

ON THE CONTINENT.

- ERNEST LEROUX, 28, Rue Bonaparte,
Paris, France.
- MARTINUS NIJHOFF, The Hague, Hol-
land.

IN INDIA.

- THE MANAGER, Imperial Book Depot,
Delhi.
- GULAB SINGH & SONS, Mufid-i-'Am
Press, Lahore.
- MANAGER, Punjab Law Book Depot,
Anarkali Bazar, Lahore.
- RAMA KRISHNA & SONS, Book-sellers
and News Agents, Anarkali Street,
Lahore.
- HONORARY SECRETARY, Punjab Reli-
gious Book Society, Anarkali,
Lahore.
- N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent and
Proprietor, Nazir Kanun Hind Press,
Allahabad.
- D. B. TARAPOREVALA, SONS & Co.,
Bombay.
- THACKER SPINK & Co., Calcutta and
Simla.
- NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.
- R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta.
- THACKER & Co., Bombay.
- HIGGINBOTHAMS, Limited, Madras.
- T. FISHER UNWIN, Calcutta.
- V. KALYANARAM IYER & Co., 189,
Esplanade Row, Madras.
- G. A. NATESAN & Co., Madras.
- SUPERINTENDENT, AMERICAN BAPTIST
MISSION PRESS, Rangoon.

REPORT
ON THE
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE
COURT OF WARDS

IN THE
PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1918.



Lahore :
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB,
1919.

(Maximum number of pages fixed for the text—2.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Para.	Subject.	Page.
1	Estates under management and those taken over and released ...	1
2	Assets and investments	<i>ib.</i>
3	Reduction of debts	2
4	Arrears of rent and treatment of tenants	<i>ib.</i>
5	Expenditure on improvements	<i>ib.</i>
6	Agricultural developments	3
7	Comparison of income and revenue demand	<i>ib.</i>
8	Education	<i>ib.</i>
9	Notice of officers	4
STATEMENTS.		
I	General statement of estates under charge of the Court of Wards during the year ending 30th September 1917	ii—iii
II	Assets and liabilities as on 30th September 1917	iv—v
III	Income of the year ending 30th September 1917	vi—vii
IV	Expenditure of the year ending 30th September 1917	viii—ix
V	Important transactions of the year (if any)	x—xi
VI	Estates released during the year ending 30th September 1917	xii
	Statement showing comparison of the proportion borne by land revenue and cesses paid, to rents received and proceeds for grain sales in different estates for the year ending 30th September 1917	xiii—xiv

FROM

THE HON'BLE MR. E. JOSEPH, I.C.S.,

Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

Dated Lahore, 19th June 1919.

Revenue and Agriculture.

General.

SIR,

I AM directed to submit, for the information of the Government of India, a copy of the report on the administration of the Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1918.

2. The number of estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards fell during the 12 months from 41 to 40, three estates having been released and two new ones brought under management. Among those released, the Ramgarh Estate had been under the Court of Wards for nearly 21 years during the ward's minority. Debts amounting to Rs. 15,240 were discharged during this period, and the ward on attaining majority has been started upon his career with cash and securities amounting to nearly Rs. 15,000 in addition to an unburdened estate of a considerable value.

3. Rupees 5,62,953 were invested in Government securities during the year compared with Rs. 5,22,673 in the preceding year. The largest investment in the War Loan was made by the Mamdot Estate which added Rs. 2,63,000 to the 2 lakhs invested by it in the earlier loan. Substantial sums were also invested by the Guru Har Sahai, Kot Fateh Khan and Luddan Estates. His Honour entirely agrees with the Financial Commissioner's remark that in making these investments the action of the Court of Wards was in consonance with the policy which the heads of the families themselves would have adopted had they been controlling their own affairs. To this it may be added that a more ideal investment for the floating assets of a large estate would be hard to find, combining as it does perfect security and a good interest. Owing to the large sums invested in the War Loan purchases of landed property were, as in the previous 12 months, of small account.

4. Debts were repaid to the extent of Rs. 5,29,861 compared with an average of Rs. 2,14,114 in the three preceding years, and the total liabilities at the end of the year amounted to Rs. 9,84,603 or less than 3 per cent. of the total assets which were estimated at Rs. 3,75,74,281. These figures include those estates which were released during the year. The careful management of the Court of Wards is shown by the fact that the liabilities of all the estates now under its charge have been reduced by 21½ lakhs since they came under its superintendence, while the total cost of management, though varying in different estates, is on the whole 10 per cent. only of the total income. Meantime the balance of assets over liabilities has increased by 107 lakhs. The increase in the indebtedness of the Ahmadabad Estate during the past year is unfortunate, and His Honour hopes that some means will be found of placing the affairs of this estate on a more satisfactory footing.

5. The method at present employed for the determination of cash rents in the Kotaha and Raipur Estates of the Ambala District is far from satisfactory, and the Lieutenant-Governor is having the question further examined. In the absence of improvements effected by the landlord, it is not *prima facie* advisable that even in poor estates the rents paid by tenants

should exceed the standard of fair and equitable rents paid for similar areas in the neighbourhood.

6. Expenditure on permanent improvements has decreased from Rs. 1,42,201 to Rs. 1,08,716, nearly three-quarters of which is accounted for by the Mamdot and Kot Fateh Khan Estates. The improvements made by these estates were of a miscellaneous character, but the arboricultural operations of the Mamdot Estate deserve special notice. A sum of Rs. 12,389 was spent on these operations during the year, and 21 miles of road were planted with *kikar* trees. This outlay will undoubtedly bring in a substantial return in the fullness of time. The failure of the tube well at Guru Sahai is disappointing and the experimental dry farm at Kot Fateh Khan has also fallen short of expectations, while poor agricultural results are reported from Luddan. Assuming, as may legitimately be done, the soundness of the lines of advance which have been approved by the Agricultural Department, there seems no reason why such developments—provided the foundations are securely laid—should not be a source of great profit to the estates as well as a valuable object lesson to the neighbourhoods concerned. The difficulty of obtaining suitable men with agricultural qualifications to manage the farms is one which should soon be overcome with the larger number of students now passing through the Lyallpur Agricultural College. The sheep farms at Mamdot and Luddan are doing well and the former estate is about to add a cattle farm to its other activities.

7. The Lieutenant-Governor agrees with Mr. Maynard in the importance which he attaches to the agricultural training of the wards, and is glad to learn that in addition to those who went through the vernacular course at the Lyallpur College in the previous year two more wards have undergone training during the year under report. The policy of associating the wards in the management of their own estates is one which should be fostered wherever possible, and some advance in this respect is reported to have been made during the past year. The education of the wards has progressed satisfactorily and more attention is being paid to their supervision during their holidays. The work done by several wards in the interests of recruiting and other war work is an encouraging feature.

8. The Lieutenant-Governor is glad to recognize the good work done by the Deputy Commissioners and Managers referred to in paragraph 9 of the Financial Commissioner's Report. He trusts that in the selection of managers due regard will be paid not only to integrity and business ability but also to the possession of qualities which will as far as possible ensure harmonious and sympathetic working with the families and dependents of the wards.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

E. JOSEPH,

Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

No. 601-430-1. •

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONERS' OFFICE, PUNJAB :

Dated Lahore, 27th March 1919.

FROM

KHAN SAHIB MIAN ABDUL AZIZ,
Junior Secretary to the Financial Commissioners,
Punjab,

TO

E. JOSEPH, Esq., I.C.S.,
Offg. Revenue Secretary to Government, Punjab.

The Hon'ble Mr. H. J. Maynard, C.S.I. I.C.S.

SIR,

I AM directed to submit the Annual Court of Wards Report for the year ending 30th September 1918.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

ABDUL AZIZ,

Junior Secretary to the Financial Commissioners, Punjab.

No. 001-1001

SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

MAJESTY JOHN ABUL ABIS

Major Secretary to the Financial Commission

Sir,

M. JOHNSON, Esq., I.O.S.

Off. Secy to Secretary of Government, Tripoli

Reference is made to your letter of the 10th inst.

Sir,

I am directed to advise the Audit Board of West's report for the year ending 30th September 1918.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

ADUL ABIS

Major Secretary to the Financial Commission, Tripoli



REPORT
ON THE
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES
UNDER THE
COURT OF WARDS.
IN THE
PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1918.

At the commencement of the year there were 41 estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards. The charge of three complete estates as well as of a part of one other estate was relinquished during the year, while two new estates were brought under management. The number at the close of the year thus stood at 40. As compared with the preceding year the area held in proprietary rights has decreased from 335,061 acres to 330,882 acres, while the jagirs have risen in value from Rs. 3,24,445 to Rs. 3,55,255 and the gross assets from Rs. 262 lakhs to Rs. 274 lakhs approximately. Two estates are held by the Deputy Commissioners under Act VIII of 1890 (Guardian and Wards Act).

Estates under management and those taken over and released.

The Ramgarh Estate released on 8th August 1918 remained under the Court of Wards for nearly 21 years. The superintendence by the Court of Wards resulted in a complete wiping out of all debts and the Court was able to hand to the ward securities worth Rs. 7,700, Rs. 7,075 in cash and an unencumbered estate greatly enhanced in value.

Sayad Baqar Shah's portion of the Salarwahan estate was released on 9th July 1918. The results of the management assumed on 20th August 1907 are satisfactory.

The Sidhowal estate which was released towards the end of May 1917 had again to be taken over with effect from the 4th of June 1918.

The second estate to be taken over during the year was that of Mian Iftikhar-ud-din, son of Khan Bahadur Mian Jamal Din of Baghbanpura. The Khan Bahadur died in April 1915 and the estate was at first managed by his widow and her father, but this dual control led to quarrels between them and at the suggestion of the District Judge the estate was brought under the Court of Wards with effect from 21st March 1918. The debts at the time of the assumption of control were trifling and were soon repaid. The estate holds 1,627 acres of land in proprietary rights in British territory and the total assets are well above Rs. 4 lakhs.

2. Investments were once again chiefly made in the Indian war loan.

Assets and investments. The total contribution of the several estates under this head amounted to Rs. 5,62,953 as against Rs. 5,22,673 in the preceding year. Mamdot with an investment of Rs. 2,63,000 headed the list, Guru Har Sahai with Rs. 65,438 coming next, while Kot Fateh Khan, which had contributed Rs. 1,10,000 in the preceding year, took the 3rd place with a second investment of half a lakh. These substantial investments in the war loan were in keeping with the spirit shown by the gentry of the Province generally; and the Financial Commissioner feels that the Court of Wards acted in this matter as the heads of the families themselves would have acted if they had been controlling their own affairs. The amount spent in the purchase of land shows a considerable rise—Rs. 54,250 in 1917-18 as against only Rs. 16,210 in 1916-17. The rise is apparent only. Two acres of waste land belonging to the Sardar Kesri Singh estate near the

Amritsar town were sold for a sum of Rs. 46,000 and this sum of Rs. 46,000 was re-invested in purchasing 120 acres of land in Harbanspura. The land in Amritsar yielded an annual rent of Rs. 170 while the land now purchased is expected to give an income of Rs. 2,200 per annum.

3. The total amount expended towards re-payment of debts in 1917-18 amounted to Rs. 5,29,861 as against —

Reduction of debts.

Rs. 1,73,051 in 1916-17,
 " 2,05,340 in 1915-16, and
 " 2,63,951 in 1914-15.

The only seriously bad case, however, of indebtedness is that of the Ahmadabad estate. The debt has actually increased from Rs. 29,225 on 1st October 1917 to Rs. 32,348 on 1st October 1918, and the Deputy Commissioner has reported that Rs. 3,500 had to be borrowed from the Dullah estate to pay some extremely urgent demands such as land revenue and Aitchison College fees. The Deputy Commissioner of Ambala has drawn pointed attention to the fact that great difficulty is experienced in recovering instalments of loans due from the Ahmadabad estate to the Manauli estate.

4. When the Butala estate was released the arrears of recoverable rent stood at Rs. 15,117 against Rs. 10,577 on 1st October 1917. In the Sher Shah estate

Arrears of rent and treatment of tenants. the arrears have gone down from Rs. 52,634 to Rs. 50,574, but the outstandings are still very heavy and as anticipated last year a substantial portion of these arrears will have to be written off. It has been reported that in some cases the arrears are due to the fact that the rents are high. Mr. Beazley in his assessment report of the Naraingarh Tahsil criticised the cash rents prevailing in the Kotaha and Raipur estates of the Ambala District as follows:—"These may be called truly competitive rents inasmuch as the usual course has been to put the renting of the land up to auction. On the other hand, in many cases this has resulted in speculative bids by outsiders who have subsequently squeezed the actual cultivators so as to make a profit out of the contract, or in other cases the tenants themselves have made higher bids than they would otherwise have considered fair in order to keep the contract out of the hands of a middleman." The Deputy Commissioner in alluding to these remarks has drawn pointed attention to the fact that "in the case of such impoverished estates little in the way of liberality can be shown to tenants and no improvements introduced which are not remunerative."

5. The amount of money spent on permanent improvements has decreased from Rs. 1,42,201 in 1916-17 to Rs. 1,08,716 in the year under report.

Expenditure on improvements.

Except in Mamdot and Kot Fateh Khan the sums shown in column 11 of Statement IV as having been spent on permanent improvements represent chiefly the expenditure incurred in connection with buildings.

In Mamdot the amount of Rs. 59,289 spent during the year includes an expenditure of Rs. 27,839 incurred by the estate to provide enclosure walls for the Nawab's tenants in the Lower Bari Doab Colony. The main bazar roads of the Jalalabad town were consolidated with brick ballast and kankar at a cost of Rs. 10,450 and culverts to save the town from flooding have been constructed at a cost of Rs. 2,600. A new tube-well is being constructed and a comprehensive scheme for safeguarding the town from floods is being undertaken. Various other improvements such as the provision of a dispensary, new stables for the stud, better drainage and better housing of the tenants are receiving proper attention. In Kot Fateh Khan minor improvements in the shape of repairs to old buildings and the provision of two new stables account for an expenditure of Rs. 20,088. Both the tube-wells alluded to in last year's report proved failures.

Arboriculture is receiving due attention in Guru Har Sahai. In Mamdot the operations are on a very large scale and cost during the year a sum of Rs. 12,389—the income under this head for the same period being Rs. 4,629. Kiker trees were planted in 21 miles of road length and nurseries for fruit and garden plants are reported to be in good condition. A vigorous development along the lines on which the work is being carried on now should result in a great addition to the resources of the estate.

6. Owing to heavy rains in 1917 and to the failure of the tube-well in 1918 the Guru Har Sahai agricultural farm did not prosper during the year under report. Agricultural developments. The Director of Agriculture suggested the employment of a trained Agricultural Darogha and the estate has been able to secure the services of a properly qualified assistant. In Mamdot some progress has been made with the proposal to shift the Demonstration Farm from Jalalabad to Chak Hiraj and a tube well is being constructed at the latter place at a cost of Rs. 12,443 under the supervision of the Agricultural Engineer to Government, Punjab. In Kot Fateh Khan the experimental dry farm did not produce very encouraging results, but it will be maintained in the hope that further trials might prove more satisfactory. American cotton was sown in about 400 acres in the Luddan Estate but the results were poor and the estate did not do well with wheat No. 11 either. The agricultural farm in this estate also was unsuccessful owing to the resignation of the trained Kardar obtained from the Lyallpur Agricultural College and the consequent absence of supervision. By an accidental combination of untoward circumstances all the important estates chronicle agricultural failures, but with the removal of avoidable causes better results should be more in evidence. The failure of American cotton in Luddan as also in the Salarwahan estate is particularly unfortunate as elsewhere in the vicinity the results were altogether different and the crop brought huge profits.

A cattle farm is about to be started in Mamdot. The existing sheep farm of that estate has yielded promising results. The wool from 343 sheep was sold for Rs. 987. The merino breed is valued for its wool and the sheep farm will find many imitators in the neighbourhood. A sheep farm is being also maintained in the Luddan estate where the wool from 253 sheep is valued at Rs. 320. The poultry farm in Kot Fateh Khan has proved disappointing even with black minorcas. The stud there, however, continued to do well and though financially it has never been a paying concern it has great indirect advantages and its maintenance is entirely in consonance with the dignity and traditions of the family concerned. Both in the Mamdot and Kot Fateh Khan studs a much-needed reform in the direction of clearance of useless stock has been carried out under the advice of the officers of the Army Remount Department. The experiment of placing agricultural work in important estates in the charge of men with agricultural qualifications is being proceeded with. Unfortunately the man deputed to Mamdot died during the influenza epidemic and the original Kardar deputed to Luddan resigned his post. The results of the experiment cannot be tested for some time yet.

7. A statement printed at the end of this report shows the proportion borne by the demand on account of land revenue and cesses to the income derived from rent and sale of grain. Comparison of income and revenue demand. Out of the 44 estates for which statistics are included in that statement only six show a percentage above 33 but below 40 while in 5 the percentage ranges between 25 and 33. Altogether 32 estates show a lower percentage, 14 being even lower than 15 per cent. Only one estate on account of failure of crops shows the percentage to be 50 and in this case the total demand amounts to the paltry sum of Rs. 12.

The cost of management of the estates taken *en bloc* is roughly 10 per cent. of the total ordinary income. The percentage is not excessive.

8. Adequate arrangements have been made for the education of the wards and their relatives. At present 23 wards and seven relatives of other wards receive instruction in the Aitchison Chiefs' College and a report by the Principal as to their conduct and progress in education is being forwarded confidentially to Government. One ward is reading in the preparatory school for boys attached to the Queen Mary's College, Lahore, and three female relatives of wards read in that College. Eight wards are receiving their education in other institutions. The Financial Commissioner observes that the supervision of wards during holidays engaged the attention of most Deputy Commissioners concerned and has no doubt that such supervision will be greatly to the advantage and well-being of the wards. Education.

While instances are not wanting of very undesirable traits of character in some of the wards the Financial Commissioner is satisfied that the measures taken for their education are on the whole eminently successful.

The Financial Commissioner takes every opportunity to encourage the wards to take a personal interest in the management of their estates and apart from other measures Mr. Maynard attaches special importance to agricultural training. The steps taken to provide suitable accommodation at Lyallpur have removed one difficulty in the way and the Financial Commissioner is glad to find that, in addition to the two wards who went through the vernacular course last year, 2 more took advantage during the year under report of the facilities provided at Lyallpur. Tikka Baldeo Singh of Guler and S. Ajmer Singh of Ramgarh have been commendably noticed for the responsible discharge of the duties definitely assigned to them in connection with the management of their estates. Sultan Khan of Mangwal also worked as Assistant Manager.

Sardar Muhammad Nawaz Khan of Kot Fateh Khan continued to do extremely good work in connection with the war and his personal interest in recruitment was very helpful. His keen desire to join the Army while very creditable to his loyalty had to be disregarded in the interest of his education. Tikka Baldeo Singh of Guler also did very useful work in pushing on recruitment.

A noteworthy achievement of the Guler Estate was that it has furnished 425 recruits since the beginning of the war.

9. The Financial Commissioner has read with great interest the reports received from Messrs. Clarke, Butler and Dunnett, and his acknowledgments are due to these officers for the energetic and tactful manner in which they carried out their duties in connection with the estates under the Court of Wards. He agrees with the commendation bestowed on Khan Sahib Muhammad Sher Khan as Manager of the Kot Fateh Khan Estate and on Malik Sher Muhammad as Manager of the Mamdot Estate. Both charges were onerous and the two Managers deserve credit for the excellent results.

Notice of officers.

No.	Date	Particulars	Amount	By	To	Total
1	1875
2	1875
3	1875
4	1875
5	1875
6	1875
7	1875
8	1875
9	1875
10	1875
11	1875
12	1875
13	1875
14	1875
15	1875
16	1875
17	1875
18	1875
19	1875
20	1875
21	1875
22	1875
23	1875
24	1875
25	1875
26	1875
27	1875
28	1875
29	1875
30	1875
31	1875
32	1875
33	1875
34	1875
35	1875
36	1875
37	1875
38	1875
39	1875
40	1875
41	1875
42	1875
43	1875
44	1875
45	1875
46	1875
47	1875
48	1875
49	1875
50	1875
51	1875
52	1875
53	1875
54	1875
55	1875
56	1875
57	1875
58	1875
59	1875
60	1875
61	1875
62	1875
63	1875
64	1875
65	1875
66	1875
67	1875
68	1875
69	1875
70	1875
71	1875
72	1875
73	1875
74	1875
75	1875
76	1875
77	1875
78	1875
79	1875
80	1875
81	1875
82	1875
83	1875
84	1875
85	1875
86	1875
87	1875
88	1875
89	1875
90	1875
91	1875
92	1875
93	1875
94	1875
95	1875
96	1875
97	1875
98	1875
99	1875
100	1875

STATEMENTS.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF THE

DIVISION.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estates.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of a ward if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
AMBALA	Karnal	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	2-1-1903	26-3-1903	
		2	Sidhowal	Bhai Fatehjang Singh	29-3-1909	4-6-1918	
		3	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	Bhai Sherjang Singh	25-12-1913	13-10-1909	
		4	Manauli	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	7-2-1903	2-3-1909	
		5	Kotaha	S. Umrao Singh	15-6-1896	1-3-1911	
	Ambala	6	Raipur	Mir Muhammad Jafar Ali Khan.	...	19-8-1906	
		7	Sohana	Rao Baldeo Singh	...	20-12-1904	
		8	Manak Majra	S. Deo Indar Singh	13-2-1900	20-2-1912	
		9	Kandhola	S. Gurbakhsb Singh	31-7-1902	6-5-1902	
		10	Ramgarh	S. Jagindhar Singh	14-1-1904	18-12-1897	
JULLUNDUR	Kangra	11	Guler	Raja Raghunath Singh	...	29-11-1899	
		12	Lambagraon	Tika Buldeo Singh	...	18-12-1909	
	Hoshiarpur	13	Bhabaur	M. Daljit Chand	...	4-4-1898	
		Jullundar	14	Kartarpur	Rai Hira Chand	...	9-12-1896
			15	Shahkot	Guru Atma Singh	12-6-1901	2-12-1906
		Ferozepore	16	Guru Har Sahai	Kanwar Sardul Singh	23-7-1902	17-2-1906
			17	Mamdot	Sardar Arjan Singh	28-4-1902	22-8-1910
	LAHORE	Lahore	18	Kilas Nath	Guru Jaswant Singh	16-10-1898	20-1-1891
			19	Rani Chhaunian	Guru Karam Singh	14-10-1902	...
			20	Sardar Kesri Singh	Nawab Ghulam Qutb-ud-din Khan.	...	1-4-1905
21			Iftikhar-ud-din	D. Kilas Nath	...	25-5-1915	
Amritsar		22	Chahal	Rani Chhaunian	...	2-12-1916	
		23	Atari	S. Kesri Singh	24-3-1885	21-3-1915	
		24	Fatebgarh	M. Muhammad Iftikhar-ud-din.	8-4-1907	18-3-1908	
Gurdaspur		25	Kishenkot	S. Antar Singh	6-2-1899	13-5-1914	
		Gujranwala	26	Rangar Nangal	S. Harnand Singh	30-12-1904	17-3-1902
			27	Butala	S. Balwant Singh	...	22-4-1915
	28	Lala Ram Das	D. Dilbagh Rai	7-10-1900	13-4-1908		
RAWALPINDI	Shahpur	29	Tarkhanwala	Birbar Thakur Harkishan Singh.	...	24-4-1915	
		30	Sahiwal	S. Hari Singh	20-5-1903	13-4-1908	
	Jhelum	31	Abmadabad	S. Narain Singh	14-5-1905	9-4-1906	
		32	Dullah	S. Bahadur Singh	25-7-1892	24-11-1916	
		33	Mangwal	S. Budh Singh	3-4-1895	31-10-1916	
		Attock	34	Kot Fateh Khan	Lala Ram Das	...	2-4-1914
			35	Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	Amir Abdulla Khan	21-1-1911	2-4-1914
	MULTAN	Montgomery	36	Faridpur	Jamadar Sardar Abdul Rahman Khan.	...	29-7-1915
			37	Basirpur	S. Muhammad Hayat Khan...	1906	10-7-1914
			Jhang	38	Kila Tara Singh	S. Fateh Khan	...
39				Ditto	Raja Muhammad Hayat Khan	...	14-12-1908
Multan		40	Shah Jiwana	Sultan Sikandar	29-7-1895	2-4-1903	
		Salarwahan	41	Salarwahan	Sultan Khan	12-2-1898	14-1-1907
			42	Sher Shah	S. Muhammad Nawaz Khan	12-8-1901	17-10-1910
		Luddan	43	Luddan	Muhammad Nawaz Khan	...	20-12-1915
			44	Khan Bahadur Makh-dum Hassan Bakhsh.	Muhammad Usman Khan	5-2-1903	14-8-1916
		45		Jagtar Singh	1-5-1903	14-8-1916	

COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1918.

Section and sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.	
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.				
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	Rs. 17,874	Acres. 3,794	Acres. 2,016	Rs. 2,783	Rs. ...	Rs. 5,87,291	1	
Ditto Ditto	38,130	1,485	...	7,925	1,00,000	13,54,092	2	
Ditto Ditto	11,923	3,33,554	3	
Ditto Ditto	48,403	4,184	2,170	5,968	50,000	7,33,657	4	
Section 5 (2), Punjab Act II of 1903	12,444	8,415	5,079	4,315	...	7,31,196	5	
Ditto ditto	4,095	4,047	2,569	1,347	1,13,002	2,51,740	6	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	9,164	353	189	582	39,585	2,34,698	7	
Ditto ditto	9,426	352	270	337	6,070	2,17,162	8	
Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1972, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	7,064	566	261	277	13,730	3,62,566	9	
Ditto ditto	4,628	2,057	552	450	15,240	1,70,006	10	
Section 35 (g), Punjab Act IV of 1872	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	25,189	16,719	8,642	2,519	4,26,692	9,85,000	11	
Section 35 (g), Punjab Act IV of 1872, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,000	5,216	1,210	12	21,323	51,713	12	
Ditto ditto	1,221	1,995	446	497	86,354	71,385	13	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	13,706	11,970	9,961	18,103	67,014	17,58,501	14	
Ditto ditto	1,958	122	119	344	...	4,041	15	
Ditto ditto	8,817	16,208	14,463	6,828	1,27,418	19,43,577	16	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	76,783	69,011	61,655	60,618	3,57,647	65,05,638	17	
Ditto ditto	3,960	468	408	1,158	...	2,48,925	18	
Section 5 (2) (a), Punjab Act II of 1903	5,391	4,390	2,035	4,575	...	6,96,800	19	
Section 5 (2) (d), Ditto	5,256	1,635	1,193	3,600	6,120	7,00,229	20	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	497	1,627	1,335	3,179	4,255	4,12,014	21	
Ditto ditto	2,421	753	650	1,766	2,641	1,20,000	22	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	12,306	596	445	1,004	5,17,000	4,51,074	23	
Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1872, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	...	662	548	1,783	12,980	3,273	24	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	12,406	777	447	1,133	2,03,382	5,63,078	25	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	781	600	2,689	483	3,08,232	26	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	563	3,614	2,110	2,638	701	2,70,029	27	
Section 5 (2) (d), Punjab Act II of 1903	15,703	83,336	35,000	28	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	2,940	2,164	2,632	4,900	2,59,702	29	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	2,242	7,927	2,514	3,294	58,793	2,33,317	30
Section 5 (a), Punjab Act II of 1903	
Ditto ditto	1,095	9,835	2,971	4,241	40,652	4,41,560	31	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	Inam 300	7,410	2,896	2,207	...	2,07,039	32	
Ditto ditto	...	1,778	810	693	12,425	88,127	33	
Ditto ditto	6,184	50,286	36,252	19,043	...	10,99,038	34	
Ditto ditto	...	14,432	6,276	10,814	1,32,436	4,47,580	35	
Ditto ditto	844	4,873	2,348	2,250	22,613	2,91,896	36	
Ditto ditto	1,080	2,162	2,127	1,740	...	97,222	37	
Ditto ditto	...	2,357	1,828	2,343	...	1,60,645	38	
Section 8, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	4,958	4,121	4,254	39	
Section 6, Ditto	...	9,564	4,950	6,740	51,325	7,04,992	40	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	7,019	4,948	13,383	71,434	7,38,700	41	
Ditto ditto	
Section 35 (g), Punjab Act IV of 1872, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	5,214	15,698	8,535	7,892	3,17,939	11,45,900	42	
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	20,431	13,347	11,775	12,242	12,52,713	43	
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	8,352	4,754	2,100	3,112	1,79,107	6,28,001	44	
Total	3,76,149 Inam 300	337,671	217,650	2,32,253	31,63,839	2,79,83,728		

STATEMENT
ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	Capitalized value of Jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Investments, loans and advances.			
						Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immovable property.	Advances to tenants.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	4,41,850	2,25,420	76,528	54,500	...	3,311	...
	2	Sidhowal ...	9,53,250	2,05,042	1,45,000	11,800
	3	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	2,98,075	...	900	15,700
AMDALA	4	Manauli ...	12,10,075	4,74,978	1,22,210	77,600	59,972	60,095	...
	5	Kotaha ...	3,11,100	3,45,200	46,598
	6	Raipur ...	1,02,375	1,27,535	24,800
	7	Sohana ...	2,29,100	29,840	20,506
	8	Manakmajra ...	2,35,650	44,580	10,000	2,000
	9	Kandhola ...	1,76,600	42,580	26,000	5,100	...	3,765	...
10	Rangarh ...	1,15,700	56,000	20,546	7,700	
KANGRA	11	Guler ...	6,29,725	2,30,600	1,25,000
	12	Lambagraon ...	50,000	960	1,066
HOSHIARPUR	13	Bhabaur ...	30,525	39,760	1,000
JULLUNDUR	14	Kartarpur ...	3,42,650	15,10,861	2,41,246	72,700	...	16,796	197
	15	Shahkot ...	48,946	27,545	4,500
FEROZPORE	16	Guru Har Sahai ...	2,20,425	16,84,023	1,43,224	85,758	...	326	25
	17	Mamdot ...	19,19,575	47,56,131	4,69,166	7,35,119	3,71,688	3,88,767	...
LAHORE	18	Kailas Nath ...	99,000	70,000	34,500	43,300
	19	Rani Chhaunian ...	1,34,775	4,96,371	75,164
	20	S. Kesri Singh ...	1,31,400	5,36,123	60,149
	21	Iftikhar-ud-Din ...	12,425	2,54,320	1,31,500	60
AMRITSAR	22	Chahal ...	60,525	70,400	30,000	35,100
	23	Attari ...	3,07,650	80,320	30,000
GURDASPUR	24	Fatehgarh	1,25,000	2,01,400	781	...
	25	Kishenkot ...	3,10,150	1,05,049	500
	26	Rangar Nangal	1,98,000	1,14,800	10,000	9,303	16,754	...
GUJANWALA	27	Butala ...	14,075	3,28,824	30,200	13,254	...
	28	Ram Das ...	3,92,575	...	35,000
SHANPUR	29	Tarkhanwala	4,11,000	13,432	40,000	...	854	4
	30	Sahiwal ...	56,050	4,92,700	10,400	200	64
JHELUM	31	Ahmadabad ...	27,375	3,75,185	33,000
	32	Dulla ...	(Inam) 300	1,72,160	4,700	6,000	16,145
	33	Masgwal	74,167	7,960
ATTOCK	34	Kot Fateh Khan ...	1,54,600	16,20,623	3,01,787	1,60,000	...	33,239	12,919
MIANWALI	35	Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	...	7,19,440	15,500
MONTGOMERY	36	Faridpur ...	21,100	1,80,000	20,000
	37	Basirpur ...	27,250	1,70,160	12,000
	38	Killa Tara Singh	1,08,240	11,200	12,018	...	9,712	...
	39	Ditto	2,25,040
JHELUM	40	Shah Jiwana	6,49,760	23,500	10,000
MILTAN	41	Salarwahani	7,01,900	35,368	35,100	...	10,000	112
	42	Saer Shah	12,30,500	6,383	5,000	6
	43	Luddan ...	1,30,350	16,34,480	91,821	1,89,100	6,000	78,232	931
	44	Khan Bahadur Makhdum Hassan Bakhsh.	2,08,800	3,65,196	55,009	115
Total			94,03,721 Inam 300	2,11,95,973	28,63,713	16,18,795	4,63,108	5,41,896	14,924

ASSETS.							LIABILITIES.								Serial No.
Cash.			Other assets.				Balance of loans still due.			Other sums due.		Total liabilities.	Excess of assets over liabilities.		
In banks.	In treasury.	In hand.	Estimated value of un-sold grain.	Recoverable areas of rent.	Jewellery, stock and other items.	Total assets.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons.	To Government.	To other persons.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
...	13,591	200	...	1,499	13,775	8,80,674	8,80,674	1	
...	12,289	150	19,952	13,47,454	1,00,000	1,00,000	12,47,454	2	
...	6,325	100	1,114	3,22,214	3,22,214	3	
...	9,593	290	...	11,489	10,318	20,36,400	44	...	44	20,36,356	4	
...	1,802	110	...	4,592	2,477	7,11,939	18	...	18	7,11,921	5	
...	6,003	125	...	787	1,493	2,03,118	...	51,150	...	5	...	51,155	2,11,903	6	
...	1,533	100	...	523	365	2,81,967	1	...	1	2,81,966	7	
...	9,726	125	...	120	540	3,02,721	2	550	552	3,02,169	8	
...	4,963	125	...	313	545	2,59,961	2	...	2	2,59,959	9	
...	7,975	543	984	2,08,548	2,08,548	10	
...	2,416	2,000	9,89,741	77,826	77,826	9,11,915	11	
...	185	82,211	7,571	7,571	44,640	12	
...	2,368	904	310	74,867	...	11,104	36,409	47,513	27,354	13	
...	5,547	4,976	43,835	22,38,768	22,38,768	14	
...	4,396	52	76	85,515	85,515	15	
14,936	20,929	78	27,026	12,335	7,526	22,01,676	1,218	...	1,218	22,00,458	16	
...	1,16,554	1,175	...	17,498	1,88,981	88,79,590	91,000	4,651	...	95,651	87,83,939	17	
1,171	11,450	120	...	672	290	2,60,413	2,60,413	18	
...	6,731	239	...	574	2,961	7,16,815	7,16,815	19	
...	3,067	50	...	1,557	15,527	7,47,873	7,47,873	20	
...	9,240	203	...	2,460	7,745	4,17,943	4,17,943	21	
...	1,693	50	9,000	4,772	5,400	2,16,940	2,000	2,000	2,14,940	22	
...	4,463	50	...	10,782	...	4,33,265	1,37,000	...	24,029	1,61,029	2,72,236	23	
...	318	1,134	...	711	699	3,29,993	3,29,993	24	
...	279	650	...	1,147	...	4,17,775	28,000	28,000	3,89,773	25	
...	1,480	739	...	1,323	12,282	3,64,681	3,64,681	26	
...	2,590	50	...	15,117	...	4,04,110	4,04,110	27	
...	4,27,575	88,336	88,336	3,39,239	28	
...	14,423	...	475	1,190	5,550	4,86,978	4,86,978	29	
...	5,716	334	561	1,510	...	5,67,535	47,408	47,408	5,20,127	30	
...	3,636	...	250	822	6,000	4,46,298	...	31,848	1,000	82,348	4,13,950	31	
...	3,357	...	9,036	1,829	3,200	2,15,727	2,15,727	32	
...	622	...	300	959	1,000	85,008	...	2,922	755	3,677	81,831	33	
...	1,162	1,060	1,48,310	1,969	68,413	25,10,032	27,500	27,500	24,82,582	34	
...	13,002	95	27,814	1,321	...	7,77,172	39,551	...	39,551	7,37,621	35	
...	8,538	...	4,504	500	20,000	2,54,642	2,54,642	36	
...	8,124	1,350	...	2,16,834	2,16,834	37	
...	13,507	...	8,159	501	18,934	1,82,331	1,82,331	38	
...	14,462	349	...	2,39,851	2,39,851	39	
...	17,709	...	24,127	522	10,159	7,35,777	7,35,777	40	
...	21,009	...	102	5,628	9,593	8,18,812	1,090	...	1,090	8,17,722	41	
...	4,994	...	616	50,574	1,564	14,29,987	...	67,806	57,200	1,25,746	13,04,231	42	
...	94,917	...	7,786	2,234	37,196	31,41,857	1,667	...	1,667	21,40,190	43	
...	3,740	...	746	5,078	1,309	6,40,593	44,153	44,090	5,95,903	44	
16,107	4,77,243	7,282	2,83,304	1,70,992	5,21,923	3,75,74,281	1,37,000	1,64,320	6,83,157	49,536	550	9,84,603	3,65,89,678		

STATEMENT
INCOME FOR THE YEAR ENDING

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN					
			Income from sale of			Income from loans received from		
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.	Ra.
KANNAU	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	...	83
	2	Sidhowal
	3	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.
AMBALA	4	Manauli	140
	5	Katoha
	6	Raipur
	7	Sohana
	8	Manakmajra
	9	Kandhola	12
KANGRA	10	Rangarh
	11	Guler
HOSHARPUR	12	Lambagraon
	13	Bhabaur
JULLUNDUR	14	Kartarpur	18,244
	15	Shahkot
FEROZEPUR	16	Guru Har Sahai
	17	Mandot	1,00,000
LAHORE	18	Killa Nath
	19	Hani Chhannjan
	20	S. Kesri Singh	46,000
	21	Iftikhar-ud-din
AMRITSAR	22	Chahal	4,000
	23	A'tari	1,87,000
GUJDARPUR	24	Fatehgarh
	25	Kishenkot	1,40,000	2,000
	26	Rangar Nangal
GUJRANWALA	27	Butala
	28	Ram Das
SHANPUR	29	Tarkhanwala
	30	Sahiwal	...	155
JHELUM	31	Abmadabad	3,500	...
	32	Dullah
	33	Mangwal
ATTOCK	34	Kot Fateh Khan	2,092
MIANWALI	35	Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.
MONTGOMERY	36	Faridpur
	37	Rasarpur
	38	Killa Tara Singh
	39	Ditto
JHANG	40	Shah Jiwana
MULTAN	41	Salarwahan
	42	Sher Shah
	43	Luddan	7
	44	Khan Bahador Makhdum Hassan Bakhsh.
Total			1,88,251	238	18,244	1,37,000	3,500	1,06,000

No. III.

30TH SEPTEMBER 1918.

AND DEBT TRANSACTIONS.			ORDINARY INCOME.						Total income.	Serial No.
Income from re-payment of loans due to the estates.	Recovery of advances made to tenants.	Total.	Jagir or talukdari income.	Cash rent.	Sale of grain and other produce and of stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
1,232	...	1,365	17,674	22,025	102	4,453	44,254	45,619	1	
...	13,643	453	12,722	2,155	28,973	28,973	2	
...	11,923	306	12,229	12,229	3	
12,829	...	12,969	48,620	18,583	5,569	12,033	84,805	97,774	4	
60	...	60	12,177	16,657	8,455	3,201	39,520	39,580	5	
...	4,241	10,462	2,306	911	17,920	17,920	6	
...	8,937	1,485	907	111	11,440	11,440	7	
500	...	500	8,924	2,584	1,477	247	13,232	13,732	8	
1,214	...	1,226	6,763	1,185	813	435	9,196	10,422	9	
...	4,755	1,506	801	412	7,474	7,474	10	
...	22,814	2,971	...	259	26,044	26,044	11	
...	1,918	1	23	39	1,981	1,981	12	
...	1,791	3,418	...	721	5,930	5,930	13	
11,870	...	30,114	13,706	18,170	5,718	45,592	83,186	1,13,300	14	
...	1,946	1,454	3,400	3,400	15	
2,192	50	2,242	4,024	20,931	61,087	13,782	99,824	1,02,066	16	
1,52,232	...	2,52,232	83,659	2,20,135	8,824	1,52,229	4,64,847	7,17,079	17	
...	3,965	6,421	...	3,424	13,810	13,810	18	
1,062	...	1,062	5,891	1,640	5,710	735	27,476	28,538	19	
...	...	46,000	5,056	13,338	2,856	1,940	22,590	68,590	20	
...	490	490	248	16,778	3,118	5,699	25,843	26,338	21	
...	...	4,000	2,589	726	7,202	4,892	15,409	19,409	22	
...	...	1,37,000	11,441	4,217	...	11	15,669	1,52,669	23	
...	10,647	1,521	249	12,417	12,417	24	
...	...	1,42,000	12,513	7,935	1,137	925	22,510	1,64,510	25	
13,097	...	12,097	...	8,092	62	3,426	11,580	23,677	26	
...	4,437	413	2	4,852	4,852	27	
...	5,463	825	6,288	6,288	28	
144	441	585	...	9,539	9,854	2,691	22,134	22,719	29	
...	152	337	2,386	83	17,011	1,332	20,812	21,149	30	
...	...	3,500	1,557	10	11,885	804	14,256	17,756	31	
2,701	...	2,701	358	...	8,691	16	9,065	11,766	32	
...	3,407	...	3,407	3,407	33	
8,132	40,053	50,277	6,294	47,670	1,17,763	24,960	1,96,687	2,46,964	34	
...	8	8	...	243	30,992	594	31,829	31,837	35	
173	...	173	...	1,243	19,812	4,441	25,496	25,669	36	
155	...	155	1,098	4,424	3,428	920	9,870	10,025	37	
1,012	...	1,012	...	33	13,214	4,011	17,258	18,270	38	
1,369	...	1,369	...	99	21,187	9,986	31,272	32,641	39	
...	3,713	63,715	1,230	68,658	68,658	40	
...	7	7	54,008	4,379	58,787	58,394	41	
...	2	2	2,607	5,533	40,411	1,443	49,994	49,996	42	
11,463	13,932	25,462	...	313	1,12,885	28,540	1,41,738	1,67,140	43	
...	4,635	4,146	19,634	302	23,717	28,717	44	
2,20,487	55,165	7,28,885	3,33,116	5,07,350	6,78,750	3,43,063	18,62,279	25,91,164		

STATEMENT
EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN AND DEBT						
			Repayment of debts.	Purchase of			Loans.		Advances made to tenant.
				Land.	House property.	Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Made to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other immovable property.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KANNAI	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfiqar Ali Khan	12,589	20,000
	2	Sidhowal
	3	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan	5,000
AMBALA	4	Manauli	...	1,111	...	25,000
	5	Kotaha	30,000
	6	Raipur	6,108
	7	Sohana	1,404
	8	Manakmajra	300	2,000
	9	Kandhola	1,000
KANGRA	10	Rangarh
	11	Guler	16,216
	12	Lambegraon
HOSHIANPUR	13	Bhabaur	2,500
JULLUNDUR	14	Kartarpur	...	6,448	...	28,300
	15	Shahkot
FEROZPORE	16	Gura Har Sahai	65,435
	17	Mamdot	9,000	675	...	2,63,000	...	3,000	...
LAHORE	18	Kailas Nath
	19	Rani Chaunian
	20	S. Kesri Singh	4,162	46,016
AMRITSAR	21	Iftikhar-ud-din	4,255	50
	22	Chahal	2,000
GURDASPUR	23	Attari	1,63,979
	24	Fatehgarh
	25	Kishenkot	1,52,000
GUJANWALA	26	Rangar Nangal	10,000
	27	Butala
SHAHPUR	28	Ram Das	290
	29	Tarkhanwala	3,180	10,000	445
JHELM	30	Sahiwal	5,410	240
	31	Ahmadabad	4,500
	32	Dulla	3,500
ATTOCK	33	Mangwal	1,539
	34	Kot Fateh Khan	49,500	60,000	51,494
MIANWALI	35	Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	6,000	50
	36	Faridpur	11,219
MONTGOMERY	37	Basirpur	4,503
	38	Killa Tara Singh	12,018
	39	Ditto	2,694
JHANG	40	Shah Jiwana	12,557	10,000
MULTAN	41	Salerwahau	14,000	119
	42	Sher Shah
	43	Luddan	22,533	14,116
	44	Khan Behadur Mukkadum Hasan Bakhs	11,800	40,000
Total			5,29,861	54,250	3,180	5,62,953	3,500	3,000	46,520

No. IV.

ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1918.

TRANSACTION.		ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.										Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management (col. 16) on total ordinary income (col. 17 of Statement III).	Serial No.
Cost of permanent improvements.	Total.	Land revenue, cesses, commutation fees and haq-ul-tahsil.	Ward's rate.	Cost of Manager and staff.	Total cost of management (sum of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary improvements of repairs.	Personal expenses of ward including cost of education.	Allowances to ward's family.	Expenditure on law, domestic ceremonies, servants, stables, etc.	Total ordinary expenditure.				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
700	33,289	7,437	693	2,255	2,948	2,121	3,518	1,500	3,208	20,732	54,021	6.7	1	
...	...	4,978	540	2,091	2,631	1	1,691	2,874	4,538	16,713	16,713	9.1	2	
...	5,000	2,688	370	839	1,209	189	4,147	1,560	1,792	11,585	16,585	9.9	3	
...	26,111	11,631	1,113	5,903	7,016	6,467	19,329	14,750	17,624	76,847	1,02,958	8.3	4	
...	30,000	5,300	641	2,489	3,100	1,307	...	588	3,935	14,830	44,830	7.8	5	
...	6,108	4,029	433	1,073	1,506	68	5,393	343	2,176	13,515	19,623	8.4	6	
...	1,404	1,299	355	750	1,115	300	4,369	947	2,857	10,887	12,291	9.7	7	
...	2,306	1,147	356	820	1,206	229	3,777	1,580	2,360	10,299	12,599	9.1	8	
...	1,060	707	336	940	1,276	224	2,280	1,095	3,371	8,953	9,953	13.9	9	
...	...	796	305	864	1,169	208	1,568	630	8,623	13,044	13,044	15.6	10	
...	16,216	6	510	801	1,311	120	6,300	720	1,254	9,711	25,927	5.0	11	
...	...	12	99	120	219	...	435	532	1,036	2,234	2,234	11.1	12	
...	2,500	803	268	178	446	1,680	1,215	4,144	6,644	7.5	13	
1,500	36,248	9,204	1,074	6,699	7,773	3,433	11,880	9,000	37,980	78,770	1,15,018	9.3	14	
...	...	345	1	132	133	510	3	660	983	2,634	2,634	3.9	15	
6,681	72,119	6,148	2,292	9,914	12,206	2,243	9,378	2,540	19,837	52,352	1,24,471	12.2	16	
59,289	3,34,964	43,173	5,242	30,928	36,170	87,902	31,613	17,250	92,618	3,08,726	6,43,690	7.8	17	
...	...	312	932	713	1,045	368	240	2,040	3,965	7,990	7,990	7.6	18	
5,680	5,680	5,198	504	2,707	3,211	52	7,053	276	3,549	19,339	25,019	11.7	19	
...	50,178	3,818	458	1,703	2,156	182	3,000	1,920	8,452	19,528	69,706	9.5	20	
343	4,648	1,824	474	992	1,466	500	1,132	1,520	6,003	12,445	17,093	5.7	21	
611	2,611	1,766	1,035	524	1,609	...	4,149	2,295	6,338	16,157	19,768	10.4	22	
...	1,63,979	1,543	985	916	1,001	234	1,378	2,651	1,178	8,885	1,72,864	12.1	23	
4,725	4,723	1,940	393	1,019	1,382	2,088	774	2,270	2,050	10,504	15,227	11.1	24	
...	1,52,000	331	457	602	1,054	847	6,788	2,266	11,256	1,63,286	4.7	25		
...	10,000	2,946	346	1,755	2,101	187	5,603	790	1,337	12,954	22,964	18.1	26	
...	...	1,108	503	654	1,157	1,000	2,064	1,210	1,803	8,342	8,342	10.0	27	
...	290	728	260	95	355	180	200	1,335	58	2,856	3,146	15.4	28	
302	13,927	2,710	424	2,205	2,629	350	1,268	2,340	371	9,663	23,595	11.9	29	
1,764	7,420	2,810	415	2,038	2,453	812	1,298	2,789	1,687	11,849	19,269	11.8	30	
...	4,500	4,363	403	1,960	2,362	63	5,079	1,499	506	13,872	18,372	16.6	31	
...	3,560	2,574	270	580	850	24	1,200	2,101	570	7,319	10,819	9.4	32	
...	1,539	697	144	191	335	6	180	523	7	1,748	3,237	9.8	33	
20,088	1,51,082	19,043	2,233	23,771	26,004	8,035	10,338	7,672	49,667	1,20,759	2,71,841	13.2	34	
1,000	7,050	10,314	570	4,040	4,610	1,111	2,400	840	15,001	34,276	41,326	14.4	35	
...	11,219	3,350	362	1,205	1,573	277	2,527	1,200	622	8,549	19,768	6.5	36	
...	4,503	320	326	1,057	1,383	88	443	1,764	1,281	5,279	9,782	14.7	37	
9	12,027	2,343	180	763	943	1,085	250	1,100	3,852	9,573	21,600	5.9	38	
23	2,722	4,254	326	1,507	1,833	1,149	7,335	14,571	17,293	5.5	39	
...	22,557	12,506	605	5,296	5,901	270	3,426	3,680	2,985	23,763	51,325	8.6	40	
886	15,005	13,383	694	5,243	5,927	378	7,018	7,441	5,501	39,648	54,653	16.2	41	
1,233	23,769	7,892	645	5,018	5,663	609	5,848	1,984	4,924	26,020	50,689	11.3	42	
2,972	57,088	11,775	1,326	17,145	18,471	2,161	37,570	7,573	20,960	98,810	1,55,898	13.0	43	
904	12,704	3,112	482	1,381	1,863	326	7,709	...	886	13,896	26,600	6.5	44	
1,08,716	13,11,930	2,22,313	29,825	1,51,846	1,81,671	1,27,704	2,24,116	1,17,412	3,58,561	12,31,777	25,43,757			

STATEMENT No. V.

IMPORTANT TRANSACTIONS OF THE YEAR (IF ANY).

District.	Serial No.	Name of estates.	LAND PURCHASED.		LAND SOLD.		VALUE OF HOUSE PROPERTY		VALUE OF SECURITIES OF GOVERNMENT OR LOCAL BODIES.		VALUE OF LOANS MADE		VALUE OF LOANS RECEIVED FROM			Debts of estates paid off.	Serial No.
			Acre.	Value.	Acre.	Value.	Purchased.	Sold.	Purchased.	Sold.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons on security of immovable property.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
MIRANSAH	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan. Sidowal	83	20,000	12,589	1
	2	2
	3	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	5,000	3
MIRANSAH	4	Manauli	1	1,111	...	140	25,000	4
	5	Kotaha	30,000	5
	6	Raipur	6,108	6
	7	Sohana	1,404	7
MIRANSAH	8	Manakmajra	2,000	300	8
	9	Kandhola	12	1,000	9
	10	Ramgarh	10
MIRANSAH	11	Guler	16,216	11
	12	Lambagraon	12
MIRANSAH	13	Bhabaur	2,500	13
MIRANSAH	14	Kartarpur	...	6,448	28,300	18,244	14
	15	Shahkot	15
MIRANSAH	16	Guru Har Sahai	65,438	16
	17	Mamdot	3	675	2,63,000	3,000	1,00,000	9,000	17

LAHORE	18	Kilas Nath															18
	19	Rani Chaunian															19
	20	S. Kesri Singh	120	46,016	2	46,000										4,162	20
AMRITSAR	21	M. Muhammad Iftikhar-ud-Din															21
	22	Chahal...													4,000	2,000	22
	23	Attari ...										1,37,000				1,63,979	23
GURDASPUR	24	Fatehgarh															24
	25	Kishenkot			759	1,40,000									2,000	1,52,000	25
	26	Rangar Nangal								10,000							26
GUJRANWALA	27	Butala ...															27
	28	Ram Dass															28
	29	Tarkhanwala						3,180		10,000							29
SHAHNPUR	30	Sabiwal							155								30
	31	Abmadabad													3,500		31
	32	Dullah...										3,500					32
JHELUM	33	Mangwal															33
	34	Kot Fateh Khan ...			17	2,092			50,000								34
	35	Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.															35
MIANWALI	36	Faridpur															36
	37	Basirpur							4,503								37
	38	Kila Tara Singh							12,018								38
MONTGOMERY	39	Ditto							2,694								39
	40	Shah Jiwana							10,000								40
	41	Salarwahap							14,000								41
JHANG	42	Sher Shah															42
	43	Luddan															43
	44	Khan Bahadur Makhdum Hassan Baksh.															44
			Total	124	54,250	778	1,88,251	3,180	238	5,62,953	18,244	3,500	3,000	1,37,000	3,500	1,06,000	5,29,861

STATEMENT No. VI.

ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1918.

District.	Name of Estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.		Debts on date of release.		AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHT.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge.	ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON RELEASE.				
					On assumption of charge.		On release.		On assumption of charge.			On release.				Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.
					Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Ambala ...	Bamgarh ...	Sardar Ajmer Singh.	18th December 1897.	8th August 1918	15,240	...	1,867	375	388	2,057	552	450	2,016	30,640	20,000	4,741	55,381	56,000	20,546	7,700	8,602	92,848		
Gujranwala...	Butals ...	Sardar Bahadur Singh, Sardar Budh Singh.	9th April 1906	1st April 1918	701	...	2,561	1,701	3,046	3,614	2,110	2,638	433	36,334	25,000	2,08,695	2,70,029	3,28,824	30,200	13,254	24,711	3,96,989		
Do ...	Lala Ram Das	Lala Ram Das	10th November 1916.	31st January 1918.	88,338	88,046	35,000	...	35,000	...	35,000	...	7,660	42,660		
Multan ...	Salarwahan ...	S. Baqar Shah	20th August 1907.	9th July 1918	6,861	...	1,155	840	...	1,118	848	2,623	...	1,11,800	4,000	...	1,15,800	1,11,800	4,070	12,400	6,832	1,35,102		

Statement showing comparison of the proportion borne by land revenue and cesses paid to rents received and proceeds of grain sales in different estates for the year 1917-18.

District.	Name of Estate.	Rents and sale of grain (columns 14 and 15 of Statement No. III).	Land revenue and cesses, &c. (column 13 of Statement No. IV).	Percentage of land revenue to rents, &c.	Deputy Commissioner's explanation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
KARNAL	Nawabzada Muham- mad Zulfiqar Ali Khan.	22,127	7,437	33.6	Figures in column 4 include Rs. 2,500 on account of Istamrari and Rs. 248 on account of haq-ul-tahsil.
	Sidhowal	13,175	4,978	37.8	
	Nawabzada Muham- mad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	2,588	...	
AMBALA	Manauli	24,152	11,661	26.6	Rs. 5,216 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Kotaha	25,142	5,900	20.1	Rs. 846 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Raipur	12,768	4,029	29.0	Rs. 319 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Sohana	2,392	1,299	28.2	Rs. 625 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Manakmajra	4,061	1,147	13.0	Rs. 616 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Kandhola	1,998	707	6.5	Rs. 577 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
	Ramgarh	2,307	796	18.0	Rs. 380 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil have been excluded from column 4 in striking off this percentage.
KANGRA	Guler	2,971	6	0.2	Figures in column 4 exclude assigned revenue.
	Lamabagroan	24	13	50	Failure of crops for want of rains.
HOSHIAPUR	Bhabaur	3,418	803	23.5	
JULLUNDUR	Kartarpur	2,888	9,204	38.5	
	Shahkot	1,454	345	23.7	
FEROZEPUR	Guru Har Sahai	82,018	6,148	7.5	
	Mamdot	2,28,959	43,173	19.0	
LAHORE	Kails Nath	6,421	332	5.2	
	Rani Channian	21,350	5,198	24.4	
	S. Kesri Singh	16,194	3,818	23.6	
	Iftikhar-ud-din	19,896	1,824	9.2	
AMRITSAR	Chahal	7,928	1,766	22.3	
	Attari	4,217	1,543	36.6	
GURDASPUR	Fatehgarh	12,168	1,940	15.9	
	Kishankot	9,072	331	3.6	
	Rangar Nangal	8,154	2,946	36.1	
GUJANWALA	Butala	4,850	1,168	23.1	
	Ram Das	...	728	...	
SHAHPUR	Tarkhanwala	19,443	2,710	13.9	
	Sahiwal	17,094	2,810	16.4	
JHEUM	Ahmadabad	11,895	4,363	38.4	
	Dullah	5,691	2,574	20.3	
	Mangwal	3,407	697	20.5	

Statement showing comparison of the proportion borne by land revenue and cesses paid to rents received and proceeds of grain sales in different estates for the year 1917-18—concluded.

District.	Name of Estate.	Rents and sale of grain (columns 14 and 15 of Statement No. 11).	Land revenue and cesses, &c. (column 13 of Statement No. IV).	Percentage of land revenue to rents, &c.	Deputy Commissioner's explanation en brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6
ATTOCK ...	Kot Fateh Khan ...	Rs. 1,65,433	Rs. 19,048	Rs. 11.5	
MIANWALI ...	Muhammad Abdul Bahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	21,235	10,314	33.0	
MONTGOMERY	Faridpur ...	21,055	2,850	11.2	
	Basirpur ...	7,852	320	4.1	
	Killa Tara Singh ...	18,247	2,343	17.7	
	Ditto ...	21,286	4,254	2.1	
JHANG ...	Shah Jivana ...	67,428	12,506	18.5	
MULTAN ...	Salarwaban ...	54,008	13,383	24.8	
	Sher Shah ...	45,944	7,892	17.2	
	Luddan ...	1,13,168	11,775	10.4	
	Khan Bahadur Makh- dum Hussain Baksh.	23,780	3,112	13.1	



