E.54

# REPORT

ON THE

# ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

## **COURT OF WARDS**

IN THE

## PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1928.






Lahore :

ated by the Superintendent, Government Printing, Punjab.

Price: Rs. 2-8-9 or 2s 9d.



# REPORT

ON THE

# ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

## **COURT OF WARDS**

IN THE

## **PUNJAB**

For the year ending 30th September 1928.



#### Lahore:

Frinted by the Superintendent, Government Printing, Paujab.
1929.

### Revised List of Agents for the sale of Punjab Government Publications.

#### ON THE CONTINENT AND UNITED KINGDOM.

Publications obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, 42, Grosvenor Gardens, London, S. W. 1, or through any bookseller.

#### IN INDIA.

The MANAGER, Standard Book Depôt, Lahore.

RAI SAHIB M. GULAB SINGH & SONS, Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.

RAMA KRISHNA & Sons, Booksellers and News Agents, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

SECRETARY, Punjab Religious Book Society, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

MANAGER, Roze Bazar Steam Press, Amritsar.

The MANAGER, Imperial Book Depôt, Delhi.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Simla.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta.

W. NEWMAN & Co., Limited, Calcutta.

The Proprietor, The Book Company, Booksellers, 4/4 A, College Square, Calcutta.

MESSRS. S. K. LAHIRI & Co., Booksellers, Publishers and Printers, Calcutta.

D. B. TARAPOREWALA, SONS & Co., Bombay.

The Manager, Punjab Law Book Mart, Mohan Lal Road, Lahore.

The GENERAL MANAGER, "The Qaumi Daler" and the Union Press, Amritsar.

L. RAM NARAIN LAL, Publisher, Booksellers, etc., Allahabad.

The Manager, The University Book Agency, Kacheri Road, Lahore.

The MANAGER, The Punjab Law Book Depôt, Lahore.

#### Draft review of the Annual Report on the Administration under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1928.

Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council, No. 4329-D., dated 6th September 1929.

The scanty comfort to be got from the decrease in number of this regiment of estates from 50 to 48 becomes cold indeed when it is noted that the cultivated area under charge of the Court of Wards has increased from 1\frac{2}{3} lakhs to nearly 2 lakhs acres, with a land revenue of Rs. 2\frac{2}{3} lakhs as against Rs. 2\frac{1}{3} lakhs in the previous year. The four districts of Ambala, Ferozepore, Multan and Dera Ghazi Khan account for no less than twenty of the estates, five in each district.

- 2. In the year under report the income from cash rents was Rs.  $6\frac{4}{3}$  lakhs and the income from sale of grain and other produce and stock was Rs.  $5\frac{1}{3}$  lakhs. The income per cultivated acre is then just Rs. 6-2-0 as compared with Rs. 7 in the previous year. The land revenue averages Rs. 1/4/6 per acre, absorbing 20 per cent. of the above landlord's share. The cost of management has decreased from Rs.  $2\frac{1}{10}$  lakhs to just under Rs. 2 lakhs, and works out at  $9\frac{2}{3}$  per cent. of the ordinary and  $7\frac{1}{3}$  per cent. of the total income—an improvement on last year's figures of 11 and 9.
- 3. The heading "assets and investments" in paragraph 3 of the report seems to be based on a "lucus e non lucendo" principle, as far as investments are concerned. This sum of  $7\frac{3}{5}$  lakhs represents idle cash in the Treasury, and the balance, though it has dropped from Rs.  $9\frac{1}{4}$  lakhs last year, is still unnecessarily high. The consignment of over  $\frac{1}{2}$  lakh by the Kalabagh Estate to the Central Co-operative Bank, Mianwali, in a floating account is or should be unusual.
- 4. Paragraph 7 of the report contains a twin lament on the unenlightened policy of Punjab squires and on the inconsistency of Government in its agricultural preaching and its agricultural practice in the

matter of the management of Court of Wards' estate. The province, it is true, has yet to wait for its Coke or Townshend, but Government's conscience is undisturbed by the criticism, and the Governor in Council would take the opportunity of emphasizing his sense of the obligations involved by the principles of wise and provident trusteeship. As noted in last year's review, the primary object of the Court of Wards is to rehabilitate the finances of the Ward's estate, and to effect improvements in the estate, and these improvements can be effected, without sacrificing the care which a trustee is bound to exercise over the finances of the property under his charge, by adopting the principles laid down in last year's report (paragraph 9) by the Financial Commissioner himself. The principles are unexceptionable: their application is a matter of administration. The Mitha Tiwana, and Tarkhanwala estates, for example, are using improved implements and selected seed : wheat and rice from the Tarkhanwala Estate won prizes at the Sargodha Horse Show.

By order of the Governor in Council, W. R. WILSON, Secretary to Government, Punjab, Revenue Department.

night on the anothightened policy of Punish aquires

central over a bath by the Ralabagh Resets to the

nevertally 7 of the region confiner of twin

nog o know!" a co. he did a that a mere are real to a did

## REPORT

ON THE

## ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

## COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

#### PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September 1928.

1. Estates under management and those released and taken over.—During the year under report the Estates of Sohana, Manauli and Ramgarh (Ambala) and Faqir Sayed Najum-ud-Din (Lahore) were taken over. The Estates of Doha (Gurgaon), Amb (Hoshiarpur), Shahkot (Jullundur), Rangar Nangal (Gurdaspur), Ahmedabad (Jhelum) and Salarwahan (Multan) were released. Consequently 48 estates remained under superintendence at the end of the year, as compared with 50 at the beginning.

Doha Estate.—The Doha Estate was found to be hopelessly encumbered and was, therefore, released.

Amb Estate.—The Amb Estate was again taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards after the conclusion of the year under report owing to the extravagance of the ward. Superintendence of his person, however, was not assumed; only his property and the persons of his younger brothers are now under superintendence.

Shahkot Estate.—The Shahkot Estate had been under the Court of Wards since 1919. Since that date ts assets have been increased from Rs. 87,545 to Rs. 1,12,047 and its liabilities have been decreased from Rs. 7,818 to Rs. 2,150.

Rangar Nangal Estate.—The Rangar Nangal Estate and been under the superintendence of the Court of

Wards since 1908. Since that time its assets have increased by Rs. 53,000.

Ahmedabad Estate.—The Ahmedabad Estate had been under the Court of Wards since 1914. Since that time debts, amounting to Rs. 40,752, have been cleared off.

Salarwahan Estate.—This estate has been under the Court of Wards since 1903. The separate property of the elder ward was released in 1918 and the younger ward and the joint property of the estate have now been released. Debts amounting to Rs. 64,573 have been paid off altogether under the supervision of the Court of Wards.

Manauli Estate.—This estate had previously been under the Court of Wards. Investigations with regard to its indebtedness are still proceeding and as usual the latest estimates of debts show a very large increase on the original forecast.

Najum-ud-Din Estate.—This estate is also very heavily indebted. The creditors have consented to a scaling down of debts to about one-third of the original figure, but it is doubtful if even then arrangements will be possible to pay off the debts, while providing adequate maintenance for the family and the ward.

Of the estates taken under the control of the Court of Wards, three are situated in Ambala district. With regard to the estates of Sohana and Ramgarh, no final figures with regard to indebtedness are available, but in both cases the debts are heavy.

2. Income and expenditure.—The total ordinary income amounted to Rs. 20,25,543 as against Rs. 19,21,352 in the previous year. This increase is largely due to the full income of nearly a lakh of rupees from the Nawab Jamal Khan Estate having been credited during the year under report as against a nominal amount during the previous year when the estate had only just been taken over.

The total gross income was Rs. 26,69,247, as against Rs. 23,75,254 last year. The increase is due to the sale of land worth Rs. 46,847 by the Mamdot Estate

and of securities worth Rs. 2,72,500 by the Kalabagh Estate.

The total ordinary expenditure increased from Rs. 15,66,167 to Rs. 16,57,033. The Estate of Nawab Jamal Khan accounted for an increase of Rs. 61,000 out of this amount, since the full increase was not shown during the previous year. The remaining increase was chiefly due to the increase in the allowances of the wards and their families.

The gross expenditure increased from Rs. 21,88,915 to Rs. 26,76,684. A loan of Rs. 2,00,000 was made to Lieutenant Kanwar Jasjit Singh of Jullundur from the Mamdot Estate and the Kalabagh Estate purchased land in the Nili Bar Colony for Rs. 2,40,041.

- 3. Assets and Investments.—These decreased from Rs. 9,12 301 to Rs. 7,39,235 largely on account of the reasons given in the preceding paragraph.
- 4. Reduction of debts.—During the year under report Rs. 1,73,927 was paid off as against Rs. 1,18,318. Loans due to other estates rose from Rs. 1,58,071 to Rs. 2,22,090. The Estates of Buttar and Malaut borrowed Rs. 25,000 each from the Mamdot Estate. The Farrukhnagar Estate borrowed Rs. 14,000 from the Sidhowal Estate. The amount of outstanding loans, due to Government, increased from Rs. 1,57,229 to Rs. 1,64,785. Debts due to other persons increased from Rs. 8,58,511 to Rs. 12,54,427, chiefly on account of the taking over of the Najum-ud-Din Estate of Lahore with debts totalling Rs. 3,22,008 and the fixation of the debts of the Estate of Nawab Jamal Khan at Rs. 1,41,107.

An important matter of policy has been decided with regard to loans made by estates under the Court of Wards. It has been settled that in future the Court of Wards estates should lend money at the ordinary market rate of interest. At present this rate stands at about 9 per cent. In former days, an estate, under the supervision of the Court of Wards, enjoyed the benefit of being able to raise loans at 5 per cent. or 6 per cent. from other estates under the Court of

Wards, and this facility was an important factor in reducing indebtedness, especially where the liabilities were heavy and the annual income comparatively small. In consequence of the recent decision of Government, it is now no longer worth while to assume the superintendence of an estate which cannot free itself from encumbrance by borrowing money in the open market.

- 5. Arrears of rent.—The total recoverable arrears increased from Rs. 2,57,646 to Rs. 3,51,620. This increase was partly due to the Manauli Estate having been taken over with outstanding arrears of Rs. 27,228. The Sidhowal Estate has arrears of Rs. 22,051 and arrears in the Mamdot, Behk, Rani Chhaunian and Kesari Singh Estates are also heavy. The wheat harvest in the year under report was seriously damaged by dust storms in March and cultivators suffered severe losses all over the western half of the province. It is to be hoped that the recent wheat harvest, which was an excellent one in the same area, wil' enable the Managers of Estates to reduce the outstanding arrears to a very considerable extent.
- 6. Expenditure on improvements.—This increased from Rs. 1,22,946 to Rs. 1,72,437. The Mamdot Estate spent Rs. 7,214 on the construction of 8 new wells and Rs. 9,447 on the sinking of tubes in the existing wells.

It spent Rs. 21,370 on metalling and repairing the roads under the Estate. The electric light scheme has been completed and is working satisfactorily. It also supplies a current for the water-supply. The Kalabagh Estate spent Rs. 10,000 on the construction of family quarters, Rs. 3,560 on houses in the newly purchased land in the Nili Bar Colony and Rs. 3,754 on a granary at Masan.

The Behk Estate spent Rs. 16,306 on additional quarters, stables and wells.

7. Agricultural Developments.—Land administration is little understood in the Punjab, and the responsibilities of landfords towards the land and those who work upon it are little appreciated. In England about one-third of the sum received as rents goes back to the land. Here it is customary to exact as high a rent as the local hunger for land permits, and to spend back as little as possible on improvements or amenities. In general, the managers of estates follow local custom and do little to effect improvements in agricultural practice or in the living conditions of the tenants. An exception is the agricultural farm at Jalalabad in the Mamdot Estate, which had a successful year and showed a small profit.

The Stud Farm at Chak Hiraj also showed a small profit in the matter of produce. The stud has now been almost entirely abolished. The polo ponies have been sold off and the best of the brood mares sold to the Army Remount Department. Other horses have been disposed of locally. Since the death of the late Nawab on the 16th March 1928, there has been no reason to continue this stud.

Apart from the above, managers did little to promote agricultural developments beyond planting a few fruit gardens, and it is doubtful whether they possess or command the knowledge and skill required to make fruit growing successful.

The Financial Commissioner is struck by the inconsistency in the action of Government in proclaiming the material advantages obtainable from the use of better seed and implements and the failure of Government to adopt its own advice in estates under the control of the Court of Wards. There should be considerable scope for improving the income of both tenants and wards by using the seed recommended by the Agricultural Department, and the adoption of methods of cultivation tried out by them. It is not suggested that wards' estates should be used for experiments. But where improvements have been fully tested, it is not easy to understand why managers should not insist on the adoption of these improvements wherever this is practicable. In the Mandot, Mitha Tiwana, Tarkhanwala and Shah Jiwana Estates progress is being made. But, apart from these, little has been done in the direction of agricultural improvement. This report would prove much more interesting reading if it could contain a record of steady progress along the road to better farming and better living on the estates.

The reports give the impression that little is being done to improve the standard of cattle and cattle-breeding on the estates. In this connection it is interesting to note that the three-quarter bred Merino sheep kept in the Tarkhanwala Estate have again done well, and that the leading local zamindars are beginning to make purchases from this flock.

The means whereby estates under the Court of Wards can be turned into examples of enlightened and profitable administration will be discussed with Commissioners; it may be that the class of managers usually recruited is handicapped in the adoption of new ideas by his previous experience.

8. Miscellaneous. - An additional sum of Rs. 3,000 has been spent by the Rani Chhaunian Estate on the Muklawa ceremony of a niece of the Rani.

Rupees 5,000 was spent on the marriage ceremony of the son of the ward of the Mitha Tiwana Estate. The Kalabagh Estate spent Rs. 30,975 on the marriage of the ward.

Rupees 14,737 was spent by the Isakhel Estate on the Sarfaraz Khan Wala Inundation Canal in Shahpur district, on a head regulator, gauge-reader's huts, and a bridge in accordance with the recommendations of the officer placed on special duty to report on the private inundation canals in Shahpur district. Arrangements have also been made to ensure that the canal in future will be more satisfactorily managed.

The death of the late Nawab Ghulam Qutab-ud-Din Khan of Mamdot, which was mentioned in the last year's report, actually took place during the year under report on the 16th March 1928. He left a widow and no son. The question of succession to this estate, which is the most important of all the Court of Wards estates in the province, is still under consideration.

As a result of the auditors' inspection of the Malaut Estate serious defalcations were discovered in October 1928. The late Manager is now standing his trial in connection with these and with further defalcations, which were subsequently discovered. The case has recently been brought into court.

The interesting Mitha Tiwana Estate civil appeal, in connection with section 16 (2) of the Court of Wards Act, is still pending in the High Court. The point at issue is whether a ward after his release is legally able to ratify a promise to pay debts incurred by his wife during the period when he was a ward.

9. Education and Training. - Mian Iftakhar-ud-Din of Baghbanpura is in residence at Balliol College, Oxford.

Malik Sher Mohammad Rhan, son of the ward of Mitha Tiwana Estate, has been accepted as a 2nd Lieutenant in the Territorial Battalion at Jhelum.

Malik Amir Mohammad Khan of Kalabagh has proceeded to England under the guardianship of Lieutenant-Colonel R. W. E. Knollys, I. A., late of the Punjab Commission.

Sayed Abid Husain Shah of Shah Jiwana stood first in the annual examination of Form IV at the Aitchison College.

10. Notice of Officers.—The Mamdot Estate had had another successful year of management under Sheikh Nazir Ahmad and has continued to encourage progressive methods of agriculture.

Munshi Ghulam Rasul of the Mitha Tiwana and the Tarkhanwala Court of Wards Estates has done the same on a smaller scale, but with promising results, and so has Munshi Ghulam Ali, the Manager of the Shah Jiwana Estate.

Nawabzada Ahsan Ullah Khan of Kunjpura, General Manager of the Court of Wards Estates in Karnal district, has done another good year's work.

	17.5					Date of birth	Date of	Section or sub-section of Act	Annual	LAND HR	LD IN PROPRIETA		Total liabilities	Total assets
Division.	Districts		Serial No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward	of Ward, if a minor.	assumption of charge.	applicable.	value of jagir.	Total area,	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue	on assumption	on assumption of charge.
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	2.6
									Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Ra.	Rs.	. Re.
		1	1	Farrukhnagar	Khan Muhammal Siraj-sd-Din Haidar Khan.	-	3-7-1923	Section 5 (1) of Court of Wards Act.	4,640	6,523	3,655	6,164	49,003	6,78,787
			2	Doha	M Akram Ullah Khan, Mussammat Safdari Begum, Mussammat Sater	***	30-7-1923	Ditto ditto.	***	2,341	1,798	4,293	1,53,000	5,00,022
	Gargaon	i ]			Jahan Begum, Mussammats Mu- hemmad-ul-Nisa and Mahioud-ul-					10000				
	a angus		. 3	Rampura	Nisa, R. B. Captaiu Balbir Singh O.B.E.		26-1-1925	Ditto ditto.	100	125	125		35,800	\$0,000
1				Nambara	Dhat Bash & as	29-3-1909				Barrier .		*44	90,000	Fopolo
		1	4	Sidhowal	Bhai S' er Jang Singh	25-12-1913		Section 6 of Court of Wards Act II of 1903.		1,451	1,446	8,254	1,22,794	13,54,092
	Karnal		5	Arnauli	Bhai Subh Sher Singh S. Bhupindar Singh	4-1-1914 5-1-1917		Ditto ditto	58,393	1,048	540	1,108	57,889	16,67,178
		(	6	Jharauli	S. Sheoindar Singh S. Harindar Singh	9-3- 936	23-6-1926 {	Ditto ditto	1,606	657	222	425	6,068	1,52,266
14 'm			7	Raipur	Pao Baldeo Singh S. Rattan Amel Singh	18-8 1876	19 6-1906	Section 5 (2), Act 11 of 1903	4,915	4,047	2,569	1,347	1,13,002	2,51,740
			8	Buria	S. Lal Amol Singh	31-10-1920	3-9-1921	Section 6, Act II of 1908	53,259	4,271	8,028	5,666	4,000	36,76,323
	Ambala		9	Sobana	S. Deo Indar Singh	19-2-1900	16-6-1928	Section 5 (2) (d), Act II of 1903	9,154	251	84	620	62,875	3,28,369
			10	Manauli	S. Umrao Singh	15-6-1596	25-6-1928	Ditto ditto	49,740	6,474	2,843	8,586	70,0.0	22,26,211
- 11		ii	11	Ramgarh	Mian Ajmer Singh	9-8-1897	27-9-1928	Ditto ditto	6,008	2,2,5	649	984	61,777	1,87,141
15	{	1	12	Lambagraoa	M. Daljit Chaud		4-4-1888	Feetion 35 (g) of Act IV of 1'72 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,0.0	5,216	1,310	12	21,323	51,718
			13	Guler	Raja Baldeo Singh	***	18 12-1909	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	25,248	16,719	8,645	8,167	4.26,692	9,85,000
i	Kangra	:		(	Th. Abhai Chand Th. Partab Chand	14-4-1909								
		"	14	Lahaul	Th, Firthi Chand .	1-1-1911 8-8-1914	1e-10-1923	Section 5 (1) and 6 of Punjab Act II of 1:03.	533	964	837	718	23,6:6	1,21,95
1					Mussammat Rigzan Angmo	2-10-1907	26-7-1921	Sections 3 (b) and (c) of Punjab	19,125	2,280	2,280	1,515	1,00,837	6,72,80
		'	10	Rupi	Kanwar Dhanwant Singh Kanwar Durga Singh	1913 )	20-1-1021	Act II of 1903.		2,200	2,000	1,010	1,00,001	,,,,,
ĺ		1	16	Amb	T. Lachhman Singh  Kr. Sant Dev Singh	5-10-1902 19-8-1904 30-10-1909	29-4-1919	Ditto ditto	22 132	1,922	772	1,284		9,66,16
	Hoshiarpur	- Com			Kr. Har Dev Singh Kr. Shiv Dev Singh	3-3-1910	77 1010	Fection 45 of Punj b Act II of	847	1,949	390	836	47,645	71,19
Pro Cul		1	17	Bhabaur	R. Sivitra Chand	4-9-1911	1-1-1919	1903.	0.00	1,040	350	000	87,080	
1		4	18	Anandpur	Harbhajan Singh	14-1-1918	6-2-1923	Sections 3 (b) and 2 (c) of Punjab Act II of 1:03.	4,460	2 209	900	4,881	12,200	17,26,34
	Jullundur Ludbians	·	19	Shahkot	Har Autar Singh S. Rajindar Singh	1-6-1907	20-5-1919		1,157	233 - 912	303 504	1,255 1,834	7,816 78,940	1,17,29 7,37,23
	Ludhishs	2	20		S. Harwant Raj Singh	15-1-1921		1903. Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of	76, 83	68,619	61,264	60,480	3,57,647	66,05,63
			21		N. Ghulam Quth-ud-Din Khan	I COMPANY		1903. Section 6 of Punjab Act II of	235	9,359	8,437	3,505	16,849	23,18,0
i	Dances none	- 1	23		Muhammad Sarwar ***	12-2-1916	2-11-1922	1905.		75	0,474	27	a djumo	40,9
	Ferome pore	5.1	23 24	Shamsher Ali Khan Butter	Sodbi Bichhpal Singh and Mussammat	7-2 1917	22-9-1925 18-3 1926	Section 5 (2) (a) and (d) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	1,041	1,821	1,700	897	1,23,772	9,54,06
					Parmeshari. Muhammad Hayat Khan	)	20 10 1005	Section 5 (1) of Panjab Act II of	201	2,306	2,161	889	1,89,186	14,23,76
إ		1		Malout	Haji Muhammad Khan  Mussammat Fateh Bano		all and harden	1903. Section 6 of Punjab Act 11 of 19 38	676	2,168	1,380	4,466	4,255	4,12,01
	Lahora	201	26 27	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din	Mian Iftikhar-ud Din Rani Chbaunian	8-4-1907	21-8-1918 25-5-1915	Section 5 (2) (a) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	5,778	4,393	2,818	6,055	***	6,96,80
	1000000	9	28	S. Keari Singh	S. Kesri Singh		2-12-1916 17-12-1927	Ditto ditto Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of	5,256 403	1,635	1,193 720	3,954	51,920 8,34,598	7,00,22
11		1			F. S. Najum-ud-Din		25-5-1914	1903. Ditto ditto	1,297	596	445	1,004	8,17,000	4,51,0
5	Amritsan		80		S. Balwant Singh S. Sorindar Singh	16-9-1916	21-6-1927	Sections 6 and 8 of Punjab Act II		1,098	718	1,046	44,530	9,83,8
1	The second		31	Nowshera Nangli	S. Buta Singh	***	\$ 21-0-10-21	of 1903,						
	Gurdsspur	· · ·	32	Rangar Nangal	S. Hari Singh		13-4-1908	Section 6 of Punjab Court of Wards, Act II of 1903.	***	781	690	2,689	483	8,08,2
	Sheikhupura				S. Narsin Singh Muhammad Sharif Khan	1904	2 8-3-1919	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of		833	807	2,362	35,298	2,79,4
10	Successful	-	83	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala.	Mohammad Hassen Khan	16-7-1913	)	1903. Section 5 (2) (d) of Tunjab Act II	9,884	11,565	4,460	2,895	2,78,006	7,86,0
	Shahpur	5}	34	Mitha Tiwana		21-1-1911		of 1903. Section 6 of Panjab Act II of 1903		2,855	2,337	3,539	4,900	2,59,7
1		1	35 36	Tarkhanwala	Amir Abdullah Khan Raja Muhammad Hayat Khan	21-1-1011		Section 5 (I) of Punjab Act II of 1908.	1,095	9,335	2,971	4,241	40,752	4,41,5
	Jhelum	· .			Yakub Khan Mahammad Rahram Khan	14-1-1907 1910	7		13356					
INDS				att	Muhammad Behram Khan Ayub Khan Sher Khan	12-4-1911 1912	20-1-1920	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1908	Inam 808	3,460	1,389	1,620	10,472	3,84,9
			- 37	Ghagh	Ghulam Shah Ghulam Medhi	14-1-1905 8-2-1909*				1000				
1	Attock	***	38	Dharek ***	S. Rab Nawaz Khan	6-11-1919	8-5-192-)	Section 6 and 3 (b) (c) of Punjab Act II of 1903.		6,028	3,883	2,846	***	4,57,
	Minney	5	39	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan	Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan	***	14-1-1907	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	***	15,505	5,546	11,840	1,32,486	4,47,8
1	Mianwali		40	Muhammad Nawaz Khan. Kalabagh	Malik Amir Muhammad Khan	10-7-1910	1-11-1924	Ditto ditto	7,542	77,468	9,129	10,678	-	62,05,6
	Montgomery	- {	41	Killa Tara Singh	Nau Nihal Singh ***	4-1-1907	14-8-1916	Ditto ditto	-	2,358	1,860	2,201	***	***
		(	42	Ditto	Gord & Singh Khizar Hayat Shah	1 -		Section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1998	***			***	-	***
	Jhang	{	43	Shah Jiwana	Mubarak Ali Shah S. Abid Hussain Shah	11		Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	***	9,838	5,725	12,636	51,325	7,04,9
		(	44	K. Wali Dad Khan, Sayal .	K Wali Dad Khao, Sayal			Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	400	481	300	103	40,000	1,48,
- 1		-{	45	Dab Kalan	Muhammad Nawas Khan	22-8-1910	28-6-1994 10-7-1918	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903	***	6,981	3,250	9,856	8,229	11,67,0
			46	Selarwahan}	S. Muhammad Nawaz Shah		15-1-1925	Section 8 of Punjab Act II of 1903.	-111	5,554	3,658	4	64,578	6,22,5
					S. Ghulam Abbas	17-3-1917	1-6-1919	Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 1903.	}	84,141	9,495	7,278	10.043	10.00
	Multan	1	47	Jalalpur Pirwala	N. Muhammad Ghans		) .	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1:03.	5 "		27800	*,210	19,841	18,93,
1	1	1			S. Ghulam Akbar Shah	15-2-1901	9-1-1919	Section 35 (a) Act IV of 1872 as	4,231	15,763	5,980	7,758	3,17,939	11,45,5
			48	Ster Shah	S. Amir Haldar Shah	149	11-10-1900	amended by Act XII of 1878.				-	1.,,,,,,	
	1000	10	49	Shujabad	Ch. Sham Singh	***	15-11-1926	Section 5 (1) of Punjab Act II of 1903		3,550	1,470	3,246	1,10,000	7,01,0
1	1	1		Hajipur	M. Lutf Hussain Khan, alies M. Shah Nawas Khan.	·m	30-10-1921	Ditto ditto	9,653	726	130	180	97,304	85,5
	D-01-15		51	K. B. S. Din Muhammad Khan, C I.E.	K. B. S. Din Auhammad Khan, C.I.D.,		29-6-1925	Ditto ditto		22,400	2,588	2,588	1,18,718	2,13,0
1	Dera Ghazi Kh	1	52	S. Meesur Ahmad Khan, Qastani.	S. Maozur Abmad Khan, Qasrani	25-3-1916		Section 6 of Punjab Act II of 190%	3,760	297	235	82	44,851	1,06,9
	1				The same of the sa		16.0.1025	Section 5 (2) (5) of Punjab Act II		8,1(9)	824	1 000		000
				Batil	S. Kaura Khan, Khosa	-		of 1903,	100		029	1,205	1,000	96,7
		-		Batil	S. Kaura Khan, Khosa  K. B. Nawab Muhammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C., Legbari.			of 1903. Section 5 (c) of Punjab Act II of 1903.	24,695	1,13,301	15,211	14,533	1,75,184	20,35,5

# STATEMENT No. 11. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ON SOTH SEPTEMBER 1928.

District.										TS.							1000		I	TABILITIES.					
District.							Investme	ents, loans	s and adva	inces.		Cash.		Ott	her assets.			Balance	of loans s		Other su	me due.			
		Serial No.	Name of estate.	Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estima!ed value of house property.	Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immove- able property.	Advance to tenants.	In banks,	In treasury.	In hand,	Estimated value of unsold grain.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jewellery, stock and other items,	Total assots.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons,	To Government,	To other persons.	Total liabilities.	Ercess of assets over liabilities.	Serial No.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
URGAON	{	1 2 3	Farrukhnsgar Loha Rampura	Rs. 1,16,000	R#. 6,30,040 2,00,000 50,000	Re. 52,000 77,000	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs	Re. 352 2,774	Re. 826 6,915	Rs.	Rs. 1,780 1,489 4,325	Rs.	Rs. 8,00,998 2,81,263 61,350	Rs. 9,208  83,580	Rø. 14,000	Rs. 6,048 2,08,542	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. 29,251 2,08,542 33,580	Re. 7,71,747 72,721 27,570	1 2 3
ARNAL	-{	4 5 6	Sidhowal Jharauli	11,20,375 14,59,825 40,150	2,25,916 92,662 33,140	1,79,851 1,38,029 5,000	1,69,700 82,500 78,600	14,000 88,706	35,750 134		200	9,335 16,581 3,669	130 150 25	42	22,051 2,811 2,181	23,130 11,220 180	18,00,438 18,37,618 1,62,987			86				18,00,438 18,37,618 1,62,901	4 5 6
WBALA	}	7 8 9 10 11	Raipur Buria Sohana Manauli Ramgarh	1,22,875 13,81,475 2,28,850 12,43,500 1,50,200	1,27,585 4,44,858 49,600 6,82,880 78,720	25,009 10,00,000 10,253 3,31,870 20,000	1,20,000	500			-	4,104 50,495 2,484 2,785	75 880 25		11,901 8,258 32 27,228	1,476 30,817 7,948	2,92,978 29,86,733 2,91,244 22,96,211 2,48,920		33,708		***	32,875 70 000 21,777	62,8 5	2,59,269 29,86,733 2,28,369 22,26,211 1,87,143	7 8 9 10 11
INGRA	{	12 13 14 15	Lambagraon	50,000 6,31,200 13,325 4,78,125	960 2,53,360 57,440 1,21,200	1,066 1,25,000 30,366 70,006		::			20,000	242 17,750 1,684 18,131			2,750 400 700	20,821 14,491	52,268 10,50,060 1,24,036 7,02,647			4,451			4,451	1,24,036	13 13 14 15
SHIARPUR	{	16 17 18	Amb Anaudpur	5,53,300 21,175 1,11,500	1,02,720 66,880 3,88,880	1,50,000 1,000 12,00,000	75,800 9,977	5,459	2,200		608	64,179 8,640 11,726	100 25 80	244  158	667 2,300 19,919	14,500 310 740	10.17,915 1,00.880 17,45,788		9,000	24,729 1,650				66,601 17,44,138	16 17 18
		19	Shahkot Ber Khurd	28,925 4,79,000	1,00,400	5,000 83,935			1,606	360	•••	4,605	761		3,457 1,932	17,448	7,84,401			2,150 7,586		1,721	7,586	7,26,815	19
ROZEPORE	{	21 22 28 24 25	Mamdot Bebak Shamsher Ali Khan sur Malout	19,19,575 5,875  26,025	1,46,720 46,55,002 23,39,644 9,251 9,04,000 6,90,125	9,56,544 94,092  22,000 80,000	5,18,000 25,000 22,000	1,47,797		15,000	26,100 3,590 30,074	1,78,158 23,966 1,717 19,895 7,344	19,350 1,535  154 258	278 100 915		369 7,575	89,72,052 25,92,509 63,358 9,72,965 7,38,748		25,000 34,000		7,428 1,448 455 762 861		7,428 1,444 455 1,38,094 1,38,545	25,91,061	21 22 23 24 25
RORE	-{	26 27 28 29	M. Iftikhar-nd-din Rani Chhaunian S. Kesri Singh F. S. Najum-ud-din	16,900 1,44,450 1,31,400 10,125	4,64,499 4,84,400 6,36,123 80,480	1,27,000 89,234 60,149 5,000	4,000			210	18,877 3,242 3,500	30,792 19,413 18,741 946	150 100 50	::	11,184 26,018 43,335	4,654	6,69,112 7,75,511 8,88,298 96,551			3,22,008		7,500	3,29,503	6,69,112 7,75,511 8,88,298 2,32,957	29
RITSAR	{	30 31	Attari Nowshera Nangli	3,07,425	80,320 <b>3</b> ,62,825	10,000 5,67,375	5,000		26,280			3,591 11,087	1,544	::	12,894 7,723	10,613	4,14,080 9,92,397	1,22,002		35,624			1,22,002 35,624	2,92 028 9,56,778	31
EDASPUR		32	Rangar Nangal Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala		2,15,120	1,15,323	7,000		17,678			 E ent		-	2,158	1,010	3,61,090							3,51,090	
	{	34	Mitha Tiwana	2,45,850	2,94,184	10,000			8,280	1,738		1,378	104	116	18,153	5,600	16,90,919 9,21,897	-	62,000	314	-	24,344	62,314 24,344	16,28 605 8,97,563	34
LUM	5	36 37	Ahmedabad	27,376	7,13,326 3,75,185	16,648 83.000	75,025	67,000	28,860	705	-	9,641	3,527	708	1,583	6,000	4,47,345	***						4,47,345 8,66,096	36
rock		38	Dharek	Inam 808	3,20,000 4,00,000	13,000			8,000 49,450	4,220	12,807	10,583	2,204	9,955	1,000	6,200	4,93,612				880		683	4,92,732	88
ANWLLI	-{	39	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan. Kalabagh	1,88,550	7,47,868	15,500 3,42,000	1,93,743	***		2,000	***	24,429 53,838	165	28,575 62,864	8,681 4,405	1,52,665	8,27,218 47,99,831			27,496	-		27,496	7,99,722	1
NIGOMERT	{	41	Kills Tara Singh (Nau Nibal Singh). Ditto (Gurdit Singh)		1,76,080	14,000	86,200		20,900	5,387	53,875	7,059	14	7,8(9	28 28	5,106 3,357	2,66,696 5,216			-	-			2,66,696 5,216	6 4
9K	{	43 44	Shah Jiwans Khan Wali Dad Khan	10,000	7,94,698 1,20,000	26,500 15,000	10,000	18,773	5,600	-	***	13,469 1,180		332	1,395	11,513 1,775	8,82,280 1,49,359	***	18,773	-	48,080		48,08		
LTAN		45 46 47 48 49	Dab Kalan Sallarwahan Jalaipur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad	67,150	10,31,200 5,55,400 11,27,511 12,16,712 6,00,000	1,69,650 86,145 1,87,717 13,699 1,00,000	12,700	25,611	4,680 4,482 13,494	116	=	18,648 2,937 7,020 401	229 2,243 30 30	137 10,787 511 310	856 3,599 2,268	44,538 9,233 47,866 5,467 1,058	12,30,129 6,19 014 13,87,142 13,26,368 7,03,879		8,777 16,834		2 572 512	-	1,80 20,12 17,34 1,21,34	6,19,01 1 13,67,02 6 13,09,00	14 21 67
	1	50 51	Hajipur K. B. S. Din Muhammad	2,41,300	27,920 2,07,040	22,300 58,000			1,744	36		6,687			5,368		2,98,207 2,76,454			40,19 83,69	6 10,00		40,19 93,69		11 58
ba Ghazi Khan	N 4	52 53 54	Khan, C.I.E. S. Mansur Ahmad Khan Qasrani, Batil K. B. Muhammad Jamal Khan,	94,000	6,560 78,665	5,500 1,650	13,000		11 11 3	11, 11	562	3,822 1,513 2,557	-	2,229	-	900	1,07,573 97,334			1,41,10	31,10	1,00	31,10 1,0 1,65,5	00 96,8	334
	1		M. L. C.	1,22,38,983	2,97,60,064	1,95,560	50,000					24,3 15		14,121	25,000		6,35,62,796	***	5 2,22,09			2,29,2		5,15,64	

# STATEMENT No. III. INCOME OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1928.

							INVESTME	INT LOAN, AN	D DEBT TRA	NEACTIONS.					On	DINABY INCOME.			
				Incom	ne from sa	le of	Income fy	om loans rec	eived from	Owns	9				eoni				
District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.		and.	onse-property.	carities.	vernment.	her estates under the Court of Wards.	her persons,	come from repayment of L	ecovery of advances made tenants.	tal.	gir or Talukdari income.	sb rent.	and of grain and other prod	her income.	al ordinary income,	al income.	ial No.
1	2	3		4	5	8 -	- <del>8</del>	Othe	Oth	10		12	-13	O Gas	15	16	17	18	19
(	1	Farrukhpagar		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs. 15,092	Rs. 336	Rs. 4,039	Rs.	Ra.	
GURGAON {	3	Doha Rampur		***						=				9,719 2,040		1,050	19,467 10,769 2,040	19,467 10,769 2,040	2 3
KABNAL . {	<b>4</b> 5 6	Sidhowal Arnauli Jharauli	***		***		***	***	***	11,250 1,699 1,500	***	11,250 1,699 1,500	44.643 57,859 1,606	26,010 6,920 8,035	42	13,477 6,002 4,882	84,130 69,781 9,565	95,880 71,480 11,065	5 6
AMBALA {	7 8 9 10 11	Raipur Buria Sohana Mansuli Ramgarh						500		500		500	4,917 55,248 4,112 785	16,137 17,872 2 6 1,847	1,740 656  127	7,468 175	23,359 81,942 4,348 2,884	23,359 81,742 4.848 2.884	7 8 9 10 11
KANGRA {	12 13 14 15	Guler Labaul				 			***	10		10	1,988 20,515 529 16,854	15 11,866 5,816 8,235	32 952 33	48 392 2,525 2,245	2,078 32,773 9,822 26,867	2,078 32,778 9,822 26,877	12 13 14 15
HOSHIAS PUB	16 17 18	Amb Bhabaur Anandpur			**	8,723	***	9,000		16,303		16,303 9,0 <b>0</b> 0 8,723	22,443 2,226 3,074	4,381 6,7-4 17,177	3,562	9,081 1,706 4,683	39,467 10,716 25,492	55,770 19,716 34,215	16 17 18
JULLUNDUR	19	Shahkot							***	-			609	625	3,374	198	4,806	4,806	19
LUDHIANA	20	Ber Khurd						***					19,814	6,856	274	8,109 2,18,897	29,553	29,553	20
PEROZEPORE {	21 22 23 24 25	Mamdot Behak Samsher Ali Khan Buttar Malout		46,847 7,805 9,074		***	***	25,000 25,000	*** *** ***	1,24,917 5,138  2,100	37  2,346	1,71,764 12,9 0 9 074 25,0 0 29,446	90,784	2,70,410 69,276  567 2,033	2,764 2,013  6,147 6,974	2,039 11,18s 90 534	5,52,855 63,791 11,1-8 7 609 9,5+1	7,54,619 76,771 20,762 32,609 38,957	21 22 23 24 25
LAHOME {	26 27 29 29	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din Rani Chhaunian S. Kes i Singh F. S. Najum-ud-Din		2,016				***		2,504 410	86 	86 4,520 450	826 5,442 6,110 4.5	41,178 80.012 16,9 8 7,500	4,608 1,598 1,890	4,524 2,394 1,775	51,148 39,456 26,183 7,905	51,229 43,976 27,183 7,905	26 27 28 29
AMRITSAR {	80 81	Attari Nowshera Nangli		171	***		***	***		504		171 504	12,882	9,518 17,854	2,087	126 666	22,476 20,087	22,647 20,59	80 31
GURDASPUB	82	Rangar Nan; al		***					***	***	•••			2,757		885	3,642	3,642	82
SHRIKHUPURA	33	Chak Haidarabad Sayadwala.	and		***	, ""							-	18,582	8,559	889	22,980	22,980	88
SHAHPUR	34 35	Mith Tiwana Tarkhanwala	**	***	***	***		F,000		14,506	808 989	8,803 15,495	11,298	6,657 8,517	8,065 13,974	1,083 2,076	27,100 21,467	32,903 36,962	34 35
JRELUM	36 37	Ahmadabad Ghugh		***		**	***			1,049	225	225 1,049	449 818	29 56	1,061	83 3,480	1,602 9,252	1,827 10,301	86 87
ATTOOK	38	Dharek		***				-		1,500		1,500	-	124	13,685	483	14,292	15,792	28
MIABWALI	39	Khan Muhammad Ab Rahman Khan and Kh Muhammad Niwaz Kha Kalabagh	han	1 900	***		***				1,921	1,921 2,82,527		239 9,425	67,073	2,283	1,44,645	76,348	89
(	41	Kila Tara Singh (Nan N	ihal	1,300		2,72,500	***		***	6,822 1,078		1,078	7,542	270	15,202	1,351	16,823	17,901	41
MONTGOMERT	42	Singh). Kila Tara Singh (Gur Singh).	rdit						***	13		13			3,877	180	3,557	3,570	42
JHANG . {	48 44	Shah Jiwana Khan Wali Dad Khan		***	***	14,900	1,014	732 1179	427	1,768	200	19,041 979	533	3,106 3,110	62,570 539	1,936 435	67,612 4,617	86,653 5,596	43 44
MURTAN	45 46 57 48 49	Dab Kalan Salarwahan Jalalpur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad	4		500		***	8,000	**. *- *-	150	755  85	765  650 5,635 874	2,267	2,768 753	55,043 1,283 27,856 21,375 9,990	1,965 22 2,112 1,776 1,604	57,693 1,805 32,236 26,171 11,494	58,458 1,305 32,886 31,206 12,468	47
	50 51	Hajipur K. B. S. Din Muhamm Knan, C.I.E.	and				***			1,000	10	1,616	13,832	4,680 3,251	16,558	300 418	18,312 20,227	18,312 21,843	50
KHAN.	52	S. Manzur Ahmad Kl	han				***						3,760	7,350		1,880	12,490	12,490	1
	53 54	Batil K. B. Nawab Muhamm Jamal Khan, M.L.C.	nad	:::		:	***		***	756	897	1,653	26,837	3,567	5,2×3 64,202	2,217	5,283 96,823	5,298 97,976	53 54
		Total		67,819	500	2,96,123	1,014	71,211	427	1,96,391	10,219	6,43,704	4,40,120	6,82,852	5,11,690	3,91,881	20,25,543	26,69,247	

### EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1928.

				-		In	BSTMEN:	r, LOAN A	ND DEBT	TBAYSACT!	IONS.						ORDINA	BY BYPER	ITURE.					ment ment
						Purc	hase of		Loa	ns.	oants.	prove-		com- Haq-		taff.	ment s and	rove-	ward duca-	-jue	unte,	ture.		manage al ordi
District,		Serial No.	Name of estate,		Repayment of debts.	Land.	House property.	Government securi- ties or securities of local bodies.	Made to other estatos under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other im- moveable property.	Advances made to ter	Cost of permanent im	Total.	Land revenue cesses, mutation fees and l	Ward's rate.	Cost of manager and s	Total cost of managem (sum of columns 14, 15).	Cost of ordinary impi	Personal expenses of including cost of ed- tion.	Allewances to ward's f	Expenditure on law, do the ceremonies, serva stables, etc.	Total ordinary expendit	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of recolumn 16) on total income (column 17 or No. 111).
1	_	2	3		4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
GUEGAOW	{	1 2 3	Farrukanagar Doha Rampur	::	Rs. 7,948	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs. 7,848	3,105 4,830	Rs. 931 878	Ps. 1,718 1,211	Rs. 2,649 2,089	Rs. 507	Rs. 2,600	Rs. 4,894 275	Bs. 533 2,741	Rs. 14,295 9,938	Rs. 22,141 9,938 1,500	Rs. 13-6 19-4
KABNAL	{	4 5 6	Sidhowal Arnauli Jharauli		419	514	::	21,238 31,456 7,520	=	25,060	::	1,999	48,751 31,856 7,939	12,284 8,437 714	1,089 945 341	7,846 5,893 577	8,935 6,838 918	4.039 1,250 28	17,003 8,677 420	17,947 14,997 1,680	19,589 14,507 748	79,797 54,705 4,508	1,28,548 86,562 12,447	10.6 9.3 9.6
AMBALA	{	7 8 9 10 11	Raipur Buria Sobana Manauli Ramgarh	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	4,000  511 	23,738			500		:::::	408	4,000 24,644 511	5,509 13,116 665 	473 968 	2,081 4,540 138	2,554 5,503 138	710 1,771 40	6,532 5,567 353	350 15,048 	3,356 16,391 157 99	19,011 57,399 1,353 99	23,011 81,043 1,864 99	10-9 6-8 2-8
KANGBA	{	12 13 14 15	Lambagracn Guler Labaul Rupi		300 7,987	::	=		=		::		300 7,987	12 15 132 683	104 628 352 519	120 964 1,107	224 1,592 352 1,626	2,000	9,600 2,400 3,008	574 720 6,664	296 2,662 1,096 4,741	1,746 16,589 3,980 16,725	2,046 16,589 11,987 16,725	10-8 4-9 3-6 6-5
Hoshiabpur	- {	16 17 18	Amb Bhataur Ansndpur		11,934 8,600			-	Ξ			678	673 11,934 8,900	2,850 1,016 1,691	640 361	3,790 689 4,296	4,430 1,000 4,296	1,007 1,001 4,688	9,350 2,012 6,520	2,430 900 7,240	4,438 806 6,809	24,500 6,735 31,239	25,173 18,669 40,139	11·2 9·3 16·8
LUDHIANA	***	19		***	700 6,550				-	***		9.699	700 9,183	502	605	794	1,399	32	800	290	991	8,944 22,231	81,414	29.10
FEROZEPORE	{	20 21 22 23 24 25			7,645 29,294	331			43,000	2,00,000 6,800		2,633 99,300 16,306	3,42,631 23,106  7,645 29,294	4,216 45,056 3,629 27 845 1,611	5,826 862 462 152 397	2,812 41,097 4,838 176 2,036 1,679	3,318 46,923 5,700 638 2,188 2,076	59,814 2,763 39 50	7,738 1,70,565 4,260  1,295 1,850	3,520 35,184 3,847 655	3,113 1,97,033 12,271 238 759 1,461	5,54,575 32,470 908 5,781 7,048	8,97,206 55,576 903 13,426 86,842	8*05 8*9 *57 28*7 17*7
LAHORE	{	26 27 28 29	Rani Chhaunian		 5,000	-	::	***	=======================================		210	2,277 2,761 3,783	2,497 2,761 3,783 5,000	4,442 8,276 3,997 999	763 1,072 521 308	3,389 4,359 1,553	4,151 5,431 2,074 308	1,070 615 385	11,554 19,714 4,524 351	6,150 1,560 6,248 270	11,907 13,614 7,107 31	39,274 49,110 24,285 1,959	41,761 51,871 28,068 6,959	8-1 13-8 7-7 3-9
AMBITSAB	{	30 31	Atari		10,893 4,453	=		=	=	-		81	10,474	1,810 1,116	433 141	51 1,519	484 1,660	1,218	2,750	5,080 600	2,777 2,807	12,401 10,024	22,875 14,477	2·2 8·2
JURDASPUR		32										-		1,609	552	1,327	1,879	275	11,688	***	352	15,758	15,753	51-6
SHEIKHUPURA		33	Chak Haidrabad Sayadwala.	and		20,684			i		***		20,684	1,814	474	1,649	2,128	705	4,626	2,460	917	12,645	33,329	9
<b>ЗНАНРИВ</b>	{	34 35			12,767	3,000 10,321			5,000	280 3,212	1,738 1,000	1,000 2,271	18,785 21,804	1,578 2,338	480 614	4,001 2,726	4,481 3,340	402 70	1,806 5,933	3,873 2,682	6,426 3,581	18,566 17,944	37,351 39,748	16·5 15·5
JHELUM	{	36 37		***				::	~		705		705	788 1,654	545 896	1,279	1,824 1,369	294 18	692 2,340	1,288 612	5,441 2,143	10,827 8,1 <b>3</b> 6	11,032 8,136	113-9 14-8
ATTOOK		88	Dharek			1,450					4,220	270	5,940	2,846	880	1,978	2,858	102	2,079	2,107	519	10,511	16,451	20
MIANWALI	- {	40	Rahman Khan and Muhammad Nawaz l	Abdul Khan Khan.		2,40,041		:	T.		<b>2,000 5,387</b>	352 26,287	2,352 2,71,715	10,678	1,546	9 <sub>6</sub> 009	9,849	15,087	4,780 20,344	6,825	15,175	1,11,898	8,88,613	13-2
Гомт <b>сомен</b> т	{	41	Killa Tara Singh Nihal Singh). Kila Tara Singh (G Singh).						11.1		99	131 92	131 191	2,986 656	506 92	2,742 547	3,248 639	1,329 297	511	2,350	1,874	12,298	12,429	19-3
HANG	{	43 44	Shah Jiwana Khan Wali Dad Khan		23,853 2,929				979		150	4,046	28,528 2,929	12,636 103	850 244	7,786 549	8,636 793	1,362 15	15,211 1,654	2,178	5,660	45,683 2,565	74,211 5,494	12·8 17·2
ULTAN	{	45 46 47 48 49	Dab Kalan Salarwahan Jalalpur Pirwala Sher Shah Shujabad	::	3,898	120 900			5,000		891  60	2,609  355 1,953 548	11,883  475 2,853 608	9,856 4 7,278 7,758 3,246	722 834 612 573 304	11,019 1,174 7,438 6,041 3,878	11,741 2,008 8,050 6,614 4,182	787 536 888 1,081 1,935	3,701 4,751 4,713 2,400 2,986	2,936 2,604	4,752 423 8,811 6,600 1,921	37,695 7,722 32,676 27,057 14,270	49,578 7,722 83,157 29,910 14,878	20-3 153-8 24-9 25-2 36-1
	1	50 51	Hajipur K. B. S. Din Mohs	med	7,465 1,400	::					569 141	1,504	9,538 1,941	719 3,402	471 588	326 3,027	797 3,613	200 521	3,600	4,290	2,254 1,374	7,570 16,800	17,108 18,741	17-9
ва Снагі Кн	AN J	52	Khau, C. I. E. S. Manzur Ahmad F Qasrani.	93	5,000								5,000	166	538	222	780	***	1,7:0	6,810		9,496	14,496	6-2
	j.	53 54	Batil K. B. Nawab Moha	mad L.C.	1 0,586	::		4,643		=	::	:::	15,229	1,205 18,328	210	732 9,438	932 9,438	216	917 12,616	966 9,072	8,999	4,300 61,336	4,300 76,565	9.8
		11	Total		1,73,927	3,01,099		65,257	54,479	2,35,292	17,160	1,72,437	10,19,651	2,34,573	33,165	1,96,487	2,29,652	1,16,176	4,15,344	2,08,258	4,53,032	16,57,033	26,76,684	1

#### STATEMENT No. V.

## IMPORTANT TRANSACTIONS (IF ANY) OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1928.

						LAND PO	ROHASED.	LAN	p sond.	VALUE OF HO	OUSE PROPERTY	GOVERNME	ECUBITIES OF NT OR LOCAL DIES.	VALUE OF	F LOANS MADE,	VALU	E OF LOANS RECEIVED F	ROM	
District.		be Serial No.	Name of	estate.		Area,	Value.	Area.	Value.	Purchase I.	e Sold.	Purchased.	- 11 - Sold,	To other 's'ates under the Courts of Wards.	To other persons on security of im-	Government,	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other percens,	Debts of estate paid off.
						Acres.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.				Rs.
	1	1	Farrukhnagar			***	2484	Acres.	***			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	110.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	7,848
0102	-4		Doha		***	***		-		***			***			***	144	***	
			****	***	•••	***					***	***	***			-	***	***	1,500
				***	•••	11	514				-	21,238	***	***	25,000			200	***
NAL	1	5	Arnauli Jharauli				***	ist -	**	***		31,856		***		***	***	***	***
	-	7	Raipur	•••	***		**	***	***		***	7,520	-	***		***		++X	419
	il	8	Buria	***	***	700	***	***		***		***				***		***	4,000
ALA		9	Sobana	***		123	23,738	- 44	***	***	***	***		500	***	***	5-0	***	511
		10	Manauli		100			-						147		**	MODEL DE LA COMPANIE	**	***
	i	11	Ramgarh	***				249	(*)	***	**					***			344
	1	12	Lambagraon	***	***					***		***	***	***			***		300
RA	3	13	Guler		***			,		***		***				***	***	***	
		14	Lahaul	***		160		181	***	'		140				*		***	7,987
	(	15	Kupi	*	***					'	***	***		***	-	***	***		***
ABPUR			Amb Bhabaur	***	res.			***	***	***	***	***		***		***		***	11,934
			Anandpur			***	***	***	***	***	***	***				240	9,000	***	8,500
NDUR			Shahkot					***	***				8,723	**			***		700
ANA	***		Ber Khurd	***				***		***		***	***					***	6,550
	٢		Mamdot	***			331	180	48,847	***				48,000	2,00,00		***		
	1	22	Behak	***	***			39	7,805	**		***		111	6,8.0				las
PORE	. 1	23	Shamsher Ali Khan		44			45	9,074		***			***		**	***		***
			Butter	***								***		***		***	25,000	***	7,64
	-		Malaut	186		***					· Val					100	15,000	***	29,29
	1		M. Iftikhar-vd-Din		***	***					***	4.	***			***			***
t R	4		Rani Chhaunian S. Resir Singh	•••	***	200		100	2,016	***						***		**	
			F. S. Najum-ud-Din	***									***			***			5,00
	d		Attari	+44					171			***	***	***		· Kee			10,39
AR	{	31	Nowshera Nangli	***					***					-			191		4, 6
SPUR	-	32	Rangar Nangal								181			***			***		
UPURA			Chak Haidarabad and S	ayadwala		35	20,684				*	-	***	***		***		***	12,7
UR	{		Mitha Tiwana			14	3,000			***		***	***		280	***	5,000		
			Tarkhanwala		10)	62	10,321		***	***	***			5,000	3,212				***
м	[		Ahm idahad Ghugh	***			***				**	***			***	***		***	***
			Dharek	*		20	1,450	224					***			***		***	
	(	39	Khan Mohammad Abd	iul Rahman	Khan				***		***	***	***					***	
ALI	3		and Khan Muhammad	Niwaz Kła	a.				1 0 10				2,72,500						
	-		Kalabagh Killa Tara Singh (Nau	Nibal Sinal	. "	605	2,40,041	13	1,300	***						***			
омвач	{	42		it Singh)	'					***								***	-
			Shah Jiwana				- 11						14,900	979		1,014	782	427	23,2
			Khan Wali Dad Khan	**1	**	***	***	*				***	14,000			,,014	973		2,9
	(		Oah Kalan		***			***	•••			***		5,000		***			3,3
	il			***		***									140	-44			in.
LW.	}		Jalalpar Pirwal			1	120				500	***			***	***		**	***
			Sher Shah	***	**	98	900	***			***			***		***	5.000		***
	L		Shujabad				**				***				***	-		**	7,4
	1		Hajipa:		***	***	***					, ,					***		1,4
Greet Kr	TAN !		K. B. S. Din Mohamm S. Mangur Ahmad Kho		I.E	**			606		***				254	***	***		5,00
A A A A	1		S. Manzur Ahmad Khe Batil		2000	***				4	***		***		***	***	***		
	i		K. B. Mubamu ad Ja	al Klan,	M.L.C.	***	***		***			4,643							10,58
			A DESTRUCTION OF THE STATE OF T			***	***	***	***	***	***	4,043							

STATEMENT No. VI. ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1928.

		1					An	EA OF LAN	D HELD 1	N PROPRI	ETARY BIG	HTS.	eriod								- two	1	
	1						On ass	sumption o	f charge.		On release	se.	t during peric		ASSUMPTION		JAGIR	As	SETS, OTHER ON	THAN V		AGIR	
District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release,	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	Total ares.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total aren,	Cultivated srea.	Land revenue assessment.	Expenditure on permanent improvemen of charge.	Value of land.	Value of konse property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	<u> </u>	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	ΙĀ
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres,	Acres.	Re.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Re.	Bs.	Ra,	
Gurgaon	Doha	M. Akram Ullah Khan, &c.	30th July 1923.	1st May 1928.	1,53,000	2,08,542	2,341	1,569	4,293	2,341	1,798	4,293		3,50,000	1,50,000	-	5,00,000	2,00,000	77,000		4,263	2,91,263	
Hoshiarpur	Amb	T. Lachbman Singb.	29th April 1919.	9th June 1928.			1,644	619	1,191	1,922	772	1,294	4,205	95,280	1,50,000	1.63,013	4,08,293	1,02,720	1,50,000	81,259	1,30,536	4,64,515	
Jullundur	Shahkot	S. Rajindar	20th May	1st June	7,818	2,150	833	303	1,255	333	303	1,255		80,960	5,008	1,585	87,545	1,00,400	5,000		6,647	1,12,047	
Gurdaspur	Rangar Nangal	Singh. S. Hari Singh S. Narain Singh	1919. 13th April	1928. 7th January	483		787	739	2,000	781	690	2,689	9,835	1,60,000	1,20,800	27,432	3,08,232	2,15,120	1,15,324		80,647	3,31,090	
Jhelum	Ahmadabad	R. Muhammad Hayat Khan deceased.	1908. 10th July 1914.	1928. 30th April 1928.	40,752		9,335	2,971	4,241	9,335	2,971	4,241	3,200	3,75,185	33,000	6,000	4,14,185	3,75,185	33,000		11,785	4,19,970	
Multan	Salarwal an		1918.	5th Janu- ary 1928.	64,573		5,554	3,658	9,269	5,554	3,658	11,530	27,186	5,09,599	26,198	46,332	5,82,129	5,55,400	33,145	12,700	23,710	6,27,955	

## STATEMENT No. VII.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE
BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED
AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES; AND THE VALUE OF
TOTAL CROP, IN DIFFERENT ESTATES, FOR THE YEAR
1927-28.

1927-2	8.	ROF, IN DIE				-		
			grain 15 of ).	d cesses statement	revenue		ri.	lef.
			sales of 14 and No. 111)	# J.	land		crops	n bri
District.		Name of estate.	sales 14 au t No. 1	13		tai.	otal	ons i
			mts and (columns statement	revenue amn 13 c IV).	reentage of to rents, etc.	of by	of t	anati
			Rents and (columns statemen	Land reve (column No. IV).	Percentage of to rents, etc.	Rate of batai	Value of total crops.	Explanations in brief
		2	8	4	5 .	- <del>6</del>	7	- 8
1			-	-				
		P. Maria	Re.	Rs.	20.1			
	1	Fariukhnagar	9,719	3,105 4,830	49.7			
GURGAON	-1	Doha	2,040					
	(	Sidhowal	26,010	6,996	26.9			
KARNAL .		Arnauli	5,920	911	15.4			
LARSAU	-	Jharauli	3,077	396	12.9			
	1	Raipur	17,877	5,509	28-39		***	
	1	Buria	18,525	13,116	45.40			
AMBALA	- 3	Sobana	235	€65	87*65			
		Manauli	1,974					
	·	Ramgarh						
	1	Lambagraon	47	12	25.53			
KANGBA	}	Guler	11,866	4,528	38-16			
	1	Lahaul	6,768	132	1.95			
	U	Rupi	8,268	£83	8-26			
		Amb Bhabaur	7,943	2,850	35.9	1	15,886	
HOSHIARPUR	- 1	Annalana	6,784	1,016	9.53	1 1 -	13,568	
Teremento		CL-LI-A	17,785	1,691	1.25	and a		
ULLUNDUR		Per Khurd	3,999	502 4.216	59-13			
, vania.		Mamdet	7,130 2,73,174	45,056	16.4			
	il	Behak	61,329	3,619	5.9		1,124	
BROZEPOBE	4	Shamsher Ali Khan		27	***			
		Buttar	6,714	845	12.5	å and å	80,553	
	1	Malout	5,.07	1,611	177	tana and Hag	28,356	
						Malkana.		
	1	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din	45,783	4,442	97	2.2.4	551	
LAHOBB	{	Rani Chhaunian	31,610	8,276	26 17			
	1	S. Kesri Singh	14,798	3,997	21.26			
	l	F. S. Najum-ud-Din	7,500	999	13.32	***	***	
AMRITSAR	}	Attari Nowshera Naugli	9,518	1,310	13.76	Nil	12,000	
GURDASPUR		Rangar Nangal	19,421	1,116	15.48	half	9,780	
SHEIKHUPURA		Chak Haidarabad and	2,757	1,509	8.2		8,559	
		Sayadwala.	20,191	4,04%			0,000	
SHAHPUR	}	Mitha Tiwana	14,722	1,578	10.7	d and a	30,671	
	(	Tarkhanwala	19,891	2,338	12-1	1	88,782	
JHELUM	{	Ahmadabad	1,090	758	72.3	1	1,061	
ATTOCK	-	Ghugh Dharek	4,959	1,654	33-35	1	5,862	
		Khan Muhammad	13,809	2,816	16.4	and a	9,955	100
MIANWALI	}	Abdul Raham Khan and Khan Muham-	72,144	11,840	109	t, t, 10 and 36	1,00,480	
	-	mad Niwaz Khan Kalabagh	76,498	10,678	13.9	1, 1, 1, and 2	1,29,937	
	(	Killa Tara Singh	15,472	2,986	19:3	1, 1 and 1	15,202	
MONTGOMERY	}	(Nau Nihal Singh).				1 5		
	1	Killa Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	3,377	653	19-42	and 1	3,377	1
JRANG	5	Shah Jiwana	65,676	12,636	19-37	hand h	69,339	
VALUE	_ 5	Khan Wali Dad Khan.	3,649	103	8 24	1	538	
	1	Dab Kalan	55,728	9,856	27-75	1,1	42,724	
		Salarwahan	1,283	4	-31		***	
MULTAN	4	Jalalpur Pirwala	30,124	7,278	23-2	1	28,850	
		Sher Shah		7,753	34.4	1	22,598	1
	1	Shojabad	9,990	3,246	1	1	9,571	1
		Hajipur		719	1			
		K. B. S. Din Muhamm d Khan,		3,402	17.2	1. 1. 1. 1	13,107	
		C. L. E.					1	
D. G. KHAN	1	Khan Qasrani,	7,350	166	2.2	***		
		Batil	5,2%3	1,205	22-8	8. 1. 1	5,283	
	i	K. B. Nawab Muhan mad Jamal Khan,	67,769	18,328	27-0	1. 1. 1. 1	77,029	-
	1	M. L. C.	1		100	1	1	1

