

F 54

REPORT  
ON THE  
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES  
UNDER THE  
COURT OF WARDS  
IN THE  
PUNJAB



*For the year ending 30th September 1921.*



12779

Lahore :  
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB.  
1922.

Price : Re. 0-12-0 or 1s



Report of the Punjab Court of Wards for the year 1920-21.

The Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
has the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of the report  
of the Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
and to express its appreciation  
of the work done by the  
Court during the year.

The Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
has the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of the report  
of the Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
and to express its appreciation  
of the work done by the  
Court during the year.

---

## Punjab Court of Wards Report for the year 1920-21.

---

The Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
has the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of the report  
of the Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
and to express its appreciation  
of the work done by the  
Court during the year.

The Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
has the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of the report  
of the Punjab Court of Wards  
for the year 1920-21  
and to express its appreciation  
of the work done by the  
Court during the year.

## Revised List of Agents for the Sale of Punjab Government Publications.

### IN THE UNITED KINGDOM.

- CONSTABLE & Co., 10, Orange Street,  
Leicester Square, London, W. C.
- KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER & Co.,  
Limited, 68-74, Carter Lane, E. C.,  
and 39, New Oxford Street, London,  
W. C.
- BERNARD QUARITCH, 11, Grafton Street,  
New Bond Street, London, W.
- T. FISHER UNWIN, Limited, No. 1,  
Adelphi Terrace, London, W. C.
- P. S. KING & SON, 2 & 4, Great  
Smith Street, Westminster, London,  
S. W.
- H. S. KING & Co., 65, Cornhill, and 9,  
Pall Mall, London.
- GRINDLAY & Co., 54, Parliament Street,  
London, S. W.
- W. THACKER & Co., 2, Creed Lane,  
London, E. C.
- LUZAC & Co., 46, Great Russell Street,  
London, W. C.
- B. H. BLACKWELL, 50 and 51, Broad  
Street, Oxford.
- DEIGHTON BELL & Co., Limited, Cam-  
bridge.
- OLIVER & BOYD, Tweeddale Court,  
Edinburgh.
- E. PONSONBY, Limited, 116, Grafton  
Street, Dublin.
- Messrs. WHELDON & WESLEY, Limited,  
28, Essex Street, Strand, London.

### ON THE CONTINENT.

- ERNEST LEROUX, 28, Rue Bonaparte,  
Paris, France.
- MARTINUS NIJHOFF, The Hague, Hol-  
land.
- OTTO HARRASSOWITZ, Leipzig:
- FRIEDLANDER & SOHAN, Berlin.

### IN INDIA.

- THE MANAGER, Imperial Book Depot,  
Delhi.
- GULAB SINGH & SONS, Mufid-i-'Am  
Press, Lahore.
- MANAGER, Punjab Law Book Depot,  
Anarkali Bazar, Lahore.
- RAMA KRISHNA & SONS, Book-Sellers  
and News Agents, Anarkali Street,  
Lahore.
- HONORARY SECRETARY, Punjab Reli-  
gious Book Society, Anarkali,  
Lahore.
- M. ABDUL AZIZ, Manager, The Vakil  
and Roze Bazar Electric Press, Am-  
ritsar.
- L. LABHU RAM, Book-Seller, Ludhiana.
- N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent and  
Proprietor, Nazir Kanun Hind Press,  
Allahabad.
- D. B. TARAPOREVALA, SONS & Co.,  
Bombay.
- THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta and  
Simla.
- NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.
- R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta.
- THACKER, & Co., Bombay.
- HIGGINBOTHAMS, Limited, Madras.
- T. FISHER, UNWIN, Calcutta.
- V. KALYANARAM IYER & Co., 189,  
Esplanade Row, Madras.
- G. A. NATESAN & Co., Madras.
- SUPERINTENDENT, American Baptist  
Mission Press, Rangoon.
- A. H. WHEELER & Co., Allahabad.
- THE MANAGER, "Hitavada," Nagpur,  
Central Provinces.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

*For the year ending 30th September 1921.*



Lahore :

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB,  
1922.

1907

of the

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

ACT

COURT OF WARD

IN

INDIA

ACT NO. 10 OF 1907

1907

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, CALCUTTA

1907

(Maximum number of pages fixed for the text —6.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Para.	Subject.	Page.
1	Estates under management, and those released and taken over ...	1
2	Assets and investments ... ..	ib
3	Reduction of debts ... ..	2
4	Arrears of rent and treatment of tenants ... ..	ib.
5	Expenditure on improvements ... ..	3
6	Agricultural developments ... ..	4
7	Miscellaneous ... ..	ib.
8	Education and training ... ..	5
9	Notice of officers ... ..	ib.
STATEMENTS.		
I	General Statement of estates under charge of the Court of Wards during the year ending 30th September 1921 ... ..	ii—iii
II	Assets and Liabilities as on 30th September 1921 ... ..	iv—v
III	Income for the year ending 30th September 1921 ... ..	vi—vii
IV	Expenditure of the year ending 30th September 1921 ... ..	viii—ix
V	Important transactions of the year 1920-21 (if any) ... ..	x—xi
VI	Estates released during the year ending 30th September 1921 ... ..	xii
	Statement showing comparison of the proportion borne by Land Revenue and cesses paid, to rents received and proceeds of grain sales, in different estates for the year 1920-21 ... ..	xiii

INDEX TO CONTENTS

Page	Subject	Page
1	Introduction	1
2	I. The ...	2
3	II. The ...	3
4	III. The ...	4
5	IV. The ...	5
6	V. The ...	6
7	VI. The ...	7
8	VII. The ...	8
9	VIII. The ...	9
10	IX. The ...	10
11	X. The ...	11
12	XI. The ...	12
13	XII. The ...	13
14	XIII. The ...	14
15	XIV. The ...	15
16	XV. The ...	16
17	XVI. The ...	17
18	XVII. The ...	18
19	XVIII. The ...	19
20	XIX. The ...	20
21	XX. The ...	21
22	XXI. The ...	22
23	XXII. The ...	23
24	XXIII. The ...	24
25	XXIV. The ...	25
26	XXV. The ...	26
27	XXVI. The ...	27
28	XXVII. The ...	28
29	XXVIII. The ...	29
30	XXIX. The ...	30
31	XXX. The ...	31
32	XXXI. The ...	32
33	XXXII. The ...	33
34	XXXIII. The ...	34
35	XXXIV. The ...	35
36	XXXV. The ...	36
37	XXXVI. The ...	37
38	XXXVII. The ...	38
39	XXXVIII. The ...	39
40	XXXIX. The ...	40
41	XL. The ...	41
42	XLI. The ...	42
43	XLII. The ...	43
44	XLIII. The ...	44
45	XLIV. The ...	45
46	XLV. The ...	46
47	XLVI. The ...	47
48	XLVII. The ...	48
49	XLVIII. The ...	49
50	XLIX. The ...	50
51	L. The ...	51



REVIEW OF THE ANNUAL REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES  
UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS IN THE PUNJAB FOR THE YEAR  
ENDING 30th SEPTEMBER 1921.

*Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council No. 601-457,  
dated the 9th May 1922.*

REMARKS.—An interesting feature in the Court of Wards Administration in the Punjab is the persistence with which despite the constant release and assumption of estates and the absence of any rule or restriction in regard to the number taken into charge, the annual number of estates under superintendence has for some years past remained in the neighbourhood of 45 or 50 and their total ordinary income at or about the figure of Rs. 20 lakhs. The year under report has been no exception. The total annual income was Rs. 22,83,604, or Rs. 40,000 less than in the previous year, and with the release of three and the assumption of two, the number of estates under the Court at the end of the year was 44.

2. The management of Government has been successful in the case of all the three estates released—Manauli (Ambala), Sohana (Ambala) and Dullah (Jhelum); all have had their debts extinguished, their income increased, and their resources strengthened by wise expenditure on permanent improvements. Both the estates taken over, that of Khan Wali Dad Khan (Jhang) and the Rupi estate (Kangra) are of historical interest. The late Rai Megh Singh, who owned the latter, had nearly ruined it. The Governor in Council is glad to see, however, that the Deputy Commissioner hopes within ten years to free it from encumbrances. It is all that is left of the ancient patrimony of the by-gone rulers of the Kulu valley.

3. The year under report was a difficult one for the managers of the estates. Total after total in the statements appended to the report tells its tale of bad harvests and mounting prices. The drop in income has already been referred to. It was only by careful management that it was not greater. The total ordinary expenditure rose from Rs. 20½ to 24½ lakhs (round figures). Expenditure on reduction of debt fell by ¾ lakh to Rs. 1¼ lakhs, and that on purchase of Government securities by ¼ lakh to Rs. 1¼ lakhs. The most significant figures are, however, those of recoverable arrears of rent which rose from Rs. 1,58,890 to Rs. 2,16,376, largely the result of consideration shown to tenants hard hit by drought and bad seasons. Most of these arrears are, however, expected to be recovered at the next harvest, and relations with the tenants are reported to be amicable.

4. In spite of adverse harvest conditions, reduction of debt was carried on and the report rightly takes credit for the fact that since assumption of charge the total liabilities of the estates on the present list have been reduced from 32 lakhs to a little over 7 lakhs of rupees. Though less was spent on Government securities more was spent on purchase of land and the expenditure of close on Rs. 2 lakhs on permanent improvements was very nearly double last year's figures. The big estates of Mamdot, Kot and Guru Har Sahai of course led the way, but some of the smaller estates did their share and special mention is made of Amb (Hoshiarpur) for its investments and some of the western estates for the sinking of wells and extension of irrigation.

5. A section of the report is always devoted to an account of progress in agricultural methods and experiments. With the exception, however, of the Tarkhanwala estate in the Shahpur District and the estates of the Multan District which conducted tests of seeds and manures, work in this direction is practically confined to the three large estates mentioned above. The year was unpropitious. Both the experimental farms of the Kot Estate did badly owing to the drought as did also the poultry farm though the stud farm was very successful at the Rawalpindi Horse-show and excellent results are reported at the sheep farm. The Guru Har Sahai tube well was again out of action for the year. The agricultural farms at Mamdot were saved by the Chak Hiraj tube-well and the Jalalabad tube-well has also started its supply.

6. Besides the superintendence of the estates the other object of the Court of Wards, namely the training of the wards to manage their own affairs, was not neglected. Whenever possible the older wards are associated in the

management and four this year receive special mention for good work. Eighteen wards and their relatives are at the Aitchison College, one at the Agricultural College, Lyallpur, four at Queen Mary's College preparatory school, two at Aligarh and fourteen at local schools. The young Sirdar of Kot is now a commissioned officer in the Indian army, and has a bright future before him. The Nawab of Mamdot has returned from Europe much improved in health and is said to be eager to prove himself fit to take a larger share in the management of his affairs.

7. The Governor in Council is glad to hear of the good work done by the officials and other persons named in paragraph 9 of the report.

By order of the Governor in Council,  
C. M. KING,  
Financial Commissioner (Development),  
Secretary to Government, Punjab.

REPORT  
ON THE  
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES  
UNDER THE  
COURT OF WARDS  
IN THE  
PUNJAB  
*For the year ending 30th September 1921.*

---

1. At the close of last year the number of estates under the Court of Wards was 45. Manauli (Ambala), Sohana (Ambala) and Dullah (Jhelum) were released and Rupri (Kangra) and the estate of Khan Wali Dad Khan, Sayal (Jhang) were taken over, leaving 44 estates under superintendence at the end of the year under report. One more estate, Burya (Ambala), was nominally taken over within the year. The order was passed by the Financial Commissioner on September 3rd, 1921, but did not reach the Deputy Commissioner till after the year had closed. Consequently no statistics could be furnished and the name of the estate is not included in the list in the present report.

A glance at Statement VI will show how successful has been the management of the three properties released. All three were encumbered when taken over and have been released free of debt. The assets of Sohana and Dullah have been increased from Rs. 53,613 and Rs. 1,80,060 to Rs. 71,867 and Rs. 2,14,910, respectively, and during the three years it has been under management, the assets of the Manauli Estate have been increased from Rs. 7,33,657 to Rs. 8,06,113, not to speak of the extinction of a debt of half a lakh, the enhancement of the income from Rs. 75,000 to some Rs. 90,000 and the expenditure of close on Rs.  $\frac{3}{4}$  lakh on permanent improvements.

The estate of Khan Wali Dad Khan, Sayal (Jhang), which has been taken over is a small one worth only a lakh and-a-half, but it is heavily encumbered; the family is one of historical importance in the district and the estate is worth saving.

Rai Megh Singh of Rupri in Kulu died leaving a number of very young children and debts of nearly a lakh of rupees owed to local merchants and money-lenders. Negotiations are being undertaken to obtain a loan of a lakh from Mandi State with which to compound for these debts. The annual income of the estate which is mainly from the jagir is a little over Rs. 21,000 and the debt should be paid off in ten years.

2. Bad harvests and bad times generally, curtailed the investing powers of the estates. Thus only Rs. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs worth of Government securities were bought (nearly all by the Kot Estate) as against Rs. 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs last year. Nearly a lakh was, however, spent on purchase of land (practically all again by the Kot Estate) and a few thousands on houses as compared to Rs. 14,631, and nothing at all, respectively, last year. The big investor of the year was the Kot Estate (Attock) with its lakh spent on Government securities and Rs. 80,000 on purchase of land. Guru Har Sahai (Ferozepore) lent Rs. 20,000 on a mortgage on land and Amb (Hoshiarpur) Rs. 13,456. Amb also bought Rs. 25,000 worth of Government securities.

It is satisfactory to see that the treasury balance total shown in column 12 of Statement II has been reduced by Rs. 2 lakhs to Rs. 8,19,440. Much of this is due to big reductions by the Guru Har Sahai, Mamdot (Ferozepore) and Kot Estates, but some of the smaller estates are doing their share. Thus, Amb which made the investments mentioned above, reduced its treasury balance to Rs. 17,092 and the Deputy Commissioner, Shahpur, reports that arrangements are being made to invest the whole of the Rs. 50,000 treasury balance of the Tarkhanwala Estate. A few such balances are still too large. For instance Shah Jiwana (Jhang) shows the unnecessarily big figure of Rs. 90,000, some if not all of which should certainly be invested or spent on permanent improvements. The treasury balances of the estates of the Karnal and Montgomery Districts are also too high.

3. The progress towards reduction of debt was satisfactory though payments on that account totalled only Rs. 1½ lakhs as compared with Rs. 2 lakhs last year. But a comparison of Statement I, column 13, and Statement II, column 23, will show that since assumption of charge the total liabilities of the estates on the present list have been reduced from Rs. 32 lakhs to Rs. 7½ lakhs (round). Omitting the newly taken over estates of Rupri (Kangra) and Wali Dad Khan (Jhang), of which mention has been made above, one of the most heavily burdened estates is Atari (Amritsar). Practically the whole of its debt, however, is due to Government and steady annual repayments (Rs. 15,233 last year and Rs. 11,854 this year) are being made. The liabilities of this estate totalled over Rs. 5 lakhs when it was taken over in 1914, and the reduction to the present figure of Rs. 1½ lakhs represents satisfactory progress in an estate with an income of only Rs. 25,000 per annum. The attitude of the ward, who is also the Manager, is, however, obstructive, and the Commissioner, Lahore, has under consideration a proposal for the release of the estate after selling enough land to repay the Government loan.

The liabilities of Mitha Tiwana (Shahpur), which was taken over in March 1920, are still under investigation. They were shown as Rs. 2,73,014 in last year's report, but this year only Rs. 64,956 is admitted, and the Deputy Commissioner hopes shortly to arrive at the correct debit balance and to submit proposals for liquidation. Liabilities of Rs. 40,568 for the small estate of Bhabaur (Hoshiarpur) with assets worth only Rs. 87,852 and an income of Rs. 7,000 (average) are heavy. Most of the debt is a mortgage with possession, and the Deputy Commissioner hopes to facilitate repayment by splitting up the mortgage. This estate was taken over only three years ago. The Rs. 60,000 debt of the Iftikhar-ud-Din Estate (Lahore) is owed to Government for land purchased at Jalalpura. Rs. 20,000 has already been paid off, out of which Rs. 8,000 was paid during the year. Other indebted estates are Raipur (Ambala), Rs. 48,000; Guler (Kangra), Rs. 46,454; Sahiwal (Shahpur), Rs. 35,326; Ahmadabad (Jhelum), Rs. 25,458; Chak Haiderabad, (Lyallpur), Rs. 27,540; Sher Shah Multan), Rs. 76,467, and Jalalpur Pirwala (Multan), Rs. 25,167. Liquidation is reported to be proceeding satisfactorily in the case of all of them.

4. The total of recoverable arrears of rent rose from Rs. 1,58,890 to Rs. 2,16,376 at the close of the year. The increase is ascribed to the tenants' losses from drought and the series of bad harvests. The Sher Shah (Multan) arrears are still the heaviest having risen from Rs. 50,715 to Rs. 54,758. Probably some Rs. 9,000 of this will have to be struck off as irrecoverable—the rest is still under litigation. The large single item of Rs. 35,000 referred to in last year's report was decreed in favour of the estate, but is now under appeal to the High Court. The realization of these outstandings will go far to pay off the estate's large debt. Other estates with large arrears of rent are Sidhowal (Karnal), Rs. 12,364; Manauli (Ambala), Rs. 19,688 (since released and most of the arrears stated to have been recovered by the ex-Ward); Kotaha (Ambala), Rs. 11,264; Guru Har Sahai (Ferozepore), Rs. 17,707 and Salarwahan (Multan), Rs. 12,654. The large Guru Har Sahai arrears include Rs. 10,637 old arrears, of which it is proposed to strike off some Rs. 5,000 as irrecoverable. Large payments are expected at the next harvest.

Relations with tenants continued to be amicable except in estates like Sher Shah (Multan), Amb (Hoshiarpur) and Kesri Singh (Lahore) where circumstances are exceptional and quarrels apparently traditional. In fact, the large

increase noted above in the total of recoverable arrears of rent is mainly due to the consideration allowed to tenants in view of the bad harvests. The Kot Estate (Attock) reports extensive postponements of recoveries of the price of grain advanced to tenants. Rs. 8,627 was advanced to tenants of the Killa Tara Singh Estates (Montgomery) and Sahiwal and Tarkhanwala (Shahpur), Ahmadabad (Jhelum) and the Mianwali Estate, report advances of between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 2,000, usually without interest. The Co-operative Bank at Tarkhanwala is doing well and advanced Rs. 2,100 to tenants of the estate at low rates of interest. The 25 village banks on the Kot Estate are said to be almost all in a satisfactory condition, and were of considerable use to the tenants in advancing loans.

Practically all the leases of the Mamdot lands fell vacant during the year and a general increase of 15 per cent. was made in the rents. Most of the existing lessees were satisfied and re-engaged with the estate. The opportunity is being taken to effect a consolidation scattered holdings and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies is giving invaluable assistance to the scheme. A similar experiment, the result of which will be awaited with interest, is being tried on the Raipur Estate (Ambala).

5. Although the year was not a favourable one, the total spent on permanent improvements (Rs. 1,97,386) shows a considerable advance on the figures of last year (Rs. 1,08,623). The preponderating share is of course taken by the large and wealthy Mamdot Estate with an expenditure of Rs. 1,21,836 under this head. The local reports do not give a complete amount of this expenditure. The District Statement IV shows it to be made up of —

	Rs.
Buildings ... ..	53,977
Wells ... ..	19,412
Roads ... ..	15,685
Agricultural Farm ... ..	19,407
Drainage Works ... ..	13,355
	1,21,836

Details are, however, in the Report, only given of buildings costing Rs. 32,776, and no mention is made of the drainage works. The buildings include Rs. 8,357 for two mosques and Musafir Khanas in the Karampara and Jilwala villages in the Montgomery District and Rs. 10,712, cost of timber supplied to tenants in the same villages for roofing their huts. A similar amount was spent on timber for these tenants last year. Ten new wells were constructed and roads at Jalalabad metalled and repaired.

Rupees 27,334 were spent on permanent improvements in the Guru Har Sabai estate (Ferozepore). The Jalalwah Canal was cleared of silt and a new minor was dug from the Nizamwah Canal. Seven new wells were also constructed.

The Kot Estate spent Rs. 19,495 on permanent improvements as compared with Rs. 12,698 last year. Rs. 10,000 of this was spent on a rain-proof and rat-proof granary which should prove a boon to the Estate. The projected metalled road from Gaggan to Kot is half completed and should be finished next year. The whole of the Rs. 8,000 spent on permanent improvements in the Kartarpur Estate (Jullundur) was apparently spent by the Guryani Sahiba on religious buildings. The difficulties of the Manager in dealing with this lady are admittedly great. The Ahmadabad Estate (Jhelum) gave Rs. 1,000 as its contribution towards the digging of the canal owned jointly with Malik Sir Umar Hayat Khan and Pir Munawar Shah. The estate of Khan Mohammad Nawaz Khan and his brother in the Mianwali District spent Rs. 1,600, on the sinking of wells and Rs. 2,000 on canal clearance and on the construction of three bridges and one Regulator on the Sarfaraz Khan Wala Canal. The Salarwah Estate (Multan) has undertaken the construction of ten new wells. Permanent improvements were on the whole neglected in the

estates of the Karnal, Ambala and Montgomery Districts. The Shah Jiwana Estate spent nothing under this important head, and as mentioned above, its large balance should not be allowed to remain idle in the treasury.

Arboriculture was carried on as usual on the larger estates. Rs. 5,102 was spent in Mamdot or more than twice as much as last year and an income of Rs. 5,211 is reported under this head. The Mamdot Nurseries at Jalalabad, Mamdot and Lahore suffered from the drought, but are all now in good condition. Kikar seed was sown along 83 miles of canal bank and 1,366 Shisham, Nim and Eucalyptus trees were planted on the roadsides. The Kot Estate (Attock) reports a large number of Kikar and Shisham planted along the roads and the nine fruit gardens are doing well. In Guru Har Sahai (Ferozepore) also a considerable number of Kikar trees were planted along the canal water-courses and elsewhere in the estate. Good planting work was also done in the estates of the Multan District.

6. Progressive methods of agriculture are, as might be expected, confined mainly to the larger estates with their greater command of capital and skilled management. The two agricultural farms in Mamdot had a much more successful year despite the drought and produced a nett profit of Rs. 2,843. This was largely due to the constant supply of water from the Chak Hiraj tube-well which proved an unfailling stand-by. The Jalalabad tube-well has at last been got to work and is at present irrigating the Nawab's garden and polo-ground. It is hoped later on to use it to augment the drinking supply of Jalalabad town. There is a small sheep farm on the Estate with which more could be done. The stud farm is not a success. It is run at a great loss and provides no animals for the Nawab's stables. The Manager makes some criticisms, of which consideration will be taken.

The Guru Har Sahai tube-well again remained out of action for the whole year. The estate has an agricultural farm which has been put under new management. Wells are being dug and a scheme of work has been started by which it is hoped to be able to provide the estate tenants with good seed in about four years' time.

The Kot Estate runs a dry farm at Kot and a demonstration farm on the colony property in the Montgomery District. Neither had a successful year owing to the dryness of the season. Both the farms continue to popularize improved implements among the tenantry. The Kot Stud Farm did especially well at the Rawalpindi horse-show, but was hampered by fodder scarcity. The estate also keeps a poultry farm and a sheep farm and encourages the Dhanni breed of cattle. The Montgomery milch cows purchased last year are not doing well. The poultry farm is not flourishing at present, but excellent results are reported in the sheep-breeding experiments.

Of the smaller estates the Tarkhanwala Estate in the Shahpur District and the estates of the Multan District are doing good work in introducing the best implements and methods of cultivation. Salarwahan and Sher Shah specialised this year on turnip seeds and both also endeavoured to improve the tenants' system of manuring. Sher Shah is also introducing the Basra date palm.

An interesting experiment is being considered by the Deputy Commissioner of Ambala, the results of which will be awaited with interest. The idea is to combine under a single management all the colony lands in the Montgomery District held by the Ambala Estates, with a view to co-operative cultivation and the combined purchase of improved implements.

7. The demolition and sale of the slum in Lahore owned by the Kailas Nath Estate (Lahore) has not yet been carried out. Some of the worst houses have, however, been repaired under the superintendence of the Municipal Engineer.

The foundation of a Primary School at Tarkhanwala (Shahpur) and the supply of free quarters for it by the estate, deserve mention.

A certain amount of progress has been made with the development of the Garhi Kotaha Estate in the Ambala District, noticed in paragraph 7 of last year's report. The re-alignment of the worst parts of the main road from Kuan Bain to Morni Fort is progressing satisfactorily. Ravine reclamation

work has been started in one village. The exploitation of the Jaithal Bir is being carried out on a scheme approved by the Forest Department; and considerable attention is being paid to irrigation from impounded water in the lower hills.

8. The important subject of the education and up-bringing of Wards and their training to manage their own affairs continues to receive careful attention. Eighteen

Education and training.

wards and their relatives are at the Aitchison College, one at the Agricultural College, Lyallpur, four at the Preparatory School attached to the Queen Mary's College, two at Aligarh and fourteen at local schools.

The ward of the Kandhola Estate (Ambala) has proved a disappointment so far. He went to Scotland in 1920, joined St. Andrew's University and started well. But on his return to India last autumn for the vacation his wife died and he has refused to go back to complete his medical course.

Sardar Muhammad Nawaz Khan of Kot continued and nearly completed his course at Sandhurst during the year under report. An extract quoted by the Deputy Commissioner from one of his letters to his Manager gives touching expression to a warm hearted devotion to his tenants which augurs well for his future relations with them.

The Nawab of Mamdot spent the spring and summer of 1921 in England returning just after the close of the year under report. He has taken charge again of the polo stables and the stud farm. He has also been given charge of the Chak Hiraj Farm and of a batai-paying village and it is proposed gradually to increase his association in the management of his Estate. He has benefited considerably from his visit to Europe and is showing a keen interest in his affairs. Mr. and Mrs. Atkinson have been engaged to act as companions of the Nawab and the Begam and the previous designation of "Guardian" has been changed to "Adviser."

Wherever possible the Wards are associated in the management of their Estates and S. Abdul Rahman Khan of Sahiwal (Shahpur), Sultan Khan of Mangwal (Jhelum), Raja Baldev Singh of Guler (Kangra) and Sardar Arjan Singh of Shahkot (Jullundur) are specially mentioned. Guru Jaswant Singh of Guru Har Sahai (Ferozepore) and Sardar Balwant Singh of Attari (Amritsar) have not proved successful as Managers. The former has been temporarily disassociated from the work and the question of the management, or in the alternative the possible release, of the Attari Estate will be taken up when the Deputy Commissioner has time to deal with it.

9. Sheikh Nazir Ahmad, Manager of the Mamdot Estate, Khan Sahib Sheikh Shah Nawaz Khan, Manager of

Notice of Officers.

the Kot Estate and Sheikh Alam Ali, Manager of the three Estates in the Shahpur District, are again commended for continued good work, and Munshi Jiwan Singh, Manager of Chak Haidarabad and Lala Hargopal, Manager of the two estates in the Gurdaspur District, also receive special mention. The Financial Commissioner has read with great interest Mr. Irving's report on the Kot Estate, the affairs of which have been conducted with capacity and in a progressive spirit to the credit of all concerned. Mr. King also associates himself with the commendation given by the Commissioner of Jullundur to the keen interest displayed in the Mamdot Estate by Mr. Wilson-Johnston when Deputy Commissioner of Ferozepore and to his excellent influence with the Nawab.

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

B. ... the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...



---

STATEMENTS.

---

## GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF THE

Division.	District	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
AMBALA	Karnal	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	2-1-1903	26-8-1908	
		2	Nawabzada Muhammad Nisamat Ali Khan.	Nawabzada Muhammad Nisamat Ali Khan.	7-2-1903	18-10-1909	
		3	Sidhowal	(i) Bhai Fateh Jang Singh (ii) Bhai Sher Jang Singh	29-3-1909 25-12-1913	4-6-1918	
	Ambala	4	Arnsuli	Bhai Subh Sher Singh	4-1-1914	3-11-1918	
		5	Manauli	S. Umrao Singh.	15-6-1896	15-11-1918	
		6	Kotaha	Mir Muhammad Akbar Ali Khan.	1867	1-2-1911	
		7	Raipur	Rao Baldeo Singh	13-8-76	19-6-1906	
		8	Sobana	1 S. Deo Indar Singh	13-2-1900	20-12-1904	
				2 S. Gurbakhsh Singh	31-7-1902		
		9	Manak Majra	1 S. J. gindar Singh	14-1-1904	29-2-1912	
				2 S. Ragubir Singh	...		
JULLUNDER	Kangra	10	Kandhola	S. Bhagwan Singh	1-1-1902	6-5-1902	
		11	Lambagraon	M. Daljit Chand	...	4-4-1888	
	Guler	12	Rupi	1 S. Bhagwant Singh 2 S. Dhanwan Singh 3 S. Lurga Singh	1907 1915 1913	26-7-1921	
		13	Guler	Raja Baldev Singh	...		18-12-1909
				1 Tikka Lachman Singh	5-10-1902		
	Hoshiarpur	14	Amb	2 Kr. Santdev Singh 3 Kr. Hardev Singh 4 Kr. Shibdev Singh	19-8-1904 30-10-1909 3-3-1910	29-4-1919	
		15	Bhabaur	R. Savitra Singh	15-1-06		7-1-1919
	Jullundur	Kartarpur	16	Kartarpur	1 G. Sardul Singh 2 Kr. Tarlochan Singh	23-7-1902 28-5-1909	2-12-1908
			17	Shahkot	S. Arjan Singh	28-4-1902	
		18	"	S. Lajinder Singh	1-6-1908	20-5-1919	
				19	Guru Har Sahai		(i) Guru Jaswant Singh (ii) G. Karam Singh
LAHORE	Lahore	20	Mardot	Ghulam Qutb-ud din Khan	13-10-1889	20-1-1891	
		21	Kailas Nath	D. Kailas Nath	...	1-4-1905	
		22	Rani Chhannian	Rani Chhannian	...	25-5-1915	
	Amritsar	23	S. Kesri Singh	S. Kesri Singh	...	2-12-1916	
		24	Iftikhar-ud-din	M. Iftikhar-ud-din	8-4-1907	21-3-1918	
		25	Attari	S. Balwant Singh	...	25-5-1914	
		26	Fatehgarh	D. Dilbagh Rai	7-10-1900	17-3-1902	
	Gurdaspur	27	Rangar Nangal	S. Hari Singh	20-5-1903	13-4-1908	
		28	Tarkhanwala	S. Narain Singh Amir Abdullah Khan	14-5-1905 21-1-1911		
	Shahpur	29	Sabiwal	1 S. Fateh Khan 2 Jamadar Sardar Amir Abdul Rahman Khan	...	29-7-1916	
		30	Mitha Tiwana	3 S. Mohd. Hayat Khan	1-9-1902		
Malik Dost Mohd. Khan Tiwana.				...	23-9-19		
RAWALPINDI	Jhelum	31	Ahmadabad	Raja Mohd. Hayat Khan	...	10-7-1904	
		32	Dullah	Sultan Sikandar	...	31-1-1917	
		33	Mangwal	Sultan Khan	12-2-1898	14-12-1908	
	Attock	Ghugh	1	Yaqub Khan	14-1-07	20-1-1920	
			2	Mohd. Bahram	1910		
			3	Ayub Khan	12-4-1911		
			4	Sher Khan	1912		
			5	Ghulam Shah	14-1-1905		
	35	Kot Fateh Khan	6	Ghulam Mehdi	8-2-1909		
			Sardar Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	12-8-1901	2-4-1903		
	36	Dharek	Rab Nawaz Khan	6-11-1919	8-5-20		
37	Khan Mohd. Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Mohd. Nawaz Khan.	Khan Mohammad Nawaz Khan.	...	14-1-1907			
MONTGOMERY	Faridpur	38	Faridpur	Mohd. Usman Khan	5-2-1903	20-10-1910	
		39	Basirpur	1 B. Jagtar Singh 2 B. Avtar Singh	1-5-1903 17-11-1904	3-7-1911	
	40	Killa Tara Singh	Nau Nihal Singh	4-1-1907	14-8-1916		
			Gurdit Singh	...			
42	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala.	Mohd. Sharif Khan	1904	8-3-1919			
		Mohd. Hasan Khan	16-7-13				
Jhang	Shah Jiwana	S. Khizar Hayat Shah	8-6-1899	26-11-15			
		S. Mubarak Ali Shah	5-11-1900				
		S. Abid Hussain	1-8-15				
MULTAN	K. Wali Dad Khan Syal	44	K. Wali Dad Khan Syal	...	9-2-21		
		45	Sher Shah	S. Amir Haidar Shah S. Ghulam Akbar Shah		...	11-10-1900 9-1-1919
	46	Salarwahan	S. Muhd. Nawaz Shah	2-10-03	9-7-10		
			S. Muhd. Baqar Shah	...	9-7-18		
47	Jalalpur Pirwala	S. Ghulam Abbas S. Muhd. Ghaus	17-3-17	1-5-19			

COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1921.

Section and sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	18,920	3,794	2,016	2,783	...	Rs. 5,67,291	1
Ditto ditto ...	12,268	...	...	...	...	3,33,554	2
Ditto ditto ...	42,327	1,435	1,435	6,667	1,22,794	13,54,032	3
Ditto ditto ...	57,876	1,007	530	1,078	57,889	16,67,178	4
Section 5 (2) (d), Punjab Act II of 1903	48,403	4,184	2,170	5,968	50,000	20,36,400	5
Section 5, paragraph 2, Punjab Act II of 1903.	1,853	8,416	5,080	4,320	...	7,31,196	6
Ditto ditto ...	4,095	4,647	2,569	1,347	1,13,002	2,51,740	7
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	9,164	353	189	582	39,585	2,34,688	8
Ditto ditto ...	9,426	352	270	387	6,070	2,17,163	9
Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1872	10,522	506	261	277	13,730	3,62,506	10
Section 25 (g), Punjab Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,000	5,216	1,210	12	21,323	51,713	11
Section 3 (b) and (c), Punjab Act II of 1903.	19,125	2,274	2,274	1,187	1,00,000	6,72,801	12
Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903...	25,189	16,719	8,642	2,519	4,20,692	9,85,000	13
Section 5 (b) (c), Punjab Act II of 1903	22,342	1,644	619	1,191	...	9,66,166	14
Ditto ditto ...	830	1,349	390	788	47,645	71,190	15
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	13,706	11,970	9,557	18,091	67,014	17,58,501	16
Ditto ditto ...	2,207	102	119	340	...	71,391	17
Ditto ditto ...	1,133	333	303	1,255	7,818	1,17,299	18
Section 6, Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903.	9,551	16,208	14,463	6,828	1,27,418	19,46,577	19
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903	76,783	69,013	61,558	60,622	3,57,647	66,15,638	20
Section 5 (1), Act II of 1903	2,960	468	408	1,369	...	2,48,925	21
Section 5 (2) (a), Act II of 1903 ...	6,224	4,390	2,035	4,472	...	8,96,800	22
Ditto ditto ...	5,256	1,606	1,193	3,954	6,120	7,00,229	23
Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	621	1,611	1,320	5,191	4,255	4,12,614	24
Section 5 (1) of Act II of 1903 ...	12,306	596	445	1,004	5,17,000	4,51,074	25
Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	...	662	543	1,703	12,980	3,273	26
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	...	781	690	2,609	483	3,08,232	27
Ditto ditto ...	...	2,738	2,198	2,283	4,900	2,59,702	28
Section 5 (1) of Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	2,131	7,981	2,672	3,273	62,483	2,38,317	29
Section 8 of Court of Wards Act II of 1903	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Section 5 (d), Punjab Act II of 1903	10,003	11,400	3,691	3,004	2,78,006	7,66,061	30
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903	1,095	6,335	2,971	4,241	40,652	4,41,560	31
Ditto ditto ...	300 inam	7,410	2,896	2,207	...	2,07,039	32
Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	...	1,778	810	693	12,425	83,127	33
Ditto ditto ...	808 inam	3,460	1,389	1,620	10,545	3,50,545	34
Ditto ditto ...	6,184	60,594	36,190	18,231	...	10,99,038	35
Section 6 and 3 (b) and (c) of the Punjab Act II of 1903.	...	5,446	2,472	1,351	...	4,57,754	36
Section 6 of Court of Wards Act ...	...	14,332	4,474	7,039	1,32,436	4,47,580	37
Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 ...	720	4,873	2,220	1,890	22,613	2,91,896	38
Ditto ditto ...	1,090	2,162	1,900	1,540	...	97,222	39
Ditto ditto ...	...	2,390	1,332	1,338	...	1,60,642	40
Ditto ditto ...	...	2,390	1,331	1,337	...	1,60,642	41
Ditto ditto ...	12,734	741	694	1,465	35,296	2,79,481	42
Section 2, Court of Wards Act II of 1903	...	9,564	4,950	11,547	51,325	7,04,892	43
Section 5 (1), Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	400	481	300	72	40,000	1,48,922	44
Section 35 (a), Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	4,234	15,633	5,360	3,741	3,17,989	11,45,900	45
Under section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1903.	...	6,016	2,743	5,319	64,573	6,22,600	46
Under section 6, Act II of 1903 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Under section 8, Act II of 1903 ...	...	34,063	12,115	4,225	19,841	13,93,541	47
Total ...	4,66,878 Inam 1,108	3,62,632	2,13,527	2,13,067	31,92,49	3,31,79,491	

STATEMENT  
ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

District.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	Ass						
			Capitalised value of Jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Investments, loans and advances.			
						Government securities or securities of Local Bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immovable property.	Advances to tenants.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfi- kar Ali Khan.	4,73,000	2,25,420	90,003	61,300	...	3,311	...
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	3,06,700	...	907	15,900	...	...	...
	3	Sidhowal ...	10,58,175	2,05,042	1,49,503	14,800	...	...	...
	4	Arnauli ...	14,48,900	85,120	85,829	13,000	...	1,064	...
AMBALA	5	Manauli ...	12,10,085	4,74,978	1,27,879	77,600	56,116	35,314	...
	6	Kotaha ...	3,48,325	3,45,231	46,598	...	...	...	...
	7	Raipur ...	1,02,375	1,27,535	25,009	...	...	...	...
	8	Sohana ...	2,29,100	46,560	20,508	...	...	...	...
	9	Manakmajra ...	2,35,650	44,560	10,067	2,000	...	5,750	...
	10	Kandhola ...	2,68,070	42,590	26,467	5,100	...	3,100	...
KANORA	11	Lambagraon ...	50,000	...	903	1,066	...	...	...
	12	Rupi ...	4,78,125	94,990	70,000	...	...	...	...
	13	Guler ...	6,29,725	2,30,609	1,25,000	...	...	...	...
HOSHIARPUR	14	Amb ...	5,58,550	95,280	1,50,970	1,00,700	...	47,199	...
	15	Bhabaur ...	20,750	63,040	1,000	700	...	...	...
JULLUNDER	16	Kartarpur ...	3,47,650	15,10,541	2,50,212	72,700	1,000	...	152
	17	Shahkot (S. Arjan Singh) ...	50,175	27,200	9,000	...	...	...	...
	18	Do. (S. Rajinder Singh) ...	28,325	1,00,400	5,000	...	...	491	50
FEROZEPUR	19	Guru Har Sahai ...	2,38,775	16,84,073	1,44,318	89,380	...	20,326	29,791
	20	Vandot ...	19,19,575	47,59,406	5,79,541	7,42,802	2,52,773	2,52,211	...
LADAKH	21	Kallas Nath ...	99,000	70,000	85,000	45,500	...	...	...
	22	Rani Chhannian ...	1,55,600	3,57,760	76,285	4,000	...	...	...
	23	Kesri Singh ...	1,31,400	5,36,123	60,149	...	...	...	...
	24	Iftikhar-ud-Din ...	15,525	4,15,280	1,31,500	...	...	...	75
AMRITSAR	25	Attari ...	3,07,650	80,320	10,000	...	...	...	...
GURDASPUR	26	Fatehgarh ...	...	1,42,640	2,01,400	...	...	377	...
	27	Rangar Nangal ...	...	2,15,120	1,14,800	10,000	6,418	15,031	...
SHAHPUR	28	Tarkhanwala ...	...	4,11,000	16,007	55,025	...	...	900
	29	Sahiwal ...	53,275	5,10,260	10,400	51	...	312	1,900
	30	Mitha Tiwana ...	2,50,075	2,01,920	83,750	...	...	...	...
JHELM	31	Ahmadabad ...	27,375	3,75,185	33,000	...	...	...	644
	32	Dullah ...	Inam 300	1,72,160	4,700	5,700	14,640	...	...
	33	Mungwal ...	...	74,167	7,960	...	...	...	...
	34	Ghugh ...	Inam 808	3,20,000	13,000	...	...	...	...
ATTOCK	35	Kot Fateh Khan ...	1,54,600	17,17,238	3,32,374	2,60,000	...	9,643	58,732
	36	Dharel ...	...	4,00,000	10,000	...	...	26,254	...
MIANWALI	37	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rah- man Khan and Khan Muham- mad Nawaz Khan.	...	7,16,320	15,500	...	...	...	1,000
MONTGOMERY	38	Faridpur ...	18,000	1,51,200	20,000	18,000	...	...	...
	39	Basirpur ...	27,250	1,23,200	12,000	5,810	...	...	...
	40	Kila Tara Singh (Nan Nilal Singh).	...	1,07,040	11,200	24,700	...	...	...
	41	Do. (Gurdit Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
LYALLPUR	42	Chak Haidarabad and Sayad- wala.	2,60,000	2,50,000	10,000	...	...	500	...
JHANG	43	Shah Jiwana ...	...	6,49,760	23,500	25,000	...	...	...
	44	K. Wali Dad Khan ...	10,000	1,20,000	15,000	...	...	...	...
MULTAN	45	Sher Shah ...	1,05,850	12,15,340	8,383	5,000	...	...	...
	46	Salarwahan ...	...	6,01,600	31,296	22,700	...	5,594	...
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala ...	...	11,19,649	1,87,717	1,000	...	11,234	3,611
		Total	1,16,03,610 Inam, 108	2,12,16,698	34,40,814	16,77,688	3,30,947	4,37,750	96,855

ASSETS.							LIABILITIES.							
Cash.			Other assets.				Balance of loans still due.			Other sums due.		Total liabilities.	Excess of assets over liabilities.	Serial No.
In banks.	In treasury.	In hand.	Estimated value of un-sold grab.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jewellery, stock and other items.	Total assets.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other pers. ns.	To Government.	To other persons.			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
...	49,585	100	...	5,345	12,604	9,20,668	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,06,668	1
...	7,800	50	...	150	1,031	3,32,531	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,32,531	2
200	82,029	130	...	12,364	12,386	15,34,529	...	...	...	...	...	...	15,34,529	3
...	62,188	150	...	7,443	11,200	17,12,884	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,12,884	4
...	2,428	...	...	19,688	12,110	20,16,198	...	...	...	...	...	...	20,16,198	5
...	7,969	110	...	11,264	1,169	7,58,696	...	...	...	13	...	13	7,58,653	6
...	1,633	125	...	2,340	1,804	2,60,821	...	48,000	...	15	...	48,015	2,12,806	7
...	1,316	100	...	2,818	572	3,00,967	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,00,967	8
...	2,808	125	...	1,022	32	3,02,014	...	...	...	4	...	4	3,02,010	9
...	3,963	125	...	961	63	3,45,389	...	...	...	2	...	2	3,45,387	10
...	40	...	...	...	...	52,066	...	...	6,251	...	...	6,251	45,815	11
...	10,636	...	...	...	19,080	6,72,801	...	...	1,00,000	...	...	1,00,000	5,72,801	12
...	4,777	...	...	...	...	9,90,102	...	...	46,454	...	...	46,454	9,43,648	13
...	17,092	...	...	700	11,500	9,80,321	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,80,321	14
...	782	...	...	1,500	310	87,882	...	4,159	36,409	...	...	40,568	47,314	15
...	13,611	...	...	3,920	48,905	22,43,691	...	...	...	...	...	...	22,43,691	16
...	3,664	...	...	12	26	90,077	...	...	...	...	...	...	90,077	17
...	150	...	...	3,503	1,615	1,39,534	...	1,000	3,556	...	1,721	6,277	1,33,257	18
...	58,150	...	11,573	17,707	8,307	23,02,350	...	...	...	1,056	...	1,056	21,01,294	19
16,477	83,261	1,175	...	3,085	2,36,740	88,47,046	...	...	...	5,064	...	5,064	88,41,982	20
...	13,902	120	...	800	...	3,14,322	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,14,322	21
...	16,339	...	...	614	1,792	6,12,590	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,12,590	22
9,000	5,436	50	...	4,080	1,708	7,47,946	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,47,946	23
...	8,529	150	...	764	...	5,71,823	...	...	...	60,000	...	60,000	5,11,823	24
...	714	450	569	3,121	...	4,02,824	1,25,324	...	364	...	...	1,25,638	2,77,136	25
...	26	1,971	...	154	1,200	3,47,768	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,47,768	26
...	4,663	1,489	...	1,992	11,500	3,81,063	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,81,063	27
...	51,424	1,482	2,642	49	5,550	5,44,072	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,44,072	28
...	12,329	517	1,268	818	...	5,91,130	...	...	35,326	...	...	35,326	5,55,804	29
...	1,073	...	...	7,781	1,133	5,45,732	...	...	64,956	...	...	64,956	4,80,776	30
...	4,014	...	320	3,453	6,000	4,49,991	...	25,958	...	...	...	25,958	4,24,033	31
...	9,918	...	...	4,492	3,200	2,15,210	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,15,210	32
...	1,290	...	...	1,321	1,000	85,738	...	2,200	...	...	...	2,200	83,538	33
...	3,779	...	...	1,269	1,900	3,40,756	...	...	890	...	...	300	3,39,956	34
...	76,824	566	1,00,329	8,399	88,130	23,06,835	...	...	...	...	...	...	23,06,835	35
...	9,330	...	10,936	...	6,811	4,63,330	...	...	...	279	...	279	4,63,051	36
...	11,137	95	9,150	5,257	...	7,58,459	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,58,459	37
...	12,186	...	5,445	300	20,000	2,45,131	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,45,131	38
...	8,134	...	...	5,138	...	1,81,532	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,81,532	39
...	19,920	...	5,089	1,006	21,891	1,90,846	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,90,846	40
...	...	...	5,089	1,006	2,956	9,051	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,051	41
...	6,497	...	257	...	600	5,27,854	...	...	27,540	...	...	27,540	5,00,314	42
...	90,823	...	6,593	409	8,559	8,04,649	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,04,649	43
...	861	...	66	40	2,955	1,48,922	...	...	40,000	...	...	40,000	1,08,922	44
...	14,985	...	3,780	54,753	899	14,06,995	...	31,541	44,398	528	...	76,467	13,80,528	45
...	1,199	...	6,667	12,654	9,318	6,91,030	...	...	...	49	...	489	6,90,561	46
...	20,021	...	3,450	2,884	31,694	18,81,260	...	...	23,671	1,496	...	25,167	13,56,093	47
25,677	8,19,440	9,080	1,73,223	2,16,376	6,08,150	4,06,57,396	1,25,324	1,12,858	4,29,725	68,926	1,721	7,38,554	3,99,18,842	

**STATEMENT**  
**INCOME FOR THE YEAR ENDING**

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN					
			Income from sale of			Income from loans received from		
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Sidhowal	...	...	...	...	...	...
	4	Arnauli	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMBALA	5	Manauli	...	...	...	...	...	...
	6	Kotaha	...	...	...	...	...	...
	7	Raipur	...	...	...	...	...	...
	8	Sohana	...	...	...	...	...	...
	9	Manak Majra	...	...	...	...	...	...
	10	Kandhola	...	...	...	...	...	...
KANGRA	11	Lambagraon	...	...	...	...	...	...
	12	Rupi	...	...	...	...	...	...
	13	Guler	...	...	...	...	...	...
HOSHIARPUR	14	Amb	...	...	...	...	...	...
	15	Bhabou	...	...	...	...	...	...
JULLUNDUR	16	Kartarpur	...	...	...	...	...	...
	17	Shahkot (S. Arjan Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...
	18	Do. (S. Rajindar Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...
FEROZPORE	19	Guru Har Sahai	...	...	...	...	...	...
	20	Mamdot	...	...	...	...	...	...
LAHORE	21	Kailas Nath	...	...	...	...	...	...
	22	Rani Chhaunian	...	...	...	...	...	...
	23	Kesri Singh	...	...	...	...	...	...
	24	Iftikhar-ud-Din	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMRITSAR	25	Attari	...	...	...	...	...	...
GURDASPUR	26	Fatehgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...
	27	Rangar Nangal	...	...	...	...	...	...
SHAHPUR	28	Tarkhanwala	...	390	...	...	...	...
	29	Sahiwal	...	...	...	...	...	...
	30	Mitha Tiwana	...	...	...	...	...	...
JHELUM	31	Ahmadabad	...	...	...	...	...	...
	32	Dullah	...	...	...	...	...	...
	33	Mangwal	...	...	...	...	...	...
	34	Ghugh	...	...	...	...	...	...
	35	Kot Fateh Khan	...	...	...	...	...	...
ATTOCK	36	Dharek	...	...	...	...	...	...
MIANWALI	37	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...
MONTGOMERY	38	Faridpur	...	...	...	...	...	...
	39	Basirpur	...	...	...	...	...	...
	40	Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh).	...	...	...	...	...	...
	41	Do. (Gurdit Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...
LYALPUR	42	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala	...	...	...	...	...	...
JHANG	43	Shah Jiwan	...	...	...	...	...	...
	44	K. Wali Dad Khan	...	...	...	...	...	...
MULTAN	45	Sher Shah	...	15,160	...	...	...	...
	46	Salarwahan	...	...	...	...	...	...
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total			15,550	...	...	...	...	...

10TH SEPTEMBER 1921.

DEBT TRANSACTIONS.			ORDINARY INCOME.						Total income.	Serial No.
Income from repayment of loans due to the estate.	Recovery of advances made to tenants.	Total.	Jagir or talukdari income.	Cash rent.	Sale of grain and other produce and of stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
20	...	20	18,920	20,182	634	9,309	49,045	49,065	1	
...	...	...	12,121	...	...	359	12,480	12,480	2	
1,060	...	1,060	41,163	33,324	2,931	1,159	78,867	79,927	3	
856	...	356	68,416	3,885	5,091	3,605	80,997	81,353	4	
7,400	...	7,400	46,903	19,536	4,317	5,046	75,802	83,202	5	
...	...	...	9,210	9,802	5,679	3,369	23,060	23,060	6	
...	...	...	4,662	9,911	3,815	864	19,252	19,252	7	
...	...	...	4,625	1	16	26	4,668	4,668	8	
...	...	...	9,094	2,087	1,420	160	12,761	12,761	9	
378	...	378	9,965	2,474	346	543	13,328	13,706	10	
...	...	...	1,917	1	36	...	1,954	1,954	11	
...	...	...	...	...	...	10,636	10,636	10,636	12	
...	...	...	18,249	9,323	...	419	27,991	27,991	13	
8,815	...	8,815	22,162	3,360	2,522	4,230	32,274	40,889	14	
14	...	14	1,831	3,706	529	469	6,535	6,549	15	
...	...	...	13,706	24,137	403	52,107	90,353	90,353	16	
...	...	...	1,954	1,502	17	2	3,475	3,475	17	
597	...	597	1,124	2,112	433	309	3,978	4,575	18	
...	...	...	4,430	35,797	25,094	16,692	82,013	82,013	19	
90,064	...	90,064	81,625	2,26,987	3,974	1,73,497	4,86,683	5,76,147	20	
...	...	...	4,758	5,872	...	4,204	14,834	14,834	21	
325	...	325	6,224	33,296	4,511	1,404	45,435	45,760	22	
...	...	...	6,355	19,859	345	3,539	24,098	24,098	23	
...	50	50	557	24,399	13,808	4,111	42,875	42,925	24	
...	...	...	13,253	12,802	...	56	25,555	25,555	25	
...	...	...	...	13,652	1,096	...	14,794	14,794	26	
173	...	173	...	8,619	3,312	5,158	17,039	17,262	27	
14,154	370	14,914	...	15,275	16,048	1,698	33,021	47,935	28	
1,100	41	1,141	1,732	11,808	13,153	3,812	30,515	31,656	29	
...	50	50	1,952	2,473	2,914	2,534	9,873	9,923	30	
...	395	395	960	...	8,559	483	10,007	10,402	31	
1,542	...	1,542	357	...	13,598	23	13,978	15,526	32	
...	...	...	...	...	4,397	...	4,397	4,397	33	
...	...	...	808	...	11,962	324	13,094	13,094	34	
29,641	26,849	56,490	6,254	32,109	2,30,057	16,825	2,85,245	3,41,735	35	
74	109	183	...	243	3,243	523	4,014	4,197	36	
...	516	516	...	415	79,497	4,400	84,312	84,823	37	
20	...	20	720	632	16,302	3,458	21,122	21,142	38	
20	...	20	1,077	4,250	500	344	6,171	6,191	39	
706	...	706	...	243	16,250	2,395	18,888	19,534	40	
706	...	706	...	242	16,250	2,316	18,508	19,514	41	
...	...	...	...	11,538	615	581	12,734	12,734	42	
1,785	...	1,785	...	4,539	1,02,811	1,118	1,08,518	1,10,303	43	
...	...	...	436	1,400	62	...	1,898	1,898	44	
...	...	15,160	1,141	1,038	23,688	1,969	27,336	42,996	45	
...	...	...	...	...	18,911	4,648	23,559	23,559	46	
408	639	1,047	...	597	40,712	5,346	46,655	47,702	47	
1,59,158	29,019	2,03,727	4,18,661	6,07,278	6,99,848	3,54,090	20,79,877	22,83,604		

STATEMENT  
EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR

District.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN AND DEBT						
			Repayment of debt.	Purchase of			Loans.		Advances made to tenants.
				Land.	House property.	Government securities or securities of Local Bodies.	Made to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other immoveable property.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfiqar Ali Khan.	...	2,610	...	...	...	...	...
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Sidhowal ...	...	4,170	...	...	...	...	...
	4	Arnauli ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMBALA	5	Mansuli ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	6	Kotaha ...	...	5,626	...	...	...	...	...
	7	Raipur ...	...	2,200	...	...	...	...	...
	8	Sohana ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	9	Manak Majra ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	10	Kandhola ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
KANGRA	11	Lambagraon ...	...	400	...	...	...	...	...
	12	Rupi ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	13	Guler ...	...	14,892	...	...	...	...	...
HOSHIARPUR	14	Amb ...	...	...	...	25,000	...	13,497	...
	15	Bhabaur ...	...	2,500	...	...	...	...	...
JULLUNDUR	16	Kartarpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	17	Shabkot (S. Arjan Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	18	" (S. Rajindar Singh)	...	14	...	...	...	...	...
FEROZPORE	19	Guru Har Sahai ...	...	...	...	...	...	20,000	...
	20	Mamdot ...	...	2,480	...	...	...	...	...
LAHORE	21	Kailas Nath ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	22	Rani Chhaunnian ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	23	Kesri Singh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	24	Iftikhar-ud-din ...	...	8,000	...	...	...	...	75
AMRITSAR	25	Attari ...	...	11,854	...	...	...	...	
GURDASPUR	26	Fatehgarh ...	...	6,371	...	...	...	...	...
	27	Rangar Nangal ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SHAHPUR	28	Tarkhanwala ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,270
	29	Sahiwal ...	...	3,540	3,000	...	...	212	1,900
	30	Mitha Tiwana ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	460
JHELM	31	Ahmadabad ...	...	1,503	...	...	...	...	1,039
	32	Dullah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	33	Mangwal ...	...	1,961	...	...	...	...	...
	34	Ghugh ...	...	9,525	...	...	...	...	...
ATTOCK	35	Kot Fateh Khan ...	...	...	84,686	4,675	1,00,000	...	69,886
	36	Dharek ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
MIANWALI	37	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	30,393	...	...	...	...	...	1,000
MONTGOMERY	38	Faridpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	39	Basirpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	40	Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh)	...	...	345	...	...	...	1,127
	41	Ditto (Gurdit Singh)	...	...	345	...	...	...	7,500
LYALLPUR	42	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala ...	4,023	...	...	...	...	...	
JHANG	43	Shah Jiwana ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	44	Khan Wali Dad Khan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
MULTAN	45	Fher Shah ...	18,602	...	...	...	...	...	...
	46	Salarwahan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala ...	2,303	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total			1,27,880	93,466	4,675	1,25,000	...	33,709	84,257



O. IV.

ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1921.

TRANSACTIONS.

ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.

Cost of permanent improvements.	Total.	and revenue, cesses, commutation fees and haq-ul-tahsil.	Ward's rate.	Cost of manager and staff.	Total cost of management (total of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary improvements and repairs.	Personal expenses of ward, including cost of education.	Allowance to ward's family.	Expenditure on law, domestic, ceremonies, servants, stables, etc.	Total ordinary expenditure.	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management (column 16) of total ordinary income (column 17 of statement III).	Serial No.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
...	2,610	7,458	741	3,883	4,624	8,039	7,823	1,775	8,302	38,021	40,631	9.4	1
...	...	2,693	375	1,091	1,466	...	5,163	1,860	1,311	13,293	13,293	11.7	2
1,433	5,603	5,733	1,042	7,457	8,506	4,021	7,848	6,360	11,737	44,205	49,808	10.8	3
...	...	12,432	1,064	5,908	6,972	5,371	2,998	7,207	25,131	60,111	60,111	8.6	4
158	158	12,036	1,117	7,295	8,412	2,547	27,700	27,242	27,215	1,05,162	1,05,310	11.1	5
2,122	7,748	6,113	528	3,879	4,407	380	...	588	5,364	16,852	24,600	15.7	6
653	2,853	5,034	435	1,313	1,748	147	6,284	343	2,122	15,678	18,531	9.1	7
...	...	817	254	695	949	57	3,488	419	3,915	9,645	9,645	20.3	8
...	...	1,370	379	1,113	1,492	461	6,046	2,019	6,334	17,722	17,722	11.7	9
...	...	1,606	385	1,428	1,813	70	7,212	900	1,842	13,443	13,443	13.6	10
...	400	12	98	120	218	...	640	574	216	1,654	2,054	11.2	11
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12
...	14,892	39	530	911	1,441	1,000	7,425	660	2,055	12,620	27,512	5.2	13
...	38,497	3,279	...	2,218	2,218	345	5,377	2,430	5,632	19,281	57,778	6.9	14
...	2,500	874	310	437	747	100	1,289	1,125	655	4,790	7,290	11.4	15
8,000	8,000	8,045	1,150	7,432	8,582	1,425	10,976	22,900	50,283	1,02,211	1,10,211	9.5	16
596	596	349	174	326	500	288	610	660	805	3,207	3,803	14.4	17
...	14	353	314	1,021	1,335	93	994	1,428	1,066	5,509	5,223	33.6	18
27,334	47,334	5,595	2,282	7,818	10,100	6,359	42,573	7,563	32,453	1,04,643	1,51,977	12.3	19
1,21,836	1,24,316	48,539	5,149	43,014	48,153	1,14,545	2,05,224	24,410	1,34,910	5,75,791	7,00,107	9.9	20
...	...	373	354	1,192	1,446	1,512	240	2,756	14,436	20,763	20,763	9.7	21
161	161	6,532	711	3,469	4,180	268	17,803	1,588	11,534	41,965	42,066	9.2	22
1,410	1,410	3,955	491	2,201	2,692	603	4,053	4,638	10,710	26,651	28,061	11.2	23
4,163	12,238	5,191	678	2,832	3,510	1,259	5,247	3,030	12,373	30,601	42,839	8.2	24
...	11,854	1,406	688	240	928	113	1,200	2,700	133	6,400	18,334	3.6	25
...	6,371	2,021	383	1,366	1,749	1,509	780	3,200	1,691	11,140	17,511	11.8	26
...	...	2,934	463	1,963	2,366	2,039	6,223	1,497	1,511	16,570	16,570	18.8	27
1,200	2,470	6,420	566	3,028	3,614	213	986	2,321	1,212	15,266	17,736	10.9	28
1,509	10,161	4,720	519	3,468	4,017	408	2,467	3,865	2,752	18,229	28,390	13.1	29
...	460	3,815	484	1,957	2,441	38	3,455	100	2,660	12,509	12,969	24.6	30
1,425	3,967	2,902	514	1,507	2,021	48	2,291	1,953	2,673	11,888	15,855	20.2	31
...	...	866	693	463	1,096	...	2,250	5,706	868	10,786	10,786	7.8	32
...	1,961	...	92	192	284	...	380	690	32	1,386	3,347	6.5	33
...	9,525	2,107	352	864	1,216	100	1,575	552	226	5,776	15,301	9.3	34
19,495	2,78,742	18,231	2,502	41,386	43,888	7,297	24,268	12,100	56,320	1,62,074	4,40,816	15.4	35
...	...	1,387	453	1,095	1,548	...	900	4,766	882	9,488	9,488	38.5	36
1,600	32,993	7,039	501	7,530	8,031	2,000	3,300	2,808	18,605	41,783	74,776	9.5	37
816	816	1,829	302	2,773	3,075	1,198	4,120	1,116	5,051	16,389	17,205	15.2	38
114	114	302	...	2,112	2,112	245	1,798	2,165	417	7,039	7,153	34.2	39
132	1,604	1,763	...	1,992	1,992	1,594	677	1,300	2,350	9,676	11,280	10.9	40
131	7,976	1,763	...	1,992	1,992	1,594	...	...	2,350	7,699	15,675	10.9	41
...	4,023	97	584	1,387	1,971	461	630	1,468	275	4,902	8,925	15.	42
...	...	11,547	645	8,322	8,967	250	17,546	3,000	9,878	51,188	51,188	8.3	43
...	...	72	...	39	39	...	926	...	...	1,037	1,037	2.1	44
1,204	19,806	3,741	771	6,477	7,248	1,765	3,134	2,528	4,153	22,569	42,375	26.	45
1,894	1,894	5,319	703	5,680	6,383	1,046	14,515	4,552	7,658	39,473	41,367	27.1	46
...	2,306	4,225	831	8,540	9,371	1,814	5,932	5,208	8,775	35,325	37,631	20.1	47
1,97,386	6,66,373	2,22,934	30,574	2,11,296	2,41,370	1,72,668	4,77,166	1,86,670	5,00,807	18,02,115	24,68,486	...	48



	18	Do. (S. Rajendra Singh) ...																		14	18								
FEROZPORE ..	19	Guru Har Sahai ...																		20,000	19								
	20	Mamdot ...	1	2,480																	20								
	21	Kullas Nath ...																			21								
LAHORE ...	22	Rani Chhaunian ...																			22								
	23	Kesri Singh ...																			23								
	24	Iftikhar-ud-din ...																			8,000	24							
AMRITSAR ...	25	Attari ...																			11,854	25							
GURDASPUR ...	26	Fatehgarh ...																				6,311	26						
	27	Rangar Nangal ...																					27						
SHANPUR ...	28	Tarkhauwala ...				2	290																28						
	29	Sahiwal ...	10	3,000																	212		3,540	29					
	30	Mitha Tiwana ...																							30				
JHELUM ...	31	Ahmadabad ...																							1,503	31			
	32	Dullah ...																								32			
	33	Mangwal ...																								1,961	33		
ATTOCK ...	34	Ghugh ...																									9,525	34	
	35	Kot Fateh Khan ...																										35	
	36	Dharek ...																										36	
MIANWALI ...	37	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rah- mao Khan and Khan Muham- mad Nawaz Khan.																										30,393	37
	38	Faridpur ...																										38	
MONTGOMERY ...	39	Basipur ...																										39	
	40	Kila Tara Singh (share of Nau Nihal Singh).	7	345																								40	
	41	Do. (Gurdit Singh) ...	7	345																								41	
LYALLPUR ...	42	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala																										4,023	42
JHANG ...	43	Shah Jiwana ...																										43	
	44	Khan Walidad Khan ...																										44	
MULTAN ...	45	Sher Shah ...				65	15,160																					18,602	45
	46	Salarwahan ...																										46	
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala ...																										2,306	47
		Total ...	30	93,463	67	15,550	4,675			1,25,000																		127,880	

## STATEMENT No. VI.

## ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1921.

District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.		Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHT.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge	ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON RELEASE.				
			Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.			On assumption of charge.			On release.				Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.
							Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ambala ...	Manauli ...	S Umrao Singh	15th November 1918.	16th August 1921.	50,000	...	5,883	1,865	5,007	4,184	2,170	5,968	71,878	4,00,560	56,000	3,77,097	7,33,657	4,74,978	1,27,879	77,600	1,35,966	8,06,113
	Sohana ...	(1) S. Deo Indar Singh. (2) S. Gurbakhs Singh.	20th December 1904.	16th May 1921	39,585	...	353	189	373	313	189	582	55	29,800	20,506	3,267	53,613	46,566	20,503	...	4,801	71,867
Delium ...	Dullah ...	Sultan Sikandar	10th December 1903.	10th October 1921.	4,402	..	7,410	2,896	2,152	7,410	2,896	2,207	982	1,72,160	4,700	3,200	1,80,090	1,72,160	4,700	5,800	32,250	2,14,910

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE AND CASSES PAID, TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR THE YEAR 1920-21.

District.	Name of estate.	Rents and sale of grain (columns 14 and 15 of Statement No. III).	Land revenue and casses (column 11 of Statement No. IV).	Percentage of land revenue to rents, etc.	Deputy Commissioner's expla- nation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
KARNAL	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	20,816	7,458	35.8	This estate owns no landed property. The amount in column 4 consists of Government rents and haq-ul-tahsil.
	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	2,693	...	
	Sidhowal ... .. Arnauli ... ..	36,545 8,976	5,733 12,482	15.7 138.5	
AMBALA	Manauli ... ..	23,853	12,038	...	The figures in column 4 include those of service commutation and haq-ul-tahsil.
	Kotaha ... ..	15,481	6,113	39.5	
	Raipur ... ..	13,720	5,034	36.7	
	Sohana ... ..	17	817	...	
	Manakmajra ... .. Kandhola ... ..	3,507 2,820	1,370 1,606	39.1 57.	
KANGRA	Lambagraon ... ..	37	12	32.4	
	Rupi ... ..	...	...	...	
	Guler ... ..	9,323	39	45.6	
HOSHIAHPUR	Amb ... ..	5,882	3,279	55.7	
	Bhabaur ... ..	4,235	874	20.6	
JULLUNDUR	Katarpur ... ..	24,540	8,045	32.8	
	Shahkot (S. Arjan Singh) ... ..	1,519	349	22.2	
	Shahkot (S. Rajindra Singh) ... ..	2,545	353	13.9	
FEROZEPUR	Guru Har Sahai ... ..	60,891	5,595	9.2	
	Mandot ... ..	2,30,961	48,539	21.0	
LAHORE	Kailas Nath ... ..	5,872	373	6.3	
	Rani Chhaunian ... ..	37,307	6,532	17.3	
	Sardar Kesri Singh ... ..	14,204	3,955	27.8	
	Iftikhar-ud-din ... ..	38,207	5,191	13.6	
AMRITSAR	Attari ... ..	12,302	1,406	11.4	
GURDASPUR	Fatehgarh ... ..	14,738	2,021	13.7	
	Rangar Nangal ... ..	11,931	2,934	24.6	
SHAHNUR	Tarkhanwala ... ..	31,323	6,420	20.4	
	Sahiwal ... ..	24,971	4,720	18.9	
	Mitha Tiwana ... ..	5,387	3,815	70.8	
JHBLUM	Ahmadabad ... ..	8,559	2,902	33.9	
	Dullah ... ..	13,598	866	...	
	Mungwal ... ..	4,397	...	...	
	Ghugh ... ..	11,962	2,107	17.6	
ATTOCK	Kot Fateh Khan ... ..	2,62,166	18,231	7.0	
	Dharel ... ..	3,486	1,387	39.7	
MIANWALI	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	79,912	7,039	8.9	
MONTGOMERY	Faridpur ... ..	16,096	1,829	11.4	
	Basirpur ... ..	4,750	802	6.4	
	Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh) Do. (Gurdit Singh) ... ..	31,695	3,526	11.1	
	Chak Haidarabad and Sayalwala ... ..	12,153	97	15.8	
JHANG	Shah Jiwana ... ..	1,07,400	11,547	10.8	
	Walidat Khan Sayal ... ..	1,462	72	4.9	
MULTAN	Shah Shaha ... ..	24,726	3,741	15.1	
	Salarwala ... ..	18,911	5,319	28.1	
	Jaisalpur Pirwala ... ..	41,309	4,225	10.2	

