

REPORT

E 54

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB

*For the year ending 30th September 1929.*

12800



Lahore :

Printed by the Superintendent, Government Printing, Punjab.

1930.

Price : Re. 1-12-0 or 2s. 8d.

REPORT

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

COURT OF WINDS

1898

**REPORT**  
ON THE  
**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES**  
UNDER THE  
**COURT OF WARDS**  
IN THE  
**PUNJAB**

*For the year ending 30th September 1929.*



**Lahore :**

Printed by the Superintendent, Government Printing, Punjab.

1930.

## Revised List of Agents for the sale of Punjab Government Publications.

### ON THE CONTINENT AND UNITED KINGDOM.

Publications obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, at India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2, or through any book-sellers :—

### IN INDIA.

The GENERAL MANAGER, "The Qaumi Daler" and Union Press, Amritsar.

Messrs. D. B. TARAPOREVALA, Sons & Co., Bombay.

The MANAGING PARTNER, The Bombay Book Depot, Booksellers and Publishers, Girgaon, Bombay.

Messrs. W. NEWMAN & Co., Limited, Calcutta.

The PROPRIETOR, The Book Company, Calcutta.

Messrs. THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta.

Messrs. CHATTERJI & Co., Booksellers, 204, Cornwallis Street, Calcutta.

Messrs. RAMA KRISHNA & SONS, Lahore.

The SECRETARY, Punjab Religious Book Society, Lahore.

The MANAGER, Standard Book Depot, Lahore.

MANAGER, University Book Agency, Lahore.

L. RAM LAL SURI, Proprietor, "The Students Own Agency," Lahore.

L. DEWAN CHAND, Proprietor, The Mercantile Press, Lahore.

The MANAGER, Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.

The PROPRIETOR, Punjab Law Book Mart, Lahore.

MR. D. PESTONJI, Stockists Representative, Lahore.

The MANAGING PROPRIETOR, The Commercial Book Company, Lahore.

R. S. JAURA, Esq., B.A., B.T., The Students Popular Depot, Anarkali, Lahore.

The PROPRIETOR, Aftab Punjab General Law Book Agency, Lahore.

MANAGER, Oxford Book and Stationery Co., The Mall, Lahore.

The PROPRIETOR, City Book Co., Post Box No. 283, Madras.

The MANAGER, The New Book Depot, No. 79, The Mall, Simla.

Messrs. THACKER, SPINK & Co., Simla.

## Review of the Annual Report on the Administration of Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1929.

*Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council,  
No. 4282-D., dated the 6th December 1930.*

THE number of estates increased by two during the year under review and now stands at fifty, the total income of which is just over twenty lakhs of rupees. The total ordinary expenditure amounted to sixteen lakhs. While the income from cash rents showed a decrease of Rs. 43,000 to Rs. 6,39,245, that from *batai* rents increased from Rs. 5,11,000 to Rs. 5,81,000. The cultivated area at the end of the year under the charge of the Court of Wards stood at nearly two lakhs acres, of which nearly one-third belongs to the Mamdot Estate. The average rent, therefore, amounts to just over six rupees per cultivated acre.

2. The total assets of the estates are estimated at nearly 5,60 lakhs, but this, so far as it concerns landed and house property, is largely fictitious as can be seen from a study of past reports which show little or no variation, in regard to specific estates, under these two headings. The average estimated value of the cultivated area of all the estates works out at just over Rs. 150 per acre, a figure which is probably a closer approximation to the prices of to-day than to those of last year.

3. As compared with Rs. 25 lakhs invested in loans, advances and securities no less than Rs. 10 $\frac{3}{4}$  lakhs were lying idle in the Treasuries and banks. This cannot be regarded as satisfactory and more attention should be paid to the profitable investment of assets immediately they accrue. The Governor in Council trusts that suitable action will be taken by the Financial Commissioner to stop this defect in administration, notice of which has become an annual feature of the report.

4. The rise in the amount of rent in arrears is a disquieting feature of the report, and the Governor in Council is disposed to think that this points rather to

inefficiency in administration and lack of control than to the inability of the tenants to pay rents which, as is well-known, are usually fixed at a very moderate figure. The attention of Deputy Commissioners and also of Commissioners should be drawn to the necessity of periodical inspections, and the Financial Commissioner should take steps to ensure that the managers who are appointed are of the type prescribed by the Standing Orders.

5. The interest taken in the improvement and agricultural developments of the estates depends very largely on their size and wealth, but the Governor in Council feels that even the smallest and most impoverished should be able to adopt improved methods of agriculture, by the assistance of which their return to solvency would be accelerated.

By order of the Governor in Council,  
J. W. HEARN,  
*Secretary to Government, Punjab,  
Revenue Department.*

**REPORT**  
ON THE  
**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES**  
UNDER THE  
**COURT OF WARDS**  
IN THE  
**PUNJAB**

*For the year ending with the 30th September 1929.*

1. *Estates under management and those released and taken over.*—The previous year closed with 48 estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, during the year under report the estates of Amb (Hoshiarpur), Kartarpur (Jullundur), the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Buta Singh (Rawalpindi) and Bahtar Attock) were taken over. The estates of Guler (Kangra) and Killa Tara Singh (Montgomery) were released. Consequently 50 estates remained under superintendence at the end of the year under report.

*The Amb Estate* was taken again under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, owing to the extravagance of the ward, so far as his property was concerned. His person was not taken under superintendence, the persons of the three younger brothers, who are entitled to maintenance only, have never been released as yet from superintendence.

*The Kartarpur Estate* was taken under control owing to the death of Guru Sardul Singh of Kartarpur.

*The late Rai Bahadur Buta Singh's Estate* is still in the preliminary stages of superintendence. The full extent of the assets and liabilities is yet being explored.

*The Bahtar Estate* was taken over on account of the death of Sardar Dost Mohammad Khan in order to protect the interests of his minor sons.

*The Guler Estate* had been under the superintendence of the Court of Wards since 1899. Within this period debts amounting to Rs. 4,26,000 have been cleared off. The income of the estate at the time of assumption of charge was under Rs. 25,000 while at the time of release it was Rs. 32,773.

*The Killa Tara Singh Estate* was taken over in 1916 without any liabilities, and its assets (other than the value of the jagir) were valued at Rs. 1,36,557. At the time of release the estate had no liabilities and its assets (other than the jagir) had risen in value to Rs. 2,59,238.

2. *Income and expenditure.*—The total ordinary income remained at about 20 lakhs, the increase in jagir and from sale of grain being offset by a decrease under cash rents.

The total gross income was Rs. 23,30,286 as against Rs. 26,69,247 last year. The decrease is due to there having been no such large sales of land or securities as inflated the figures for last year.

The total ordinary expenditure declined from Rs. 16,57,033 to Rs. 15,97,888, mainly due to a decrease of Rs. 73,181 in the personal expenses of the wards, including the cost of education.

The gross expenditure also declined to Rs. 22,03,293 as compared with Rs. 26,76,684 in the last year. The decrease of Rs. 4,73,391 is due to the absence of any large purchases of land and securities or of large loans.

The total ordinary income in all the estates was as follows :—

	Rs.
Jagir ... ..	4,84,610
Cash rents ... ..	6,39,245
Sale of grain ... ..	5,81,202
Other income ... ..	3,12,868
Total	20,17,425

It will thus be seen that the ordinary income amounted to about 3½ per cent. of the total assets and that



nearly a quarter of the income came from State endowments of the families concerned. Batai rents were taken only to a slightly smaller extent than cash rents. In 1924-25 the comparative figures were Rs. 7,19,802 to Rs. 4,99,045. Officers-in-charge have found it easier to collect batai than cash rents in days of falling prices. The percentage of land revenue to the income from agricultural land shows remarkable fluctuations ranging from—

1·2	...	in the Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala Estate (Sheikhupura District),
1·3	...	in S. Manzur Ahmad Khan Estate (Dera Ghazi Khan District),
1·8	...	in the Kartarpur Estate (Jullundur District),
2·3	...	in the Lahaul Estate (Kangra District),
3·0	...	in the Mitha Tiwana Estate (Shahpur District),
4·7	...	in the Kalabagh Estate (Mianwali District),
		and
5·2	...	in the Malaut Estate (Ferozepore District),
		to—
40·2	...	in the Amb Estate (Hoshiarpur District),
43·9	...	in the Ghugh Estate (Jhelum District),
58·6	...	in the Ber Khurd Estate (Ludhiana District),
76·7	...	in the Sohana Estate (Ambala District),
		and
92·2	...	in the Guler Estate (Kangra District).

The average percentage was 18·0

These figures are affected to some extent by delay in making payments; but it will be found by a comparison with the figures for previous years that most of the estates mentioned above usually have similar percentage. In the case of the Behk estate, the Deputy Commissioner's report shows that the high percentage is due to past mismanagement, and to the cutting of irrigation channels by neighbouring villages. The latter is a

state of affairs which can easily be remedied by the location of additional police posts in the offending villages.

The average percentage is fairly high, more especially in view of the fact that land revenue in the above calculations is not shown as paid in cases where the ward holds proprietary land in jagir.

3. *Assets and Liabilities.*—Investments were comparatively few this year. Plots of land worth Rs. 26,534, Rs. 90,596 and Rs. 15,210 were purchased by the Tarkhanwala, Kalabagh and Khan Bahadur Mohammad Jamal Khan's estates, respectively. Loans secured on other immoveable property to the extent of Rs. 15,000, Rs. 40,000, Rs. 8,000 and Rs. 38,000 were made by the estates of Mamdot, Mitha Tiwana, Tarkhanwala and Kalabagh.

The Financial Commissioner notices that cash in treasuries has again risen from Rs. 7,39,235 to Rs. 9,72,903 in the year under report. The balances in the cases of the Sidhowal, Manauli, Mamdot, Behk, M. Iftikhar-ud-Din's and Dharek estates call for the attention of the officers concerned. This state of affairs is still more marked in the case of the estates of Rupi, Amb, Buttar, Nowshera Nangli, Khan Abdur Rahman Khan and Mohd. Nawaz Khan of Mianwali, Shah Jiwana and Hajipur. The balances in the treasuries in these estates are in excess of their ordinary annual income; but the reasons for omitting to invest these balances are not given.

4. *Reduction of Debts.*—Debts to the extent of Rs. 2,35,458 were repaid as compared with Rs. 1,73,927 last year. The officers in charge of the Rampura, Jharauli, Tarkhanwala and Dharek estates deserve credit for having wiped off the estate debts in full. The balance on account of loans still due to other persons increased from Rs. 12,54,427 to Rs. 29,20,700, chiefly on account of the taking over of the estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Boota Singh of Rawalpindi with debts totalling Rs. 16,17,419. The amounts of outstanding loans due to Government decreased from Rs. 1,64,785 to Rs. 1,31,623. Other sums due to other persons decreased from Rs. 2,29,217 to Rs. 8,244. The decrease

is due to the fact that the Sohana, Manauli and Ramgarh estates in Ambala district, F. S. Najamud-Din's Estate in Lahore district, and Tarkhanwala in Shahpur district have managed to clear off Rs. 62,875, Rs. 70,000, Rs. 61,777, Rs. 7,500 and Rs. 24,344, respectively. A sum of Rs. 10,000 was borrowed by the Arnauli Estate to defray the expenses of the betrothal ceremony of Tikka Subhsheer Singh; this sum has since been repaid. For the liquidation of the debts of the Manauli estate a loan of a lakh of rupees has been raised through the father-in-law of the ward. The first instalment of Rs. 50,000 was received during the year under report from Sardar Sahib Sobha Singh of Delhi, and the second instalment was received in October 1929 after the close of the year reported on.

5. *Arrears of rent.*—A hope was expressed in the last year's report that the wheat crop which promised to be good would enable the managers of estates to reduce the outstanding arrears of rent to a very considerable extent, but figures for the year under report show that on the contrary, the recoverable arrears of rent have considerably increased from Rs. 3,51,620 to Rs. 4,33,216. The list of arrears is heavy in the estates of Sidhowal, Buria, Manauli, Anandpur, Mamdot, Behk. Rani Chhaunian, Kesri Singh and Attari. In the estates of Manauli, Anandpur, Ravi Chhaunian, Kesti Singh and Attari legal proceedings have been taken against the defaulters. In the Behk Estate in Ferozepur district the system of *batai* has been substituted for cash rents to avoid trouble in future.

A comparative study of the reports for the last five years shows that the arrears of rent have gradually risen from Rs. 1,66,401 in 1924-25 to Rs. 4,33,216 in this year. They amounted to Rs. 1,91,590 in 1925-26, Rs. 2,57,646 in 1926-27 and Rs. 3,51,620 in the last year. It is perhaps natural that, in years of falling prices, the system of cash rents, which had been introduced on a large scale in times of higher prices, should have proved a burden on the tenants so as to make it difficult for them to pay up. But the Financial Commissioner fears that this disquieting increase in outstandings points also to inefficiency in administration and lack of control. Deputy Commissioners have presumably been

Khan of Choti much attention has been paid to agricultural improvements. The tenants of both the estates are typical Bilochis, ignorant, improvident and conservative. They care more for their cattle than for their crops. They do not know even the value of manure, which lies in heaps in their houses and is ultimately burnt. Their interest in cultivation does not carry them beyond perfunctorily ploughing the land and scattering the seed broad-cast. They work a well only when they cannot find *Nahri* or *Barani* lands to cultivate. The use of improved agricultural implements is out of the question. The Leghari Chief purchased two Meston ploughs which are still lying in his garden at Dera Ghazi Khan. The only reform that can be undertaken is the supply of better seed. 710 maunds of wheat P. 8-A at a cost of Rs. 4,171 were purchased from the Agricultural Department and distributed among the tenants.

8. *Miscellaneous*.—Rs. 18,000 was spent by the Arnauli Estate on the betrothal ceremony of Tika Subsher Singh.

Rs. 693 was spent in connection with the birthday and other domestic ceremonies of Rai Bhagwant Singh of Rupri (Kangra).

Rs. 4,000 was spent by the Lahaul Estate (Kangra) on the marriage ceremonies of Thakur Partap Chand and his sister.

The marriage of Tika Lachhman Singh of the Amb Estate was celebrated at a cost of Rs. 19,858.

Rs. 12,500 was spent by the Anandpur Estate on the marriage of Sodhi Harbhajan Singh.

The Kartarpur Estate spent Rs. 2,371 on the death rites of the late Guru Sardul Singh.

Rs. 1,000 was paid to M. Ayub Khan, one of the wards of the Ghugh Estate (Jhelum), for marriage expenses.

The consolidation of holdings in the Ber Khurd Estate is progressing satisfactorily, and striking results have been obtained. Co-operative societies have been started at Ber Khurd and Kuhli Kalan. A scheme for planting *shisham* trees in the *bir* has been taken in hand and about 80,000 *shisham* trees will be planted during the next 2 or 3 years.

Though the late Nawab of Mamdot died nearly two years ago, no decision has yet been arrived at regarding the succession. This has materially hampered the working of the Court of Wards and has very considerably added to the difficulties of management, which in an estate of this size are always serious. The Estate affords an excellent field for the activities of the beneficent departments, but nothing can unfortunately be done to prosecute these activities, owing to uncertainty regarding the succession.

The Sarfraz Khan Canal, which is the chief source of income of the Isakhel Estate, is being properly attended to and necessary steps are being taken for its silt clearance and requisite repairs.

The Kalabagh Estate suffered a loss of Rs. 54,088 by the flood in the Indus which carried away three bungalows at Kalabagh and destroyed a large portion of the *Chahi* area along with their wells in use. The lands thus damaged are being properly attended to and a great portion thereof has been brought under cultivation.

In the last year's report a mention was made in regard to the trial of the late manager of the Malout Estate who was responsible for serious defalcations. During the year under report he was sentenced to 2½ years' simple imprisonment and fines amounting to Rs. 220. In two cases he was acquitted on appeal and his sentences now stand at one year's simple imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 170. A revision application in regard to these two convictions is now pending in the High Court.

The Anandpur Estate is a respondent in a Privy Council appeal, and has also to defend a claim preferred by the Shiromani Gurdwara Parbhandak Committee under the Sikh Gurdwaras Act, to almost the whole of the Amritsar property.

9. *Education and training.*—M. Iftikhar-ud-Din of Bagbhanpura (Lahore) continues studies at Balliol College, Oxford. During the summer vacation he came back to see his mother.

Malik Amir Mohammad Nawaz Khan of Kalabagn (Mianwali) remained in England under the guardianship of Lieutenant-Colonel R. W. E. Knollys, I. A., late of the Punjab Commission. He is progressing well both mentally and physically, and is reported to be taking a keen interest in his studies.

M. Zain-ud-Did Hyder, one of the grandsons of the ward of Farrukhnagar Estate (Gurgaon), was withdrawn from the Aitchison College, Lahore, as the estate could no longer afford to meet his expenses at that institution. He is now reading in the Government High School, at Rewari.

Amir Abdullah Khan of Tarkhanwala (Shahpur) was successful in his annual examination held in April 1929. He will appear in the final examination of the Diploma Class in April 1930. After that he will probably be sent to the Agricultural College, Lyallpur, for a year or two, which will help him later on in managing his estate.

Syed Abid Hussain Shah of Shahjiwana (Jhang) stood second in Form V at the Aitchison College, Lahore.

Kanwar Shiv Dev Singh of the Amb Estate (Hoshiarpur) is reading for the B.A. degree in the Government College, Lahore.

Tika Savitra Chand of the Bhabaur Estate (Hoshiarpur) continued to act as assistant manager of the estate.

K. Harcharan Singh, son of S. Kesri Singh of Lahore, has carried on satisfactorily the duties of Manager.

Tika Fatehjang Singh, the elder ward of Sidhowal (Karnal), was allowed to watch the trial of cases in a criminal court, and to see the transaction of land revenue business with a view to managing his own private affairs later.

M. Mohammad Sharif Khan, of Chak Haidarabad, and Sayadwala (Sheikhupura) is 25 years of age. He left the school in 1925, and is now taking a hand in the management of the estate.

Malik Sher Mohammad Khan, son of the ward of Mitha Tiwana estate (Shahpur), has been appointed assistant manager of the estate, but it is reported that he has not yet acquired the progressive and constructive outlook of a beneficent landlord.

10. *Notice of Officers.*—Nawabzada Ahsan Ullah Khan, General Manager of the Court of Wards Estates in Karnal district, has continued to work diligently and conscientiously.

The services of Sheikh Nazir Ahmad, the Manager of Mamdot Estate, were recognised by the conferment on him of the title of Khan Sahib. His intimate knowledge of the work of the estate has always been of great assistance to the local officers.

M. Sher Muhammad, Manager of the Isakhel Estate, deserves credit for saving the granaries containing grain and cotton worth Rs. 50,000 from being destroyed by the unprecedented floods in the river Jhelum.

L. Parma Nand, retired Extra Assistant Commissioner, General Manager of Court of Wards Estates in Multan district, did much to rectify the irregularities which had previously existed in the working of these estates.

The Financial Commissioner, Development, wishes to place on record his appreciation of the efforts made by district officers, in spite of heavy responsibilities and added distractions, to improve the administration of estates under their charge. Inquiry was recently directed into the cost of management, which in some cases appeared to be high, and economies have been effected wherever possible. The selection of suitable managers present difficulties inasmuch as there is no permanent cadre of trained men experienced in this kind of work; the result is that Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners have to make the best of the material available and are apt to fall back on the district revenue staff. The Financial Commissioner would like to see trials given to officers of the Agricultural Department where the circumstances offer scope for improvements in cultivation, etc., but obviously the main—if not the sole—interest in the appointment of managers must be the good of the estate.











## STATEMENT No. V.

## IMPORTANT TRANSACTIONS (IF ANY) OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1929.

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	LAND PURCHASED.		LAND SOLD.		VALUE OF HOUSE PROPERTY.		VALUE OF SECURITIES OF GOVERNMENT OR LOCAL BODIES.		VALUE OF LOANS MADE		VALUE OF LOANS RECEIVED FROM			Debts of estates paid off.	Serial No.
			Area.	Value.	Area.	Value.	Purchased.	Sold.	Purchased.	Sold.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons on security of immoveable property.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
GURGAON	1	Farrukhnagar	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	395	1
	2	Rampura	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	39,293	2
KARNAL	3	Sidhowal	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3
	4	Arnauli	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,000	10,250	4
	5	Jharauli	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	76	5
AMBALA	6	Raipur	..	900	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,000	6
	7	Buria	..	..	..	49	..	..	..	..	6,000	..	..	..	..	..	7
	8	Sohana	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,549	8
	9	Manauli	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,000	50,000	12,138	9
KANGRA	10	Rangarh	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,000	..	1,041	10
	11	Lambagraon	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	300	11
HOSHIARPUR	12	Guler	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	12
	13	Lahaul	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	13
	14	Rupi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	14
JULLUNDUR	15	Amb	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	15
	16	Bhabaur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,266	16
LUDHIANA	17	Anandpur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,476	..	..	..	..	..	..	17
	18	Kartarpur	..	..	..	4,536	..	200	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,000	18
FEROZEPUR	19	Ber Khurd	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,550	19
	20	Mamdot	..	16 Ghumaons	..	1,574	..	..	..	..	..	15,000	..	..	..	..	20
	21	Behak	..	..	..	15	7,967	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	21
	22	Shamsher Ali Khan	..	..	..	..	2,228	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	22
	23	Buttar	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	11,270	23
LAHORE	24	Malout	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,840	24
	25	M. Iftikhar-ud-Din	..	..	..	..	113	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	25
	26	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,000	26
	27	Rani Chhaunian	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	27
AMRITSAR	28	S. Kesari Singh	..	..	..	..	2,500	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	28
	29	Nowshera Nangli	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	29
SHEIKHUPURA	30	Attari	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	30
	31	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	31
SHAHPUR	32	Mitha Tiwana	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	40,000	..	..	..	750	32
	33	Tarkhanwala	..	..	..	26,534	..	150	..	..	..	8,000	..	..	..	..	33
JHELUM	34	Ghugh	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	34
RAWALPINDI	35	Estate of the heirs of the late R. B. S. Buta Singh.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	35
ATTOCK	36	Dhatr	..	2	..	1,045	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	36
	37	Bahtar	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,039	37
MIANWALI	38	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	19,936	38
	39	Kalabagh	..	200	..	90,596	..	..	1,000	57,000	..	38,000	..	..	..	17,056	39
MONTGOMERY	40	Kila Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh)	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	40
	41	Ditto (Gurdit Singh)	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	41
JHANG	42	Shah Jiwana	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,000	..	..	775	650	336	26,349	42
	43	K. Wali Dad Khan, Sayal	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,476	43
MULTAN	44	Dab Kalan	..	24	..	1,504	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	209	44
	45	Jalalpur Pirwala	..	3	..	613	1	64	..	1,017	..	..	..	..	..	..	45
	46	Sher Shah	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	46
	47	Shujabad	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	700	..	..	..	..	..	4,510	47
DERA GHAZI KHAN	48	Hajipur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	9,821	48
	49	K. B. Sardar Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E., Leghari.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	49
	50	S. Manzur Ahmad Khan	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	50
	51	Batil	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	51
	52	K. B. N. Muhammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C.	..	60	..	15,210	..	..	..	3,075	..	..	..	..	..	38,324	52
Total			..	289 acres & 16 Ghumaons	16	1,37,976	2,650	1,917	10,075	77,476	6,000	1,01,000	775	6,650	60,336	2,35,458	

## STATEMENT No. VI.

ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1929.

District.	Name of Estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHT.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge.	ASSETS, OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON RELEASE.				
							On assumption of charge.			On release.				Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.
							Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Langra ..	Guler ..	Raja Baldev Singh.	18th December 1909.	1st April 1929.	4,26,692	..	16,719	8,642	2,519	16,719	8,645	3,167	..	2,30,600	1,25,000	..	3,55,600	2,53,360	1,25,000	..	..	3,78,360
Montgomery	Kila Tara Singh.	Nau Nihal Singh.	14th August 1916.	1st December 1928.	..	..	2,383	1,310	1,334	2,358	1,960	2,201	3,093	1,06,720	11,200	18,637	1,36,557	1,76,080	14,000	36,200	32,958	2,59,238

## STATEMENT No. VII.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES, AND THE OTHER VALUE OF TOTAL CROPS IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR THE YEAR 1928-29.

District.	Name of Estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 15 of statement No. III).	Land revenue and cesses (column 13 of statement No. IV).	Percentage of land revenue to rents, &c.	Rate of bctal.	Value of total crops.	Explanation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	
GURGAON	Farrukhnagar ..	10,713	880	8.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	148	
	Rampura ..	3,735	..	..	..	..	
KARNAL	Sidhowal ..	34,993	6,253	17.8	..	..	
	Arnsuli ..	4,874	855	19.1	..	..	
AMBALA	Jharauli ..	1,436	444	30.9	..	..	
	Raipur ..	18,022	5,885	30.1	..	..	
	Buria ..	7,930	6,393	35.5	..	..	
	Sohana ..	832	*1,342	76.7	..	..	*Includes Rs. 704 on account of commutation and haq-ul-tahsil.
	Manauli ..	21,819	13,797	30.0	..	..	Rates not collected in time, hence percentage is high.
	Ramgarh ..	3,370	1,727	36.6	..	..	
KANGRA	Lambagraon ..	86	12	13.9	..	..	
	Guler ..	2,455	2,264	92.2	Fixed rent in cash.	..	
	Lahaul ..	5,796	132	2.3	..	..	
HOSHIANPUR	Rupi ..	6,331	678	10.7	..	..	
	Amb ..	7,039	2,852	40.5	$\frac{1}{2}$	14,078	
	Bhabaur ..	6,216	1,016	16.3	$\frac{1}{2}$	12,432	
JULLUNDUR	Anandpur ..	22,582	3,763	16.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	31,180	
	Kartarpur ..	31,859	5,770	1.8	$\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{2}{5}$	1,249	
LUDHIANA	Ber Khurd ..	7,183	4,211	58.6	..	..	
	Mamdot ..	2,36,034	45,815	19.4	..	..	
FEROZEPUR	Behak ..	24,566	3,764	15.3	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{5}$	63,164	
	Shamsher Ali Khan ..	..	..	..	..	..	
	Buttar ..	5,770	875	15.2	$\frac{1}{5}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$	18,030	
	Malout ..	14,604	772	5.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	43,812	
LAHORE	M. Ittikhar-ud-Din ..	44,578	4,963	11.1	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{2}{5}$	823	
	F. S. Najam-ud-Din ..	7,530	595	9.2	..	..	
	Rani Chhaunian ..	57,419	9,740	17.0	..	..	
AMRITSAR	S. Kesari Singh ..	22,965	3,992	17.4	..	..	
	Naushera Nangli ..	8,126	1,783	21.9	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$	9,517	
SHEIKHUPURA	Attari ..	500	1,044	..	..	12,000	
	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala.	13,584	169	1.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	774	
SHAHPUR	Mitha Tiwana ..	45,388	1,370	3.0	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{2}{5}$	90,776	
	Tarkhanwala ..	14,220	3,360	23.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	28,440	
JHELUM	Ghugh ..	3,764	1,654	43.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	7,485	
RAWALPINDI	Heirs of the late R. B. S. Boota Singh.	2,862	377	17.4	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ with seed.	..	
	Dharek ..	17,905	3,043	16.9	$\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{2}{5}$	18,012	
ATTOCK	Bahtar ..	9,262	2,981	32.2	..	..	
	Khan Muhammad Abdul Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	63,250	9,452	14.1	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$	1,17,623	
MIANWALI	Kalabagh ..	1,16,521	5,417	4.7	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{2}{5}$	1,67,104	
	Kila Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh).	..	..	..	..	..	
MONTGOMERY	Kila Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	..	..	..	..	..	
	Shah Jiwana ..	73,393	16,199	22.1	$\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$	86,229	
JIHANG	Khan Wali Dad Khan, Sayal.	3,264	428	13.11	$\frac{1}{2}$	4,747	
	Dab Kalan ..	48,390	10,422	21.5	$\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$	42,220	
MULTAN	Jalalpur Pirwala ..	25,638	7,388	28.8	..	28,396	
	Sher Shah ..	33,188	9,566	28.8	..	25,585	
	Shujabad ..	15,317	4,980	32.5	$\frac{1}{2}$	14,897	
	Hajipur ..	2,830	660	23.3	..	..	
	K. B. Sardar Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E., Leghari.	15,554	3,357	21.6	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ & $\frac{1}{5}$	17,274	
DERA GHAAZI KHAN.	S. Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qasrani Batil ..	5,925	80	1.3	..	..	
	Qasrani Batil ..	3,544	1,047	29.5	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{3}{5}$ & $\frac{7}{16}$ .	3,850	
	K. B. M. Muhammad Jamal Khan, M.L.C.	93,330	17,568	18.8	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{5}$	98,639	
	Total ..	12,24,095	2,30,135	18.8	..	..	

