Regs. no. 532 of 1928 E. 54

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE


PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1927.



Lahore :

Printedby the Enperintendent, Go ernne t Printing, Punjab. 1928.



REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1927.



Lahore:

Printed by the Superintendent, Government Printing Punjab.

1928.

Revised List of Agents for the Sale of Punjab Government Publications.

ON THE CONTINENT AND UNITED KINGDOM.

Publications obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, 42, Grosvenor Gardens, London, S. W. 1, or through any bookseller.

IN INDIA

The Manager, Standard Book Depôt, Lahore.

RAI SAHIB M. GULAB SINGH & SONS, Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.

RAMA KRISHNA & Sons, Booksellers and News Agents, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

SECRETARY, Punjab Religious Book Society, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

MANAGER, Roze Bazar Steam Press, Amritsar.

The Manager, Imperial Book Depôt, Delhi.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Simla.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutts.

W. NEWMAN & Co., Limited, Calcutta.

The PROVRIETOR, The Book Company, Booksellers, 4/4 A College Square, Calcutta.

MESSES. S. K. LAHIRI & Co., Booksellers, Publishers and Printers, Calcutta.

D. B. TARAPOREWALA, SONS & Co., Bombay.

The Manager, Punjab Law Book Mart, Mohan Lal Road, Lahore.

The GENERAL MANAGER, "The Qaumi Daler" and the Union Press, Amritsar.

Review of the Annual Report on the Administration under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1927.

Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council, No.3304-D., dated 6th July 1928.

- 1. The number of estates under the Court of Wards has increased to 50, spread over 19 districts in the Province, with total assets estimated at 4\frac{3}{4} crores and total liabilities at Rs. 14\frac{2}{3} lakhs. In the year under report the Kailash Nath estate (Lahore) was released, this estate having been under the control of the Court of Wards for 22 years, a longer period that any other estate can show, excepting Mamdot (1891) and Lambagraon (1888).
- 2. The cultivated area of all the estates is $1\frac{3}{5}$ lakhs acres, with a land revenue of nearly Rs. $2\frac{1}{5}$ lakhs. In the year under report the income from cash rents was Rs. $6\frac{3}{5}$ lakhs, and the income from sale of grain, and other produce and of stock was just under Rs. $5\frac{1}{10}$ lakhs. This income amounts to Rs. 7 per cultivated acre: the land revenue averages Re. 1-5-0 per acre, or in other words absorbs 19 per cent. of the landlord's share. The cost of management at Rs. $2\frac{1}{10}$ lakhs works out at 11 per cent. of the ordinary income, and 9 per cent. of the total income.
- 3. The increase in the treasury balance of the estates was deprecated in last year's review when the balance was Rs. 6\frac{2}{3} lakhs. This amount has now swollen to Rs. 9\frac{1}{8} lakhs, and the same estates as last year show big balances, i.e., Sidhowal, Arnauli, Buria, Amb, Kalabagh and Mamdot. The increase is really due in the main to the Mamdot balance being allowed to increase from Rs. 1 lakh to Rs. 3\frac{1}{3} lakhs, principally on account of the sale of over 2 lakhs of Government promissory notes which had not been re-invested. The Governor in Council would again direct the attention of local officers to the inadvisability of allowing large balances to remain adle in treasuries.

- 4. Judging from the increase in the total recoverable areas of rent, from under Rs. 2 lakhs to over Rs. 2½ lakhs, delay or shortage in collection of rents is a actor to be reckoned with by large landlords.
- 5. The Governor in Council concurs in the views expressed by the Financial Commissioner in paragraph 9 of the report. The primary object of the Court of Wards is to rehabilitate the finances of the Ward's estate, and to effect improvements in the estate. In pursuing that object it is necessary inter alia that farming should be conducted on scientific principles, and that attention should be devoted to the improvement of live stock, and the betterment of the resident tenantry.

CHANGE META TOWNSHIP THE SEPOND MET AND ARREST

By order of the Governor in Council,

W. R. WILSON,

Secretary to Government, Punjab, Revenue

Department

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1927.

- 1. Estates under management and those released and taken over.—The previous year closed with 48 estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards. The estates of Nowshera Nangli (Amritsar), Khan Bahadur Nawab Muhammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C. (Dera Ghazi Khan), and Shujabad (Multan) were taken over and that of Diwan Kailash Nath (Lahore) was released, leaving 50 under superintendence at the end of the year (30th September, 1927).
- 2. The Kailash Nath Estate was released on the 31st May, 1927. It was taken under the control of the Court of Wards in 1905 and during the last 22 years the income of the Estate has risen from Rs. 10,080 to Rs. 24,787 per annum. The assets of the estate at the time of assumption of charge by the Court of Wards amounted to Rs. 2,48,925. The assets at the close of the year ending 30th September, 1925, amounted to Rs. 3,18,027. During the period of superintendence proprietary rights were acquired in ten squares of land in village Ram Niwas in the Sheikhupura District. The valuation of this land (Rs. 70,000) given in the annual report for 1924-25 is that estimated in 1905, but the present market value is not less than 2 lacs of rupees.

The Nowshera Nangli Estate was taken over on the 21st June, 1927. The late Sardar Bahadur Sir Arur Singh desired in his will that his elder son, Sardar Buta Singh, should act as guardian of the younger son Sardar Surindar Singh and that if the elder son was not willing to act as guardian

the interests of the younger son should be entrusted to the Deputy Commissioner. Sardar Buta Singh signified very decisively that he was not willing to act as guardian. The estate of Sardar Surindar Singh was accordingly taken over by the Court of Wards for management under section 6, and the joint property of Sardar Buta Singh under section 8 of the Act of 1903.

The estate of Khan Bahadur Nawab Mohammad Jamal Khan, Leghari Tumandar, of Dera Ghazi Khan, was brought under the Court of Wards on the 6th August, 1927 on the application of the Nawab. The Commissioner has been asked to submit a scheme of management.

The Shujabad Estate, which belongs to Chaudhri Sham Singh, Babla, son of Chaudhri Hoa Ram Babla, of Shujabad, was taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards during the year under section 5(1) of the Act on account of the indebtedness of the Ward.

Besides the above estates the share of Sardar Gurdit Singh in the Qila Tara Singh Estate (Montgomery), which was released last year, was again taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, because the joint leased land could not be released.

3. Income and expenditure.—The total ordinary income amounted to Rs. 19,21,352 as compared with Rs. 19,26,370, in the previous year, a decrease of Rs. 5,018, which is chiefly due to a decrease under "cash rent." The income realised from the sale of grain and other produce and of stock shows a substantial increase of Rs. 37,442, and the total gross income shows an increase of Rs. 1,37,710 as against a decrease of Rs. 82,556 last year. This is chiefly due to the sale of securities of the value of Rs. 2,17,537 by the Mamdot Estate.

The total ordinary expenditure has decreased from Rs. 16,57,496, to Rs. 15,66,167. This is mainly due to a decrease of Rs. 65,721 in the personal expenses of the Wards, including the cost of their education. There is, however, a decrease of only Rs. 32,794 in the total expenditure which comes to Rs. 21,88,915 as against Rs. 22,21,709 for last year.

4. Assets and Investments.—Statement No. II shows that there has been an increase from Rs. 6,63,157, to Rs. 9,12,301 in the estates' treasury balances since last year. The Sidhowal (Karnal), Arnauli (Karnal), Buria (Ambala)

Amb (Hoshiarpur), Mamdot (Ferozepore) and Kalabagh (Mianwali) estates should not have been allowed to accumulate such large balances in the treasury. The Sidhowal estate has, however, invested Rs. 45,900 in Government securities, Arnauli Rs. 9,100 and Amb Rs. 29,344. Buria proposes to invest Rs. 40,000 in the purchase of lands or spend this sum on development of the existing estate by the sinking of tube wells, etc. Kalabagh invested Rs. 60,000, in the Central Co-operative Bank. Mamdot advanced a loan of Rs. 25,000 to the Malout Estate on the security of landed property at 8 per cent. per annum and advanced Rs. 15,000 to the Banking Union, Limited, Jalalabad. Government promissory notes of the value of Rs. 2,17,537, were sold in order to make funds available for advancing a loan of Rs. 2,00,000 to a private individual on good security.

5. Reduction of debts.—Progress in reduction of debt has been slightly less satisfactory than in the previous year, Rs. 1,18,318 having been paid off against Rs. 1,38,268 last year. The amount of loans due to other estates has increased from Rs. 1,35,003 to Rs. 1,58,071, the debtor estates concerned being the Malout, Mitha Tiwana, Jalalpur Pirwala, and Sher Shah Estates. The amount of oustanding loans due to Government has decreased from Rs. 1,69,083 to Rs. 1,57,229. There has been an increase of debts due to other persons from Rs. 7,93,298 to Rs. 8,58,411. This appears to be chiefly due to the taking under superintendence of the Shujabad Estate, the debts of which totalled Rs. 1,06,876. It is noticed that the total liabilities of certain estates especially those of Bhabaur, Malout, Mitha Tiwana and Manzur Ahmad Khan Qasrani have increased rather than decreased. Efforts should be made to pay off loans regularly in accordance with the schemes of re-payment laid down.

Farrukhnagar (Gurgaon) deserves credit for completely clearing off its liabilities.

6. Arrears of rent.—The total recoverable arrears of rent rose from Rs. 1,91,590 to Rs. 2,57,646, Sidhowal (Karnal) was responsible for an increase of Rs. 8,841, Behk (Ferozepore) for Rs. 13,941, Mitha Tiwana (Shahpur) for Rs. 10,541, Tarkhanwala (Shahpur) for Rs. 6,181, and Khan Bahadur Sardar Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E., (Dera Ghazi Khan) for Rs. 7,300. The estates which have done particularly well in reducing their arrears are Kalabagh (Mianwali), and Batil (Dera Ghazi Khan).

- 7. Expenditure on Improvements.—The expenditure on permanent improvements is Rs. 32,986 less than last year. The Mamdot Estate spent Rs. 69,987, the Kalabagh Rs. 17,726 and Behk Rs. 11,766. Mamdot spent Rs. 13,000 on the construction of 14 new wells and Rs. 3,124 on sinking iron pipes in a number of old wells. Behk spent Rs. 3,766 on buildings and the digging of a canal water-course and Rs. 8,000 on the sinking of 12 wells. Kalabagh made a final payment of Rs. 8,764 on account of a new granary at Massan and additions to the bungalow at Kalabagh. Rs. 7,910 was also spent in part payment of the construction of family quarters at Kalabagh.
- 8. Agricultural Developments.—Killabandi in 14 villages in the Mamdot Estate was completed last year and the consequent uniformity of size of the fields and equal distribution of the canal water have resulted in far more efficient farming. The consolidation of holdings in all the villages wholly owned by the late Nawab is nearing completion. The use of modern implements is becoming more popular among the tenants and the opening of an agency at Jalalabad for the supply of implements and their parts is contemplated. The Hissar bulls kept at six selected centres of the estate are proving most valuable.

The improvement in the garden of the Behk estate has been maintained and more orange, pear and mulberry trees have been planted. 800 kikar and 500 shisham trees have been planted on the banks of water-courses at various places. Wheat No. 8-A was sown in the agricultural farm, but for want of proper irrigation and lack of experience on the part of tenants the crop was not a complete success. A muqqaddam has now been employed to look after the cultivation and to advise the tenants.

Meston ploughs and bar-harrows were purchased by the Mitha Tiwana estate for the use of the tenants in Ghausnagar and improved wheat seed was sown. The area matured yielded grain of good quality which fetched high prices.

The number of Hissari sheep (3 Merino) kept by the Tarkhanwala estate increased from 38 to 48. To encourage the breed 28 such sheep were sold to selected zamindars. They won first prize at the annual show of sheep and goats at Mitha Tiwana and first prize for wool at Sargodha.

9. The Financial Commissioner considers that a good deal more might be done to improve the value of the large estates under the management of the Court of Wards by the introduction of more scientific methods of farming and by devoting more attention to the improvement of the live stock on the estates and of their tenants. In giving expression to this view, the Financial Commissioner does not suggest that it would be proper to engage on any considerable scale in agricultural experiments which, if unsuccessful, might involve any estate in loss, or to carry out expensive demonstrations which, whatever their potential value, are not designed primarily for the benefit of the estate itself and of its tenants. Such operations might well be undertaken by a public-spirited landlord on his own account, but would be hardly consistent with the rigid care which the Court of Wards as trustee is bound to exercise over the finances of the properties under its charge. Deputy Commissioners should not, however, lose sight of the principle laid down in paragraph 700 of the Land Administration Manual, that in the treatment of its tenants an estate managed by the Court of Wards should be an example to neighbouring land owners. This result can only be achieved if the estate is farmed on scientific principles and if due care is paid to the desirability of improving the conditions of residents on the estate in respect of housing and sanitation, and where funds permit, of education and medical relief.

The Financial Commissioner accordingly considers that the advice of the local Agricultural Officers should be sought and followed in regard to the farming of the estates under the Court of Wards. The local Deputy Director should visit each such an estate in his circle and should advise as to the suitable rotation of crops and the type of seed to be sown. The Manager of each estate should be instructed to work in close co-operation with the expert officers of the Department and to follow their advise in regard to the rotation of crops, the selection of seed, the use of improved implements and the best means of developing the resources of the estates generally. By these methods each estate should aim at giving an example of good and successful farming to the neighbourhood, and Wards would receive a useful lesson in the methods by which a large landlord's obligations to his tenantry should be discharged.

Similarly, in regard to stock-breeding the advise and assistance of officers of the Civil Veterinary Department should be freely sought. As a general rule the management should confine its efforts to the provision of suitable bulls or rams for the improvement of the stock on the estate; but where the estate is a large one, it may be both economical and advantageous to breed its own stock for this purpose, as is frequently done by large land owners. But as pointed out above, the initiation of costly experiments which are unlikely to come to fruition before the estates are ripe for release from Superintendence should be avoided.

The Financial Commissioner is convinced that development of the estates on the lines indicated above would result not only in material benefit to their owners, but in improved relations between landlords and tenants. It is a common complaint that few of the larger landlords of the Punjab have made any real contribution to the development of the Province, and it is in the Financial Commissioner's opinion the duty of the Court of Wards as the trustee of some of these landlords to do what is possible to remove this reproach. He trusts that all Deputy Commissioners will interest themselves in this aspect of the management of estates in their districts. Where Deputy Commissioners consider that the managers would benefit by short practical courses of Agricultural and Veterinary training, the Financial Commissioner will be glad to arrange such courses at Lyallpur or elsewhere.

10. Miscellaneous.—Nau Nihal Singh of Qila Tara Singh and Yakub Khan of Ghaugh were married during the year. Rs. 10,000 were spent by the Rani Chhaunian Estate on the marriage of the Rani's nieces.

It is with great regret that the death of Nawab Ghulam Qutb-ud-Din Khan of Mamdot has to be recorded. He died suddenly on the 16th March, 1928, to the sorrow of all who knew him.

Captain Farquharson, Executive Engineer on special duty, who inspected the Sarfraz Khan Wala nullah in the Isa Khel estate, reported that the condition of the nullah was not satisfactory and suggested certain improvements costing Rs. 37,000. The matter is under consideration.

11. Education and Training.—Careful attention was as usual paid to the education of the Wards and their training to undertake the management of their own affairs. Iftikhar-ud-Din of Baghbanpura (Lahore) after passing the

Diploma at the Aitchison College, Lahore, proceeded to England and is now working with a tutor under the supervision of the Education Department of the High Commissioner's Office. Eleven wards and their relatives are studying at the Aitchison College, Lahore, six at the Queen Mary College, Lahore, and 11 in local schools. Sardar Narain Singh of Rangar Nangal is still in service in the Patiala State Army. The elder Ward of Chak Haiderabad and Sayyadwala is now 23 years of age, and is looking after his own affairs.

12. Notice of Officers.—Lala Beli Ram, Manager of Rangar Nangal, worked well during the year and in particular took great pains in preparing the partition papers of the property. He has now been appointed manager of the Naushera Nangli Estate in the Amritsar District. Nawabzada, Ahsanullah Khan, General Manager of the Court of Wards estates in the Karnal District, deserves credit for the satisfactory way in which he has reduced arrears. S. Raza Hussain was Manager of the Salarwahan estate up to the time of its release and his work was noticeably good.

The Manager of the Dharek estate is doing well and deserves credit for increasing the income of the estate. Sheikh Nazir Ahmad completed another year of successful management of the Mamdot estate, which is remarkable for progressive methods of farming, and practical assistance to tenants.

The Financial Commissioner is obliged to all officers who have supervised the estates in their districts, and again especially associates himself with the commendation given by the Commissioner, Jullundur, to the interest displayed by the officers concerned in the management of the large and important estate of Mamdot.

STATEMENT No. I.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF THE COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1927.

The same of the sa	1888								Annual	LAWD HE	LD IN PROPRIETA	BY RIGHTS.	MARKET STATE	Section !	
Division.	District.		Serial No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Mord.	Date of birth of Ward if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	value of jagir.	Total ares.	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue	Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.
 1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							1		Re.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
		1	1		Khan Muhammal Siraj-ud-Dir Haidar Khan.			Section 5 (2) (b) of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903.			Statistics not	available this ye			1
			2	Dohs	M. Akram Ullah Khan, Musammat Safdari Begum, Musammat Sata Jahan Begum, Musammats Mu		30-7-1923	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903.		2,341	1,740	4,293	1,53,000	5,00,022	*
	Gurgaon		3	Rampura	hammad-ul-Nisa and Mahmud-ul Nisa. R. B. Lieutenant Balbir Singh		26 1-1925	Ditto ditto	***	125	125		35,800	50,000	3
		}	4	Sidhowal	O.B.E. Bhai Fatch Jang Singh	00 9 1000	4-6-1918	Section 6 of Punjab Act 11 of 1903	44,815	1,440	1,440	8,229	1,22,794	18,54,092	4
	Karnal	!	5	Arnauli	Tanian contribute citiza	4-1-1914	3-11-1918	Ditto ditto	58,393		540	1,108	57,889	16,67,178	5
AMBARA		1	6	Jharauli	S. Bhopindar Singh S. Sheoindar Singh S. Harindar Singh	19-12-1925	4-10-1925 23-6-1925 23-8 1925		1,606	657	222	425	6,063	1,52,266	
			7	Raipur	Rao Baldeo Singh	. 13-8-1876	19-6-1906	Section 5 (2) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	4,915	4,047	2,569	1,347	1,13,002	9,51,740	7.
	Ambala	"	8	Buris	The state of the s	03 10 1000	3-9-1921	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903			2,983	5,264	4,600	26,76,225	1 8
			9	Guler	M. Daljit Chand		4-4-1888 18-12-1909	as amended by Act XII of 1878.			1,210	12	21,323	51,713	100
			10	Guler	Raja Baldeo Singh Th. Abhai Chand		10-12-1909	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	25,803	16,719	8,675	3,177	4,26,692	9,85,000	10
4	Kangra		11	Lahaul	Th. Partab Chand Th. Pirthi Chand Mussammat Rigsen Angmo	1-1-1911 8-8-1914	16-10-1923	Sections 5 (1) and 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903.	583	964	337	718	23,686	1,31,952	11
		į	12	Rapi	Rai Bhagwant Singh Kanwar Dhanwant Singh ,, Durga Singh	1913	26-7-1921	Section 3 (b) and (c) of Punjab Act 11 of 1903.	19,125	2,274	3,974	1,508	1,00,837	6,72,801	12
JULLUFDUR			13	Amb	T. Lachhman Singh Kr. Sant Dev Singh , Hardev Singh	19-8-19 4 30-10-19(9	29-4-1919	Ditto ditto	22,146	1,735	665	1,307		9,66,166	13
	Hoshiarpur		14	Bhabaur	" Shiv Dev Singh R. Savitra Chand Harbhajan Singh	20	7-1-1919	Section 45 of Panjab Act II of 1903	847	1,949	390	836	47,645	71,190	14
			15	Anandpur	Har Antar Singh	74-1-1010	1)	Section 3 (b) and (c) of Punjab Act II of 1903	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		900	4,861	12,200	17,26,848	15
	Juliundur Ludhiana		17 18		S. Rajindar Singh S. Harwant Raj Singh N. Ghulam Qutb-ud-din Khan	1-6-1907 15-1-1921 13-10-1889	21-1-1922	Section 6 of Punjah Act II of 1903 Ditto ditto Section 5 (1) of Punjah Act II of	19,160	912	303 504 61,444	1,255 1,834 60,610	7,818 78,940	1,17,299 7,37,297 68,05,638	17
			19	Behak	Muhammad Sarwar	12-2-1916	2-11-1923	1903. Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	235		8,472	3,527	3,57,647	23,18,090	1
	Ferosopore		20	Sahibzada Mirza Shamsher Ali Khan.	Davidzada in a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	7-2-1917	22-9-1925	Ditto ditto		37	37	26		40,998	20
			21	Buttar	Sodhi Richhpal Singh and Mussum mat Parmeshri.	/	18-3-1926	Section 5 (2) (a) and (d) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	1,041	1,821	1,700	897	1,23,772	9,54,066	31
		i	23	Malout {	Muhammad Hayat Haji Muhammad Mussammat Fateh Hano	-	20-10-1925	Section 5 (1) of Panjab Act II of 1903.	-	2,306	2,161	839	1,39,186	14,23,769	22
			23	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din	Mian Iftikhar-ud-din	8-4-1907		Section 6 of Panjab Act II of 1903.	676	2,168	1,380	4,466	4,255	4,12,014	1
!	Lahore		24 25		Rani Chhaunian D. Kailash Nath			Section 5 (2) (a) of Panjab Act II of 1903. Section 5 (1) of Panjab Act II of	4,000	4,390	2,818	6,055 1,411		6,96,800 2,44,925	1
			26		S. Kesri Singh		2-12-1916	Section 5 (2) (a) of Punjab Act II	5,256	1,685	1,193	3,954	51,920	7,00,229	T
		1	27	Attari	ent Singh	?	25-5-1914	of 1903. Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	12,906	596	445	1,004	5,17,000	4,51,074	97
LAKOBE	Amritear	{	28	Nowshera Nangli {	S. Sorradar Singh S. Buta Singh	16-9-1916	} 21-6-1927	Sections 6 and 8 of Punjab Act 11 of 1903.		1,098	427	992	44,530	9,83,535	28
	Gurdaspur		29	Rangar Nangal {	S. Hari Siegh		13-4-1908	Section 6, Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903.		781	690	2,689	483	3,08,232	29
	Sheikhupura		30	Chak Haiderabad and	Muhammad Sharif Khan	1904	8-3-1919	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903		798	772	2,088	39,000	2,79,481	30
(Shahpur	5	31	Sayadwala. Mitha Tiwana	Mohammad Hassan Khan M. Dost Muhammad Khan		23-9-1919	Section 5 (2) (d) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	9,834	11,551	4,302	2,892	2,78,006	7,68,061	31
			32		Awir Abdutlah Khan	21-1-1911	2-4-19:4	Section 5 of Punjab Act II of 1903 Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of	1,093	2,793 9,335	2,275 2,971	3,421		2,59,702	1
	1		00	A hipadabad	Raja Muhammad Hayat Khan Yaqub Khan	14-1-1907)	1963.		2,000	2,011	4,241	40,752	4,41,560	33
RAWALPINDI	Jhelum		34	Ghugh	Muhammad Behram Khan Ayob Khan Sher Khan	1910 12-4-1911 1912	20-1-1920	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903.	808 - Inam	2,460	1,389	1,620	10,472	3,34,900	31
	Attock		35	Dharek	Ghulam Shah Ghulam Mehdi Rab Nawaz Khan	14-1-1905 8-2-19 9 6-11-1919	8-5-1920	Sections 6 and 3 (b) (c) of Punjab	***	6,008	3,863	1,712		4,57,754	35
	N	1	36	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan			14.1.1007	Act II of 1903.		15 505					1
	Mianwali	[37	Mohammad Nawaz Khan. Kala Bagh	Khan Muhammad Nawas Khan Malik Amir Muhammad Khan	10-7-1910	1-11-1924	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903. Ditto ditto	5,794	15,505 74,168	5,541 6,519	10,095 8,966		4,47,580	1-
	Montgomery	. 5	38		San Nihal Singh	4-1-1907	14-8-1916	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of		2,358	1,748	2,189		42,05,654	38
	Mondomer)		39	Ditto	Gurdit Singh	-	***	1903. Section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1903	***						39
	Jhang		40		S. Abid Hussain Shah	1-8-1915	26-11-1915	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	400	5,056	3,000	10,411	25,662	3,52,496	40
		1	42	K. Wali Dad Khan, Sayal	K. Wali Dad Khan, Sayal Muhammad Nawaz Khan	22-8-1910	28-6-1924	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903. Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903		6,981	3,250	11,016			100 10
		- 11	43	Salarwahan	S. Muhammad Baqir Shah	- Warner -	10-7-1918	Section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1903		5,554	3,659	11,530		11,67,588	1
Verne	Multan		46	Jalalpur Pirwala	S. Ghulam Abbas	477.0 1017	1-5-1919	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	}	34,149	9,495	7140	10 841		1988
Munan	1	1)	45	Char Char	S. Muhammad Ghaus	15-2-1901	9-1-1919	Section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1903 Section 35 (a) Act IV of 1872, as		15,667	5,878	7,140 6,508		13,93,541	
		}	46	Shujabad	S. Amir Haidar Shab Ch. Sham Singh	- ::	11-10-1900 15-11-1926	amended by Act XII of 1878. Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903		3,560	1,470				
				Hajipur K. B. S. Din Muhammad	Shah Niwaz Khan, Slids M.		30-10-1921	Ditto ditto	9,652	728	130	2,470 188		7,01,000 85,996	48
	D. G. Khan	",	49	1 Khan C. I. E.	K. B. S. Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E., Leghari. S. Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qasrani	25-3-1916	29-6-1925 8-9-1925	Dit:o ditto Section 5 of Panjab Act 11 of 1903	3,760	22,150	2,350 235	2,160		1,18,000	
			50	Batil	S. Kaura Khan, Khosa		16-9-1925	Section 5 (2) (8) of Punjab Act	***	3,105	821	1,097	1,000	1,06,916	50
	1	1	51	K. B. N. Muhammad Jamal Khan, M.L.C.	K. B. Nawab Muhammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C. Leghari.		6-8-1927	II of 1903. Section 5 (c) of Punjab Act II of 1903.				18,000	1,30,000	30,072	51
						3333		Total	3,99,457)					
									Inam 808	360,947	1,64,271	2,28,655	39,46,220	4,04,73,274	
2	William Control	134				- 18100	200000	APARAMETER STATE OF THE STATE O				Harris Harris		0.00	

STATEMENT No. II. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ON 30TH SEPTEMBER 1927.

											Asgets.					1				LIADILITIES.			1	-	-
						1	Novestm	ents, loan	es and ade	pances.		Cash		Oti	her assets.			Bala nee q			Other su	me due 1	-1	1	
District,		Serial No.	Name of estate.	Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Government securities or securi- ties of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immove- able property.	Advances to tenants.	In banks,	In treasury.	In hand.	Estimated value of ansold gram.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jewellery, stock and other items.	Total assets.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons,	To Government.	To other persons.	Total liabilities,	Excess of assets over liabilities.	Serial No.
1	-	2	3	Rs.	5	6 Pa	7	8	9	10	11	12	18	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		25
GURGAON	{	1 2 3	Farrukhnagar Doha Rampura	***	2,00,000 50,000	77,000	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs,	Rs.	Rs. 1,758	Rs. 185 6,375	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. 2,78 943 56,375	Rs. 34,000	Ra,	Rs. 2,08,542	Rs	Ra,	Rs. 2,08,542 34,000	Rs. 70,401 22,875	1 2 3
KABNAL	{	5 6	Sidhowal Arnauli Jharauli	11,20,375 14,59,825 40,150	9,25,042 92,662 88,140	1,77,852 1,38,029 5,000	1,49,700 52,500 69,600	16,000 35,405	20,000		200	42,503 31,663 5,651	189 150 25	::	11,769 2,088 2,072	22,077 10,582 180	17,85,648 18,23,038 1,55,218	=	=	 498		=	495	17,85,648 18,23 088 1,54,723	5 6
AMBALA	{	8 9	Buria Guler	1,32,800 13,33,800 50,000	1,27,535 4,21,120 960	25,009 10,00,000 1,066	1,20,000	::	:::		=	3,756 50,796	25 330		10,882 9,107	1,020 34,870	2,91,027 29,70,023 52,132	::	85,405	4,751		=	3',405 4,761	2,55,622 29,70,023 47,381	7 8
KANGRA	{	10 11 12 13	Rapi	6,32,575 13,325 4,78,125	2,54,160 67,440 1,20,640	1,25,000 30,366 70,000	=	::	=	-		21,566 4,498 7,980	743	= .	1,559	20,821	10,37,421 1,27,193 5,92,795	=	=	7,600	::	-	7,600	10,37,421 1,19,593 6,92,795	10 11 12
HOSHIABPER JULLUNDUR	}	14 15	Bhabaur Anandpur	5,53,650 21,175 1,11,500	1,04,560 66,880 3,88,720	1,50,000 1,000 12,00,000	75,000	21,603	2,200	7	655	33,582 7,593 17,651	100 25 40	180	672 3,043 17,949	12,500 310	10,06,700 1,00,026 17,57,094	::	11,803	25,316 10,150	-	::	87,119 10,150	17,46,944	18 14 15
LUDHIANA		17	Ber Khurd	28,925 4,79,000	1,00,400 1,46,720	83,935			475	118	m	1,575 8,022			3,163	17,448	7,37,528			2,850 13,778		1,721	13,778	7,23,750	16
FEROZEFORE	{	18 19 20 21 22	Mamdot Behak Sahibzada Mirza Shamsher Ali Khan. Buttar	19,19,575 5,875 26,025	47,01,518 23,27,713 18,325 9,04,000 13,83,600	9,42,544 85,408 22,000	5,23,678 25,000 22,000	1,26,410 10,000	1,89,612 48,205	522		3,77,983 2,771 12,432 576	7,850 625 	204 56 3,778	17,574 22,875 316 295	3,12,143 7,820 362 6,763	91,35,416 25,40,813 53,073 9,53,334 14,33,489		10,000	 1,23,620 1,32,978	6,526 1,210 581 152 693		6,826 1,210 581	91,29,590 25,39,603 52,492	18 19 20
LAHOBB	= {	23 24 25 26	M. Iftikhar-nd-Din Rani Chhaunian	16,900 I,64,550 1,00,000	4,64,499 4,84,400 70,000	1,27,000 89,234 85,000	4,000 43,800		:	3,069 65	17,255	21,325 27,308	1,579 150 100		16.105 14,162 112 35,480	4,654	6,63.299 7,88,408 2,98.412 8,81,327	-		=		=		6,63,299 7,88,408 2,98,412	23 24 25
AMBITSAB	{	27 28	Atfari Noushera Nancii	1,31,400 3,07,650	6,36,123 80,320	10,000				***	8,500	14,675 3,820			10,394	10,411	4,12,184	1,23,229	-	44,530			1,23,229	2,88,955	26
GURDASPUB		29	Rangar Nangal		3,62,825 2,15,120	5,67,375 1,15,823	7,000		26,280		5,192	11,515	794		5, 876 2,892	3,811	9,88,535			***	***		***		28
SHRIKHUPUBA		30	Chak Haidarabad and Sayad-		2,73,500	10,000			500			15,574			7,165	920	3,07,659							0.0H.4F0	30
SHAHPUB	{	31 32	Tarkhanwala	2,45,850	13,28,300 6,78,661	84,000 16,648	75,025	62,000	8,000 11,780	1,832 4,807	=	5,930 15,978	:::	150 720	15,705 12,266	5,600	16,89,767 8,82,985		62,000	4,000	=	::	66,000	16,23,767 8,82,985	31 32
ATIOUE	{	33		27,375 Inam 808	3,75,185 3,20,000	33,000 13,000		==		***	720	9,508 9,355			1,285	6,000	4,51,768 3,45,139		==	=					33 34
1		35	Khan Muhammad Alla	14	4,00,000	10,000			48,000	3,814	-	18,044	235	13,370	\$00 5,641	6,200	4,94,963 8,10,097			27,496	800		800 27,496		35
MIANWALI	{	37	Kahmen Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khau, Kalabagh	1,44,850	7,47,868 32,08,075	3,02,000	4,12,060		44,074	3,817	=	12,903 64,149	165 360	28,020		-	43,67,453				-	-		43,67,453	100
MONTGOMERY		38	Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh). Killa Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).		1,72,674	14,000	36,200		20,960			10,858		486 11	28 29	4,205	2,59,409 4,087	-						2,59,409 4,087	
JHANG	{	40	Shah Jiwana K. Wali Dad Khan, Sayal	10,000	4,69,818 1,20,000	14,750	24,900	18,833	6,300	200		640 648		1,807 551	347 1,697	10,825	5,48,450 1,47,896	::	18,863	1,830	66,625		66,625 20,193	4,81,821 1,27,703	5 40 41
MULTAN	- {	42 43 44 45 46	Dab Kalan Salarwahan Jalalpur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad	67,150	10,31,200 5,55,400 11,27,391 12,16,812 6,0 0,000	1,09,650 86,145 1,87,717 13,699 1,00,000	12,700	19,600	4,680 4,788 13,494 2,062	 47		9,769 6,417 1,136 6,866 2,410	229 16 4,322 30 374	20,350 2,508 9,544 77 1,363	856 3,408 1,707		12,38,836 6,27,955 13,82,996 13,22,719 7,06,836	:	8,000 11,000	2,369 10,162 1,06,876	822 623 573	19,807	3,1(2 822 18,785 31,380 1,07,180	12,35,734 6,27,183 13,64,211 12,91,839	4 42 43 44 45
D, 6. Khan	-	49	Khan, C.I.E. S. Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qas- rani,	2,41,800 94,000	27,920 1,30,000 6,560	22,300 58,000 5,500	::	1,000	2,000	m ₁₀		5,252 342 4,799		=	7,343	17,400	2,96,802 2,16,095 1,12,159		=	46,472 85,096			46,472 1,11,096 36,109		48
	1	50			77,869	1,500	13,000			=	5 62	1,575 386	-	::	194	900	95,600 386	=	1,000	=	=	1,000 1,30,000	1,000	94,600	
8 6 6			Total 5m	99,47,725 Inam 808	2,69,04,655	62,85,694	16,88,863	3,10,281	5,26,025	32,496	34,414	9,12,301	26,053	1,10,304	2,57,646	8,02,601	4,78,39,566	1,67,229	1,58,071	8,58,411	1,41,051	1,52,528	14,67,290	4,68,72,576	ا

STATEMENT No. III.

INCOME OF THE YEAR ENDING SOTH SEPTEMBER 1927.

						. 1	NCOME	OF THI	E YEAR E	NDING 3	OTH SEPT	EMBER 192	27.					
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF					Is	SVESIMENT,	LOAN AND I	EBT TBANSA	CTIONS.					ORDINARY INCOME.				
			Inc	come from s	eale of		om loans re	ceive I from	loans	0				8				
District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.	Income from repayment of due to the Estate.	Recovery of advances made tenants,	Total.	Jagir or Talnkdari income.	Cash rent.	Sale of grain and other produ	Other income.	Total ordinary income.	Total income,	Serial No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10	11		13	14	15	16	17		19
GURGAON {	1 2 3	Farrukhnagar Doha Rampura	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	R*.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs	Rs. 10,867 2,125	Re.	Rs. 2,188	13,065 2,125	13,0 5 2, 25	1 2 3
KARNAL {	4 5 6	Sidhowal Arnsuli Jharauli			***		***		10,000	*** ***	1,000 1,595	44,697 57,721 1,606	9,628 2,811		17,551 6,281 4,230	91,052 13,633 8,647 22,055	1,08,082 75.225 8,647 22,056	\$ 5 6
AMBALA {	8	Raipur Buria		::		***	***		6,500		6,800	4,920 49,520	14,330 12,174	2,144 2,413	7,141	71,248	78,018	8
KANGBA {	9 10 11 12	Lambagraon Guler Lahaul Rupi						***	317		317	1,983 20,675 529 16,359	9,267 6,216 8,833	980 50	381 2,525 2,632	1,995 30,323 10,2.0 27,874	1,995 30,328 10,200 28,191	9 .0 11 12
HOSHIABPUB {	13 14 15	Amb Bhabaur Anandpur	1,200		=	 	-		4,330		4,330 1,200	22,759 2,282 4,154	4,856 6,714 22,651	3,925	7,959 1,515 2,529	38,999 10,814 29,885	43,329 10,814 31,085	13 14 15
JULIUNDUB	16	Shah kot	-	***				140				1,136	3,024	2,085	668 3,451	6,913	6,913 80,036	16 -
LUDHIANA	17 18 19 20	Ber Khurd Mamdot Behak Sahibzada Mirza Shamsher			2,17,537			-	89,099 6,190	1,801	3,06,686	19,156 89,696 484	7,420 2,76,441 48,642	1,506 4,097	1,92,211 1,971 21,193	5,59,854 55,191 21,193	8,66,490 63,185 21,193	18 19 20
	21 22	Ali Khan. Buttar Malout					10,000	-		515	10,815	973	618	1,192 7,188	266 4,255	8,049 11,473	3,049 21,988	21 .
LAHORE }	23 24 25 26	M. Iftikhar-ud-din Rani Chhaunian D. Kailash Nath S. Kesri Singh							1,194	106	106 1,194	757 7,261 2,263 5,968	30,733 38,849 4,175 19,635	12,789 3,534 2,323	3,785 2,193 4,452 1,632	47,964 51,377 10,900 29,558	4*,070 52,571 10,900 81,2(3	28 24 25 26
AMBITSAB {	27 28	Attari Noushera Nangli	180			***					180	12,079	5,938 2,528	291	8,449	18,076 6,265	18,256 6,368	27 21 21
GURDASPUB	29	Rangar Nangal	***			***				*			11,502 13,353	63	8,768 922	14.838	14,335	30
Знанрив {	30 31 32	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala. Mitha Tiwana Tarkhanwala					7,000	***	10,270	133 64	7,133 10,334	11,093	2,788 8,402	8,262 16,095	1,226 1,672	23,369	30,502 35,508	31 32
JHELUM }	33 34	Ahmadabad Ghugh					***		2,071	436	2,507	1,501	178 56	11,617 12,481	6,003 1,585	19,299	19,299	38
ATTOOK	35	Dharek							1,500		1,500	-	664	14,912	860	16,426	17,926	35
MIANWALI	36	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.		***			***			134	134		312	93,622	20,12)	1,29,644	1,68,964	37
i	37	Kalabagh Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal	6,628						29,136	3,456	3+,220	5,791	270	13,505	2,637	16,412	17,556	38
MONTGOMBRY	38	Singh), Killa Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).		***					31		31			352		382	413	39
JHANG	40 41	Shah Jiwana K. Walidad Khan Sayal		***		2,983	792 6,671	423	1,660		5,858 6,671	493	3,790 3,921	46,321 87	3,981 882	54,092 4,853	89,950 11,554	40
MULTAN	42 48 44 45 46	Dab Kalan Salarwahan Jalalpur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad		646	***	3,000	8,000 11,000		520 126 874	28 76	23 520 8,772 14,076 874		1,970	45,620 55,950 33,116 31,371 7,091	2,661 695 2,180 89 612	48,281 56,645 37,266 32,313 7,703	48,304 57,165 46,038 46,419 8,577	42 43 44 45 46
(47 48	Hajipur K. B. S. Din Muhammad								90	90	9,155	4,975 7,378	13,043	87	14,130 20,503	14,130 20,503	47 48
Danie Contra	49	Khan C,I.E. S. Manzur Ahmad Khan							700		700	7,005	10,860	427	721	19,013	19,713	49
DEBA GHAZI KHAN.	50 51	Qasrani. Batil K. B. Nawab Mohammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C., Leghari.	796		-		1,600	-	***	::	796 1,000			3,400	68	3,400 66	4,196 1,066	51
		Total	8,904	616	2,17,537	5,983	44,463	423	1,69,212	6,834	4,53,902	4,02,827	6,61,734	5,09,242	3,47,549	19,21,352	23,75,254	

STATEMENT No. IV.

EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1927.

						Inves	TMENTS, L	OAN AND	DIBT TR	ANSACTION	rs.					OBDINAB	Y EIPENDI	TURE.					ment meut
District		rial No.	Name of estate.	spayment of debts.	Po	onse broperty.	vernment securi- ties or securities of local bodies.	ander the Court	cured on other im- moveable property.	Advances made to tenants.	set of permanent improve- ments.	tal.	and revenue cesses, com- mutation fees and Haq- ul-Tabail,	ard's rate.	st of managor and staff,	otal cost of management (sums of columns 14 and 16).	oents and repairs.	sonal expenses of wards, acluding cost of educa- ion.	owances to ward's family.	penditure on law, domes- tic ceremonies, servants, stables, etc.	al ordinary expenditure.	The state of the s	centage of cost of manage column 16) on total ordit ncome (column 17 of state No. III).
1		2	3	4	- 5	6 B	9 7	Me	8	10	11	12	13	14	5	H	00 7	To de la constante de la const		20	To To	To To	23
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Re.	Rs.				15	16	17	18	19		21	22	
PURGAON	{	1 2 3	Farrukhnagar Rampura	7,458			Rs.	Rs.				7,458	Rs. 4,830	Rs. 360	Rs. 2,065	Rs. 2,425	Rs. 781	Rs	Rs 325	Rs.	Rs. 8,861	Bs. 15,819 1,000	Rs. 18-5
LABNAL	- {	4 5 6	Sidhowal Arnauli Jharauli		360 6,394 		45,900 9,100 4,000	111	:::	-	2,551	48,811 15494 4,000	12,905 8,498 727	1,147 979 304	7,900 6,186 625	9,047 7,165 929	2,247 5,441 92	18,828 6,491 810	12,412 12,555 1,680	21,347 17,766 679	76,786 57,916 4,417	1,25,597 73,410 8,417	9·5 9·7 10·7
MBALA	{	8	Raipur Buria		-	-	-				62	4, 000	5,609 12,077	521 994	1,851 4,330	2,372 5,324	306 3,214	8,031 6,813	350 14,893	4,164 17,364	20,882 59,685	24,832 59,747	107 7-5
ANGBA	{	9 10 11 12	Lambagraon Guler Lahaul Rup:	5,054	===		=	::::	***			5,054 10,730	12 15 132 683	100 553 352 532	120 1,360 	220 1,913 352 1,630	1,000	640 9,600 2,400 1,926	574 720 6,579	300 1,627 1,090 4,381	1,746 14,875 3,974 15,313	1,946 14,875 9,028 26,043	11.0 6.3 3.4 5.8
COSHIABPUR	- {	13 14 15	Amb Bhabaur Anandpur		-	=	20,000			::	600	20,000	2,707 887 1,637	636 355 558	3,637 536 (4,330	4,278 891 4,888	1,391 4,515	7,691 2,644 6,110	2,480 1,530 7,600	6,434 1,001 9,614	24,926 6,953 84,364	55,526 6,953 54,864	16·9 8·2 16·4
UDHIANA		16	Shahkot Ber Khurd			***					1,925	2,288 8,475	346 4,212	756 521	2,595	1,680 3,116	70 679	1,040 8,009	3, 300	2,733	6,289	8,577 31,641	10-3
ROZEPORS	{	18 19 20	Mamdot Behak Sahibzada Mirza Shamshe Ali Khau. Buttar		1,482 1,178 		::	25,000 10,000	15,000	15,000 2,323 	69,987 11,766	1,11,469 40,267	48,412 3,527	6,128 898 45	39,858 5,721 133	45,986 6,619 178	1,04,507 2,264 	93,301 3,229 10,000	53,784 4,929	1,72,027 10,175 5	5,18,017 30,743 10,183	6,29,486 71,010 10,183 5,906	8·2 11·9 ·8 62·9
	i	22	Malout	0.000						1,821		10,773	1,946	275 68	1,643 2,271	1,918 2,339	10	1,100		1,429	5,908 6,835	17,608	24.2
новя	= {	23 24 25 26	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din Raui Chhaunian D. Kailash Nath S. Kesri Singh		::		=			65	453 1,262 922	518 1,252 922	4,480 9,704 149 3,929	731 1,043 289 562	2,715 3,586 645 1,433	3,446 4,629 934 1,995	2,980 305 1,048 401	11,489 19,048 4,744	6,000 1,630 2,160 5,675	11,027 18,152 27,665 6,563	39,372 53,468 31,956 23,307	\$9,890 54,720 31,955 24,223	7·2 9·0 8·6 6·7
(BITSAB	{	27 28	Attari Nonshera Nangli	2,949							2,144	5,093	1,253	477	180	657		3,250	5,600	1,850	12,610	17,703	8.6
RDASPUR BIKHUPURA		29 30	Rangar Nangal Chak Haidarabad and	-									3,218	405 590	1,893 1,719	2,298	675 99	4,250 3,225	1,626	1,887	12,328 8,636	12,328 8,635	16-1
AHPUR	{	31	Sayadwala. Mitha Tiwana Tarkhanwala				-	***	***	200	2,304	17,446	1,415 2,006	7 582	3,617 2,721	3,624	673	1,489	4,020 2,693	1,996	12,544	29,990	15.5
RLUM	5	33	Ahmadabad		***			7,000	6,000		***	13,973	2,678		1,908	3,303 1,903	4	637	2,959	4,854	13,085	13,035	9-9
	{	34	Ghugh	***									1,661	264	982	1,246	301	1,962	1,023	1,977	8,175	8,175	8:4
TOCE		35 36	Dharek Khan Muhammad Abdul			-		-		3,814	233	4,047	1,712	936	7,916	2,130	2,957	1,695	2,261 7,566	33,697	8,258 67,697	12,335	15-0
ILAWEL	}	37	Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan. Kalabagh		3,230	-	61,001	-		3,517	17,726	85,474	8,986	1,263	17,201	18,464	1,820	10,229	14,629	19,295	78,403	1,59,877	14:
ONTGOMERY	: {	38	Killa Tara Singh Nau Nihal Singh). Killa Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	1 1						2,571		2,571	2,989	902 642	2,724	3,626	1,146	420	1,800	9,244	19,225	19,225 4,813	1000
IANG	- {	40	Shah Jiwana K. Wali Dad Khan Sayal	8,471	75,318			6,671			6,446	88,430 8,471	10,411 274	861 206	6,767 416	7,628 622	1,645	7,474 2,400	1,920	4,757 493	33,835 3,789	1,22,235	1
ULȚAN	{	42 43 44 45 46	Dab Kalan Salarwahan Jalalpur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad	10,158 10,897	899 200		=======================================	19,000		3,000	374 2,167 485 114	19,832 2,167 11,542 14,121	11,016 11,530 7,140 6,508 2,470	706 812 518 572	9,175 5,356 7,035 7,038 1,252	9,881 6,163 7,553 7,610 1,252	1,210 1,420 833 102 369	6,667 31,803 7,149 3,200 1,240	5,796 3,322 3,435	2,576 1,619 6,675 6,490 436	37,146 51,940 32,172 27,335 6,767	56,978 54,107 43,714 41,456 5,767	7 10 4 20 8 23
	1	47 48		8,708				1,000		100	462	8,708 8,651	440 2,160	988	417 2,853	805 3,290	13 607	3,600	3,830	4,536 971	9,394 14,458	18,102 23,100	2 8
ића Спа <u>г</u> і К	HAN	49 50	Qasrani. Batil		 845							8,504 345	835 1,524	331 246	259 710	590 956	150 407	1,413	6,600		9,773 5,314	18,277	9 2
	1	61	K. B. Nawab Mohamad Jame Khan, M. L. C., Leghari, Total	1,18,318					-	***		***				-	***	500			680	68	80

				LAND PUR	CHASED.	LAND	SOLD.	VALUE OF HO	USE PROPERTY.	VALUE OF SE GOVERNMEN BOD	T OB LOCAL	VALUE OF L	OANS MADE	VALUE	OF LOAMS RECEIVED	FROM		-
District.		Serial No.	Name of estate.	Area.	Vaine.	Åren.	Value,	Purchased.	Sold.	Purchased,	Sold.	To other estates under the Court of Wards,	To other persons on security of im- movable property.	Government,	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.	Debts of estate paid of	Office and
1		2	3	4	5	- 6	7	8	9	10	11	13	13	14	15	16		18
	(1	Farrukhnagar	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Ra,	Rs.	Re.	Ba.	1
GUEGAON	!	2	Doha														7,458	2
		# 3	Rampura					***			***		***					3;
	1	-4	Sidhowal		860				***	45,900							-	4
KABHAD		5	Arnauli	18	6,394		***			9,100								
	1	6	Jharauli		***			***		4,000	-					***	***	6
ANBALA		7	Raipur	***	***			***				***		* ***			4,000	7
			Buria			***				***	-	-	***	***			***	0
	1	10	Gular				***			***		***		***	-		300	10
KANGRA	-		Lahaul		***	***	***	***	***	***						***	5,054	
		12	Rupi			***					-			-			- 10 60 10 1	1.8
	1	13	Amb					_		30,000			311				1	18
HOSHIARPUR	4	14	bhabaur					***	=		***						1	14
	1	15	Anandpur		**	2-6	1,200			20,000					-			16
JULLUNDUB .		16	Shabkot				***	-			***					-		16
LUDHIANA .		17	Ber Khurd		***		-	•••					-	***				17
	1	18	Mamdot		1,482				***		2,17,537	25,000			-			18
		19	Behak	11	1,178	***			***			10,000	15,000					19
FREOZEPORE	.4	20	Sahibzada Mirza Shamsher Ali Khan			•••		***	***	***		***						21
	1	21	Buttar					***							10,000			22
	1	22	Melout		***				-				-	***				28
	1		M. Iftikhar-ud-Din		***	***		-	=									24
LAHORE '	.{	24	D. Kailash Nath		***									4				25
	1		C Vand Singh	***							***			***		***	1.7	26
		27	Attari				180	= -			-				=	***	2,949	27
AMBITSAB	. }		Naushera Nangal						=							-		25
GUEDASPUE .		29	Rangar Nangal					=	=		-			***				29
0	***	30	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala					14 H	=		***					= -		80
SHAHPUR in	5	31	Mitha Tiwana						144	- "	***		***	***	7,000	-		82
BHAHPUR ***	.5	32	Tarkbanwala		***		-			***		7,000	6,000				The same of the same of	33
Jerlun	1	33	Ahmadabad				***			-								84
	1	34	Ghugh		***			=		=	-					= -		35
ATTOCK		35	Dharek			· · ·	,,,	=	-					***	-			26
MIANWALI	. {	36	Khan Mohammad Abdul Rabman Khan and Khan Mohammad Nawaz Khan.	6	3,230	" 1	6,628	-	=	61,001			***					87
	1	37 38	Kalabagh Killa Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh)			1			***				***	***	-		. =	88
MONTGOMERY	-}	39	Ditto (Gurdit Singh)			-			=				***					89
		40		258	75,813			***	=	***		6,671		2,983	742	423	-	40
JEARG	1	41	K. Walidad Khan, Sayal					-	***	***	***	***	***		6,671	=	6,471	12/4
	1		Dab Kalan					-	i	***	***	19,000	***	***		***	458	45
	11	43	Salarwahan			-				***	***	***	***	***			***	43
MULTAN	. 3	44	Jalalpur Pirwala	7	899		***		646	***	***		***	3,000	8,000 11,000	***	10,158	1
					200	***	-	,,,,		***	***		-		T1,000		10,807	1
	1				-				-	-	***		-				8,708	46
	1	47	Hajipur									1,000					7,089	
D. G. KRAN		48	K. B. S. Din Muhammad Khan, C. I.E.,, S. Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qasrani									-			***		8,504	
D. G. KHAN	-	50		""			796		-		***		***					60
			K. B. Nawab Muhammad Jamal Khan,	3	345								***		1,000		***	51
			M.L. C., Leghari.							-				5,983	41.00			-
			Total	313	89,401	7-6	8,804	17.	648	1,70,001	2,17,587	69,671	21,000	0,000	44,403	423	1,18,318	1

STATEMENT NO. VI.

ESTATE3 RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1927.

								mption of			On release		nts daring pericd	ASSETS	ASSUMPTION	N VALUE OF N OF CHARG	JAGIN B.	Asse	TS, OTHER T	THAN VA	tus of J	AGIB
District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Expenditure on permanent improvement of charge.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Vylue of socurities,	Other assets.	Total assets.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres,	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R+.	Rs.	Ra
ore	D. Kailash Nath	D. Kailush Nath	1st April 1905.	31st May 1927.	•••		468	408	515	468	408	1,411		28,718	84,500	85,707	1,48,925	70,000	83,000	43,300	112	1,98,
																	1					

*

STATEMENT No. VII.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE
BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED
AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES; AND THE VALUE OF
TOTAL CROP, IN DIFFERENT ESTATES, FOR THE YEAR
1928-27.

District.	Name of estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 1b of attement No. III).	Land revenue and cestes (column 13 of statement No. 1V).	Percentage of land revenue to rests, etc.	Kate of batai.	Value of total crops.	Explanations in brief
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs.	Rs.				
(Farrukhnagar -	100		***			
GURGAON	Doha	(0,867	4,830	44-4	-	- 181	
	Rampura	2,125	***	-	1 .	***	
1	Sidhowal	82,804	7,711	23.5	1.3	***	
KARNAE	Arnsuli	9,628	865	9.0		***	
	Jharauli	2,911	444	15.8		***	
ANBALA }	Raipur .	16,474	7,117	31·3 48·8		***	
	Buria	14,587	12	100	***	***	
	I ambagraon	9,267	4,544	49.0			
KANGRA	Guler	7,146	182	1.8	***		
	Rupi	8,883	683	76			
	Amb	8,281	2,707	32-7	and too	16,562	
HOSHIABPUR	Bhabaur	6,714	887	13-2		13,428	
	Anandpur	23,202	1,637	7.0	i and i	46,404	
CLLUNDUB	Shahkot	5,109	346	6.7	1	2,685	
AVAIHUD.	Per Khurd	7,429	4,212	56.6	***	***	
1	Mamdot	2,77,569	48,412	17.4		***	
	Behak .	52,789	3,527	6.6		1,478	
BROZEPORE	S. M. Shamsher Ali Khan.	***	>= 4		7861		
	Buttar	1,810	899	49.7		1,192	
(Malout	7,188	1,946	27.0		11,945	
	M. Htikhar-ud-Din	43,472	9,704	28.1	- "	***	-
LABORE	D P.O. I V.O.	41,923	149	3.5	***	***	
	S. Kesri Singh	4,175	3,929	17-9	***	***	
	Attari	5,938	1,253	21.1			
MELIEVE	Noushera Nangli						
CRDASPUR	Rangar Nangal	11,656	3,218	27.6			
SHEIKHUPURA	Chak Haidarabad	13,416	175	1.3			
,	and Sayadwala. Mitha Tiwana					23,023	
SHAHPUB	Tarkha wala	11,050	1,415	12-8	l and &	49,994	
	Ahmadabad	24,497	2,006	8.2	1	11,470	
INNLOW }	Ghugh	11,795	1,661	13.3		12,934	
ATTOCK	Dharek	15,576	1,712	11.0	and 4	13,370	-
1	Abdul Rahman	57,106	10,095		t, to and ale	84,814	
MIANWALI	hhan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan,						
1.1	46 8 8 8 8 8	1,03,730	8,966	8.6	1, 1, 1 and 3		
1	Killa Tara Singh (Nan Nihal Singh).	15,109	2,989	19-7	1: 1: 1	14,839	
YESMODIKOL	Killa Tara Singh	2,936	454	15.4	1	2,936	
-	(Gurdit Singh).					20 170	
HANG	Shah Jiwana	50,111	10,411	20-8	and a	38,172	
1	K. Wali Dad Khau, Sayal.	4,008	274	6.8	,	2,020	
. [Dab Kalan	39,237	11,016	28-0	å and à	36,213	
	Salarwahan	44,985	11,580	25-6	i	47,282	
MULTAN	Jala pur Pirwala	35,086	7,140	20-3	+	24,546	
416	Sher Shah	32,225	9,405	29-1	+	32,146	
	Shujabad	7,091	2,470	34.8	,	8,981	
	K. B. S. Din	90.416	9.160	8-8	11441	17,740	
	Mulis amad Khan, C.I.E.	20,416	2,160	10-6	\$.\$ and \$	11,140	
	S. Mangur Ahmad	11,247	335	2.9			
D. G. KHAN	Khan, Qasrani.		300	-	-41		
	Batil	3,400	1,524	44.8	\$ 1'e. \$.	3,594	
The second secon	K. B. Nawab Muham-	781	121	***	3 000 %	188	1

