

B. R. R. NO 242 of 1934.

REPORT

E 54

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB.

For the year ending 30th September 1933.



12813

Lahore :

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB.

1934.

Price : Rs. 3 or 4s. 6d.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

UNDER THE

COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

PUNJAB.

For the year ending 30th September 1933.



Lahore :

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB.

1934.

Revised List of Agents for the Sale of Punjab Government Publications.

ON THE CONTINENT AND UNITED KINGDOM.

Publications obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, at India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2, or through any bookseller :—

IN INDIA.

- The MANAGER, "The Qaumi Daler" and the Union Press, Amritsar.
The MANAGER, The Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.
The MANAGING PROPRIETOR, The Commercial Book Company, Brandreth Road, Lahore.
Messrs. RAMA KRISHNA & SONS, Anarkali, Lahore.
The HONY. SECRETARY, Punjab Religious Book Society, Lahore.
L. RAM LAL SURI, Proprietor, "The Students' Own Agency," Anarkali, Lahore.
The PROPRIETOR, Punjab Law Book Mart, Mohan Lal Road, Lahore.
L. DEWAN CHAND, Proprietor, The Mercantile Press, Nisbet Road, Lahore.
R. S. JAURA, Esq., B.A., B.T., The Students' Popular Depôt, Kacheri Road, Lahore.
Messrs. GOPAL SINGH SURI & Co., Law Booksellers and Binders, Lahore.
The MANAGER, University Book Agency, Kacheri Road, Lahore.
The PROPRIETOR, City Book Co., Post Box No. 283, Madras.
The PROPRIETOR, The Book Company, Ltd., College Square, Calcutta.
The MANAGER, Standard Book Depôt, The Mall, Lahore.
The PROPRIETOR, Aftab Punjab General Law Book Agency, near Old City Police Station, Lahore.
The MANAGING PARTNER, The Bombay Book Depôt, Girgaon, Bombay.
Messrs. CHATTERJI & Co., Booksellers, 3, Bacharam Chatterji Lane, Post Office Hatkhola, Calcutta.
The MANAGER, The Oxford Book and Stationery Co., The Mall, Lahore.
Messrs. THACKER, SPINK & Co., Ltd., P. O. Box No. 54, Calcutta.
Messrs. D. B. TARAPOREWALA SONS & Co., "Kitab Mahal," 190, Hornby Road, Fort, Bombay.
Messrs. W. NEWMAN & Co., Ltd., 3, Old Court House Street, Post Box No. 76, Calcutta.
The MANAGER, The New Book Depôt, No. 79, The Mall, Simla.
The MANAGER, The English Book Depôt, Taj Road, Agra.
Messrs. R. CAMBRAY & Co., 11-A, Holdar Lane, Bowbazar, P. O. Calcutta.
Messrs. DASS BROTHERS, Booksellers and Publishers, Anarkali, Lahore.
M. FERROZ-UD-DIN & Sons, Government Printers and Booksellers, opposite Tonga Stand, Lohari Gate, Lahore.
Messrs. B. PARIKH & Co., Booksellers and Publishers, Narsinhji Pole, Baroda.
MR. H. D. LALL BIR, B. Com., Krishna Nagar, Lahore. (For Law publications only.)

**Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council, No. 2489-R.,
dated 4th July 1934.**

READ :—

The Annual Report on the Administration of Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year ending 30th September 1933.

Remarks.—There are now 63 estates under the Court of Wards, an increase of two over last year's figure : 9 were released during the year and 11 were taken under superintendence.

2. Of the 63 estates, the greatest number, 19, is in Multan division, the least, 8, in Lahore division. The object of the Court of Wards in the case of 33 estates is to safeguard the interests of minors, females or persons with physical or mental defects : 21 have been taken over on the application of the landholder with the object of liquidating debts, and 7 have been placed under the superintendence of the Court to prevent the owner dissipating his property.

3. Of the estates released, the following were so heavily encumbered that the Court of Wards could make no progress in the reduction of debt :—Shamgarh (Karnal), Rangpur and Paunta Malana (Muzaffargarh). On the other hand in the case of Sidhowal (Karnal), debt of over one lakh of rupees was converted into a balance of over Rs. 1½ lakhs before the estate was released ; similarly, at the time of release, financial improvement was shown in the case of Kalabagh (Mianwali), Ghugh (Jhelum), Dab Kalan (Jhang), and S. Kesri Singh (Lahore). Six estates were taken under superintendence to safeguard the interests of minors and 5 to liquidate debts.

4. In view of the fact that economic conditions showed no improvement throughout the year, income from cash rents and sale of grain fell considerably, but it is some satisfaction to note that the total income increased by Rs. 1½ lakhs and expenditure decreased by over 2 lakhs ; while recoverable arrears of rent fell by over Rs. 24,000 : on the other hand, however, total liabilities rose by over Rs. 1½ lakhs.

5. The attention of district officers has been increasingly directed to nursing Court of Wards estates through the period of depression. Care has to be exercised in the selection of tenants of colony lands of Court of Wards estates and in taking cash advances from them as a safeguard against possible default ; care is also taken against debts becoming time barred : in some districts the work of the estate managers is watched by one or other of the assistants to the Deputy Commissioner.

6. A large number of decrees has been passed against estates under superintendence. The attention of district officers has been drawn to the provisions of section 32 (1) of the Punjab Court of Wards Act 1903 : if the Deputy Commissioner invariably fixes dates for payment of debts, or the order in which they shall be paid, no suit by a creditor will lie against a Court of Wards estate six months after the notice to claimants has been published.

7. Considerable efforts were made to improve agricultural conditions and outturns. Selected varieties of wheat, cotton and sugarcane are being introduced. From the point of view of the agricultural, veterinary and other beneficent departments and what is known as rural reconstruction generally, no effort should be spared to make Court of Wards Estates a model to their neighbours.

8. The educational progress of the wards is generally satisfactory : Rai Savitra Chand of Bhabaur and Sardar Jaswant Singh of Ludhiana are well reported on as assistant managers. The report does not mention the education of female dependents, but it is understood that some are receiving education in Queen Mary's College. It is important that equal attention should be paid to the education of those wards and dependents who are unable to go to these more expensive institutions.

9. The Governor in Council notes with pleasure the names of officers and managers commended for good work, and desires to acknowledge the attention and care which has been directed by district officers

to the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards. In particular he desires to acknowledge the services of Messrs. Partab and Bradford in conducting particularly difficult operations to a successful close.

10. It has been above observed that 21 estates have been taken over on the request of the owners, because they cannot by their own efforts release them from debt, while 7 have been placed under superintendence of the Court by order of Government for similar reasons. The problem of the heavily encumbered estate is becoming one of increasing seriousness. In the case of 3 the Court of Wards has had to abandon the task as hopeless, and this was seriously contemplated in a fourth case. The burden thrown upon officers of Government from the Financial Commissioners downwards by the task of liquidating debts is one which Government cannot but regard with misgiving. Under the Act, while the estates of minors can be taken over by the Court of Wards of its own motion, it is necessary to show clear ground of public interest before the local Government can entertain applications from embarrassed landholders or their heirs. Government admit the public interest in the rehabilitation of families who have played an important part in the history of the Province, but, there is clearly a limit to the time of officers which can be occupied on matters of this nature, and public interest certainly demands that it should not be so occupied unless good grounds can be given for believing that the financial position of the estate which it is proposed to help, is not hopeless. It follows that applications for assistance of this nature if they are to be accepted must be made betimes and before the applicant's position is hopeless.

By order of the Governor in Council,

J. A. FERGUSON,

Offg. Financial Commissioner, Development, and
Secretary to Government, Punjab,
Development Department.

REPORT

on the

Administration of Estates

under the

Court of Wards

in the

PUNJAB

For the year ending 30th September, 1933.

1. Estates under management, and those released and taken over.—

The previous year closed with 61 estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards. Eleven more estates were taken under superintendence and the following 9 estates were released ; thus 63 estates (the maximum number on record) remained under superintendence at the end of the year under report.

Shamgarh.—The estate of Shamgarh in Karnal, which was taken over in 1930, was found to be heavily encumbered. The income was much below the expenditure and as there was no possibility of the Court of Wards saving the estate, it was found necessary to release it.

Rangpur and Paunta Malana.—These two estates were taken over in 1930, but as their income was found to be much below expenditure and there was no hope of liquidation of their debts, they were also released during the year under report.

The estate of Sidhowal was taken under superintendence in 1918 on the death of Bhai Zabar Jang Singh, who left two minor sons—Bhai Fateh Jang Singh and Bhai Sher Jang Singh. The major ward attained majority and his share was consequently released during the year under report after the partition of the joint estate. The debts which at the time of the assumption of charge amounted to Rs. 1,22,794 were entirely paid up before the release of the share of the estate of the major ward. Apart from the liquidation of debts, the estate spent over half a lakh of rupees on permanent improvements and had securities worth more than a lakh and a half at the time of the release.

Kesri Singh.—This estate, which was taken over in 1916, was in far better financial condition at the time of the release than it was at the time of the assumption of superintendence. During the 17 years of superintendence a sum of about rupees one lakh was spent on permanent improvements in addition to the considerable expenditure incurred on the education of the ward's son at the Aitchison College. The debts which at the time of the assumption of charge amounted to Rs. 6,120 were entirely paid up by the time of release.

Ghugh.—This estate, which was taken over in 1920, was released during the year under report when all the six wards had attained majority. Out of the surplus income, debts amounting to Rs. 10,472 were paid and a small sum of Rs. 1,874 was spent on permanent improvements.

Kalabagh.—This estate was taken over in 1922 and as Malik Amir Mohammad Khan, the ward of the estate, had attained majority,

the estate was released. During the years of superintendence the cultivated area of this estate rose from 4,424 acres to 10,546 acres. Furthermore the estate spent something like a lakh of rupees on permanent improvements and purchased securities worth Rs. 75,000. Besides this the estate had a cash balance of Rs. 1,11,474 in banks and treasury at the time of release.

Dab Kalan.—This estate which was taken over in 1924 in order to safeguard the interests of the minor, S. Mohammad Nawaz Khan, was released on the ward's attaining the age of majority. The debts amounting to Rs. 3,222 were liquidated during the years of superintendence.

Khan Bahadur Sardar Din Mohammad Khan Leghari.—The financial position of this estate was so bad at the time of the assumption of charge that it could hardly bear the cost of the manager and the personal allowances of the ward and his sons. The estate was released because it was believed that if the ward were to have a free hand in settling with his creditors he would be able to obtain better terms.

The following estates were taken under superintendence either—

(a) by reason of minority ; or

(b) at the request of the owners.

No estate was taken under superintendence by Government of its own motion.

(a) under section 6 the estate of—

(i) the late Lt. Col. Stanley Skinner of Hansi,

(ii) the late Raja Sham Singh of Dada Siba, Kangra,

(iii) the late Khan Sahib Hakim Khan Syal of Jhang,

(iv) Zafar Samand Khan of Khangarh (Muzaffargarh),

(v) the minor sons of Malik Fateh Mohammad Khan of Dogar Kalasra (Muzaffargarh) and,

(vi) the late Sardar Mir Murad Bakhsh Khan, Mazari Chief, of Dera Ghazi Khan district.

(b) under section 5 (1) the estate of—

(i) Mrs. Veronica Skinner of Hansi,

(ii) Khan Sahib Malik Amir Khan of Wan Bhachran (Mianwali),

(iii) Baba Harbans Singh Bedi of Montgomery,

(iv) Khan Dalmir Khan of Jhang,

(v) Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan of Khangarh (Muzaffargarh).

2. *Income and expenditure.*—The total gross income was Rs. 23·21 lakhs as against Rs. 21·09 lakhs last year. Excluding the income of the estates released and taken over the total gross income has risen from 18·59 lakhs to 20·33 lakhs.

Ordinary income.—The total ordinary income of the estates under superintendence amounted to Rs. 16·94 lakhs as against 17·31 lakhs last year. But the income of the estates neither added nor released shows a decrease from Rs. 15·30 lakhs to Rs. 14·15 lakhs. This is due to the fact that the Mamdot estate alone lost over a lakh of rupees in the ordinary income on account of the remission of cash rents which in the past had been pitched rather high and were found to

be irrecoverable. The ordinary income of all the estates under management is made up as follows :—

	Rs.
Jagir	5·01 lakhs.
Cash rents	4·46 „
Sale of grain	4·86 „
Other income	8·10 „
	16·93 „

Expenditure.—Owing to the slump in the prices of agricultural produce strenuous efforts were made to curtail the ordinary expenditure which decreased from Rs. 16·85 lakhs last year to Rs. 15·64 lakhs during the year under report. But ignoring the expenditure of the estates added and released there is a decrease in the expenditure from Rs. 14·17 lakhs to Rs. 13·26 lakhs. The following table shows the comparative expenditure under certain heads :—

	1932	1933
	lakhs	lakhs
Cost of manager and staff	2·16	1·99
Cost of ordinary improvements	·74	·62
Personal expenses of wards	3·32	2·38
Allowances to wards' families	1·90	2·48
Law, domestic ceremonies, servants, etc.	2·81	2·92

On account of misclassification the allowances sanctioned for the dependants of the late Nawab Qutab-ud-Din of Mamdot were shown under the head "personal expenses of wards" last year. This year they have been correctly classified and shown under the head "allowances to wards' families." This accounts for the increase under this head from Rs. 1·90 lakhs last year to Rs. 2·48 lakhs this year.

Cost of management.—The total cost of management amounts to Rs. 2·66 lakhs as against Rs. 2·87 lakhs last year. This represents 15·7 per cent. of the total ordinary income as against 16·7 per cent. last year.

*Excluding the estates taken over and released, the cost of management has decreased from 2·45 lakhs to Rs. 2·28 lakhs during the year under report, thus showing a decrease of Rs. 17,000. Taking individual estates, the Financial Commissioner finds that out of 68 estates submitting returns, in 17 the cost of management was less than 10 per cent. of the ordinary income, in 31 it was between 10 and 20 per cent. and in 13 it was between 20 and 30 per cent. This leaves 7 estates where the cost of management was over 30 per cent. Out of these Shamgarh, Ghugh and the estate of Sardar Din Mohammad, which remained under superintendence only for a part of the year, do not call for special comments. The Malout and Buttar estates are bankrupt and they too have been released though after the close of the year under report. This leaves two estates, Jalalpur Pirwala in Multan and Batil in Dera Ghazi Khan in which the cost of management was 32·6 and 31·4 respectively. High percentage in the case of Jalalpur Pirwala is due to the fact that the sale proceeds of *rabi* were recovered after the close of the year. Increase in the cost of management of the Batil estate is due to the fact that the wards' rate for the previous year was paid during the year under report.*

3. *Assets and liabilities.*—Total assets have been valued at Rs. 710 lakhs as against Rs. 634 lakhs last year. The increase is due to the addition of new estates and to the addition of the assets of the estate of Raja Sir Gurbakhsh Singh Bedi for which the figures were not available last year. For the purposes of comparison we must exclude the

assets of the estates released and taken over during the year under report. Thus it would appear that the assets have gone up from Rs. 520 lakhs to Rs. 550 lakhs. Previously the assets were calculated at 80 times the land revenue but as a result of the instructions issued last year, the assets have been calculated according to their market values. The Mamdot estate alone accounts for an increase of Rs. 24 lakhs on this account.

The Mamdot estate purchased land measuring 1,059 acres from Kanwar Jasjit Singh Abluwalia of Jullundur for Rs. 3,13,420 in satisfaction of a loan. Investments in the purchase of land and house property made by the other estates are insignificant.

Jharauli, Shamsheer Ali Khan, Nowshehra Nangli and Kalabagh estates purchased Government securities worth Rs. 4,125, 12,128, 6,500 and 95,000 respectively. The Mamdot estate on the other hand in order to balance its deficit budget had to sell securities worth Rs. 1,48,000.

Liabilities.—The liabilities of the estates have increased from Rs. 64 lakhs to Rs. 81·86 lakhs partly on account of the debts of the estates of the late Lt. Col. Skinner (2·80), Mrs. V. Skinner (1·87) and Baba Harbans Singh Bedi (5·09) which have been taken under superintendence during the year under report, and partly due to the fact that the debt of the estate of Raja Sir Gurbakhsh Singh Bedi amounting to Rs. 6·66 lakhs which was not shown in the report for the last year has now been included in the present report. Excluding the estates taken over and released the liabilities have decreased from 61·63 lakhs to 60·01 lakhs during the year under report. The total amount lying in treasuries at the close of the year amounted to 8·46 lakhs as against 4·70 lakhs last year. This huge increase is mainly due to the fact that the sum of Rs. 2·59 lakhs belonging to the estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Boota Singh was kept in the treasury pending settlement with the creditors. For various reasons the estates of Mamdot, Buria, Kalabagh and Rani Chhaunian had large balances in the treasuries at the close of the year.

4. *Reduction of debt.*—Debts to the extent of Rs. 2·25 lakhs were repaid during the year under report as compared with Rs. 2·43 lakhs last year. This shows a decrease of Rs. 18,000. It should be remembered that last year repayments were not made from income alone but by borrowing Rs. 1·71 lakhs. The estate of Sheikhpura has paid up the sum of Rs. 30,616 leaving a balance of Rs. 20,343. It is hoped that this amount will be paid up during the next year. A sum of Rs. 16,850 was paid by the Manauli estate towards the liquidation of its debt. It has still a huge balance of Rs. 1,11,041 and the estate of Khan Bahadur Mohammad Jamal Khan paid up Rs. 15,000.

The liquidation of the debts of the Jagadhri estate presented a very complicated problem. At one time it was believed that the estate was insolvent and consequently the release of the estate was seriously contemplated, but after careful consideration however, it was decided to retain superintendence for some time longer and to make one more determined effort to extricate the estate from its heavy liabilities. The results have so far been encouraging. Remissions aggregating Rs. 58,020 were secured from creditors and it is hoped that next year will witness remarkable progress in the liquidation of debts.

Owing to the keen interest taken by the Deputy Commissioner, Rawalpindi, rapid progress has been made in the liquidation of debts of the estate of Rai Bahadur Sardar Boota Singh. A bungalow at Murree and building sites near the city of Rawalpindi were sold for nearly Rs. 3·40 lakhs of which Rs. 83,431 were paid to creditors.

The Financial Commissioner has noticed with some dismay the large number of decrees which are being passed against the estates under superintendence during these days. It would have been possible to avoid this had the Deputy Commissioners fixed dates for the payment of debts

or the order in which the debts are to be paid. The attention of the district officers has been invited to the provisions of section 32 (1) of the Punjab Court of Wards Act, 1903, which seems to have been overlooked by several district officers in the past.

5. *Arrears of rent.*—Recoverable arrears of rent have been reduced from Rs. 10.95 lakhs to Rs. 9.24 lakhs this year. Excluding the estates taken over and re-leased the arrears of rents have been reduced from Rs. 8.03 lakhs to Rs. 7.79 lakhs. This decrease is mainly due to remission of arrears of rent amounting to Rs. 1½ lakhs in the Mamdot estate. While the balances have decreased in other estates, the following estates show an increase in the arrears :—

Estate.	Arrears in 1932.		Arrears in 1933.	
		Rs.		Rs.
Jagadhri	12,079	..	29,775
Kartarpur	38,800	..	42,468
Rani Chhaunian	38,860	..	49,080
Heirs of S. Boota Singh	..	2,587	..	5,400
Hajipur	5,043	..	5,703

The estate of Kartarpur has secured decrees and bonds for arrears amounting to Rs. 11,307 and suits for the recovery of Rs. 19,009 have been instituted against defaulters. These large arrears are due mainly to the collapse of prices. The Financial Commissioner is of the opinion that the arrears can be reduced to a large extent if the local officers are sufficiently vigilant and pay the attention that this matter deserves.

6. *Cash and batai rents.*—Cash and batai rents which averaged Rs. 4 per cultivated acre in 1931 and Rs. 4-5-11 in 1932, have now fallen to Rs. 3-11-7 per acre. This is partly due to the fact that stocks worth Rs. 1.58 lakhs have been withheld from sale this year as against Rs. 1.03 withheld last year and partly to the fall in the price of wheat. Batai rents are still preferred and they are not likely to be replaced by cash rents as long as the slump continues.

7. *Expenditure on improvements.*—In a year of agricultural depression improvements on a large scale were not practicable. The total amount spent this year was Rs. 42,942 as compared with Rs. 74,567 in the preceding year. Of this the Mamdot estate alone spent Rs. 24,168 on sinking 19 new wells and repairing 20 old wells and boring pipes in 9 others. Rupees two thousand were spent by the Buria estate on the sinking of a new well. The estate of Kalabagh spent Rs. 6,261 and the estate of the Leghari Nawab spent Rs. 2,699. One big tube well was constructed by the Sheikhpura estate in village Bhadru.

8. *Agricultural developments.*—Moti Bagh (pearl garden) of the Kartarpur estate has had another successful year as a demonstration farm. It is a matter for satisfaction that the cultivation of improved varieties of sugarcane has increased from 20 to 56 per cent. in two years and of wheat to 30 per cent. and cotton to 32 per cent. in one year. Two hundred maunds of selected wheat seeds were distributed free amongst the estate tenants for the *rabi* harvest. Three hundred and seventeen more fruit trees were planted.

The Ber Khurd estate in the Ludhiana district cultivated one thousand *bighas* with 8-A seed and it is hoped that this estate alone will be able to provide improved seed for the whole circle of the Deputy Director of Agriculture, Jullundur. A co-operative sugar manufacturing union which has been started in this estate was able to convert 1,000 maunds of *rab* into fine refined sugar. Three better farming societies have been constituted.

The cattle and sheep farms of the Mamdot estate were abolished as they served no useful purpose. The veterinary hospital, Jalalabad, has

had another successful year. This estate supplied Mollisoni cotton seed and 8-A wheat seed to several villages.

Two hundred and fifty four acres of waste land were brought under cultivation in the Mitha Tiwana estate and 184 acres in the Jahaniau Shah estate during the year under report.

8-A and 9-D wheat seed, 4-F. American cotton and Coimbatore sugarcane were introduced in the estates of the Jhang district.

9. *Miscellaneous.*—In spite of the strenuous efforts on the part of the Court of Wards to curtail expenditure on ceremonials, etc., large sums of money were spent as will be seen from the following table :—

Serial no.	Estate.	District.	Amount spent.	REMARKS.
			Rs.	
1	Arnauli ..	Ambala ..	25,938	Marriage of the ward and betrothal of his younger sister.
2	Manauli ..	ditto. ..	7,500	Betrothal ceremony of the ward's son.
3	Rani Chhau- nian.	Lahore ..	3,000 800 500	Marriage in family <i>muklawz</i> and <i>mundan</i> pilgrimage.

It is hoped that it would be possible to curtail this kind of expenditure still further in future.

The health centre at Jalalabad is to be congratulated in training 17 indigenous *dais*, two of whom passed the qualifying examination. The lady Health Visitor attended 51 confinement cases. A sweeper *dai* is under training for attending the women of the depressed classes.

10. *Education and training.*—Bhai Shubhsher Singh of Arnauli took his diploma from the Aitchison College and has been appointed assistant manager. Bhai Sher Jhang Singh of Sidhowal and Sayed Abid Hussain Shah are reported to be doing well in the Government College, Lahore. Two wards are at Dehra Dun, one in the Royal Indian Military College and the other in the Prince of Wales College. Five other wards are making satisfactory progress at the Aitchison College and one has been admitted to the Queen Mary College.

Sardar Ata Mohd. Khan, second son of the Leghari Tumandar, is reported to be doing well at Oxford and will probably sit for the Indian Civil Service examination.

Rai Savitra Chand of Bhabaur and Sardar Jaswant Singh of Ludhiana are reported to have done well as assistant managers.

11. *Notice of officers.*—Deputy Commissioners generally have responded to the directions that they should devote great attention to Court of Wards work during this period of economic depression. Mr. Partab, I.C.S., and Mr. Bradford, I.C.S., were very successful in their difficult tasks.

The work of Nawabzada Ahsanullah Khan, general manager, who is in charge of all the 4 estates in the Karnal district has been consistently good throughout the year.

Lala Nand Gopal, retired Extra Assistant Commissioner, was appointed manager of the Jagadhri estate. He has started well and has shown much initiative in pushing forward the scheme of liquidation.

Sardar Lal Singh, manager, Ber Khurd, took a very keen interest in agricultural improvements and associations.

Khan Bahadur Adul Majid Khan, manager, and M. Sabir Hussain, assistant manager, Mamdot, have worked hard and conscientiously.

Pirzada Anwar-ul-Haq, manager, Behk estate, has applied himself to his duties with diligence and tact.

Khan Sahib Mirza Mehdi Hussain completed another year of good and useful work in the Sheikhpura estate.

12. *Guardians and Wards Act.*—Last year there was only one estate, Padri Kalan in the Amritsar district, in which the Deputy Commissioner was guardian under the Guardians and Wards Act. Four new estates of minors, two in the Muzaffargarh and one each in the Lahore and Montgomery districts have been added to the list. All the five estates are being managed satisfactorily.

No.	Name of Estate	Guardian	Ward	District
1	Padri Kalan	Deputy Commissioner	Amritsar	Amritsar
2	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
3	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
4	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
5	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
6	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
7	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
8	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
9	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
10	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
11	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
12	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
13	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
14	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
15	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
16	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
17	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
18	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
19	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
20	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
21	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
22	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
23	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
24	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
25	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
26	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
27	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
28	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
29	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
30	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
31	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
32	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
33	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
34	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
35	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
36	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
37	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
38	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
39	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
40	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
41	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
42	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
43	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
44	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
45	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
46	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
47	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
48	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
49	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
50	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
51	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
52	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
53	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
54	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
55	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
56	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
57	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
58	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
59	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
60	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
61	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
62	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
63	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
64	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
65	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
66	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
67	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
68	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
69	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
70	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
71	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
72	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
73	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
74	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
75	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
76	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
77	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
78	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
79	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
80	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
81	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
82	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
83	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
84	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
85	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
86	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
87	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
88	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
89	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
90	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
91	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
92	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
93	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
94	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
95	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
96	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
97	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery
98	Muzaffargarh	Deputy Commissioner	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh
99	Lahore	Deputy Commissioner	Lahore	Lahore
100	Montgomery	Deputy Commissioner	Montgomery	Montgomery

Division.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Ambala	Hissar	1	Barsi	John Alan Alex. Skinner .. Florabel Skinner .. Margaret Skinner ..	12-10-1929 30-3-1927 26-3-1928	16-	
		2	Hajampur	Mrs. Veronica Skinner		17-
		3	Sirsa	Mohammad Muin-ud-Din ..	22-6-1917		2-1
	Gurgaon	4	Farrukh Nagar	M. Alla-ud-Din Haider Khan	..	3-	
		5	Sidhowal	Bhai Fateh Jang Singh .. Bhai Sher Jang Singh ..	25-12-1913 29-3-1909	4-	
	Karnal	6	Arnauli	Bhai Shubsher Singh ..	4-1-1914	3-11	
		7	Jharauli	S. Bhupinder Singh .. S. Harindar Singh ..	5-1-1917 9-3-1926	4-10 23-6	
		8	Shamgarh	S. Gurbakhsh Singh ..	22-8-1877	1-3	
	Ambala	Buria	9	S. Rattan Amol Singh .. S. Lal Amol Singh ..	9-5-1919 31-10-1920	3-9	
			10	Sohana	S. Deo Indar Singh ..	19-2-1900	16-6
		11	Manauli	S. Umrao Singh ..	15-6-1896	25-	
		12	Ramgarh	Mian Ajmer Singh ..	9-8-1897	27-	
		13	Jagadhri	L. Madhuri Saran .. L. Brij Raj Saran .. L. Avdesh Saran .. L. Reoti Kumar .. L. Narendar Kumar .. L. Surendar Kumar .. L. Mahander Kumar .. L. Virendar Kumar .. Sh. Shashi Bala .. Sh. Ravi Bala .. Lala Kishori Saran .. L. Radhe Shyam .. L. Rameshwar Saran .. L. Janardhan Kumar .. Sh. Kushmata Devi .. Sh. Lajja Wati .. Sh. Shel Bala .. L. Raghubir Saran .. L. Jagdish Kumar .. L. Brij Bhushan Saran .. L. Chaman Parkash .. Sh. Santosh Devi .. Sh. Kanti Devi .. Sh. Suman Lata .. L. Mahesh Kumar .. Sh. Padma Wati .. L. Sumer Singh ..	10-2-1891 26-11-1901 16-10-1908 15-5-1916 4-4-1922 6-1924 11-3-1927 19-8-1932 1931 1931 9-11-1884 20-2-1904 24-9-1909 6-6-1913 27-11-1920 2-12-1922 16-1-1932 3-1-1892 3-12-1916 19-3-1894 12-1-1918 23-12-1922 7-1926 27-8-1929 16-3-1931 .. 22-5-1919	1-1	
Kangra	14	Lambagraon	Lala Daljit Chand	4-		
	15	Lahul	Thakar Abhai Chand .. Thakar Partap Chand .. Thakar Prithi Chand .. Mussammat Rigzan Angmo ..	14-4-1909 1-1-1911 8-8-1914	16-10		
	16	Dada Siba	Tika Har Mohinder Singh .. Kunwar Raj Bindar Singh ..	1-2-1922 26-8-1927	17-10		
Hoshiarpur	17	Amb	Tikka Lachhman Singh .. M. Sant Dev Singh .. M. Hardeo Singh .. M. Shivdev Singh ..	5-10-1902 19-8-1904 30-10-1909 3-3-1910	29-		
	18	Bhabaur	Rai Savitra Chand ..	15-1-1906	7-1		
Jullundur	Anandpur	19	S. Harbhajan Singh .. S. Harautar Singh ..	4-9-1911 14-1-1918	6-2		
		20	Kartarpur	Guru Amarjit Singh ..	29-12-1927	9-2	

1. *Wards during the year ending 30th September 1933.*

Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue assessment.			
		9	10	11			
	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.	
Section 6 of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903	1,840	11,569	8,387	6,431	2,80,218	7,86,144	1
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	5,081	3,689	2,805	1,87,034	3,37,215	2
Section 6 ditto ditto	12,186	7,278	7,310	53,632	6,71,370	3
Section 5 (2) (b) ditto ditto	4,640	6,523	3,910	6,164	49,003	6,78,787	4
Section 6 ditto ditto	44,036	992	988	4,260	81,001	13,54,092	5
	..	467	466	4,000	41,793		
Ditto ditto ditto	58,393	1,089	552	1,124	57,889	16,67,178	6
Ditto ditto ditto	1,621	671	236	442	1,16,119	1,52,266	7
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	4,268	2,310	1,510	2,094	1,11,995	3,21,100	8
Section 6 ditto ditto	53,642	4,274	3,029	5,668	4,000	26,78,223	9
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	9,153	251	88	620	62,876	2,28,369	10
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	50,676	4,474	2,843	8,536	1,51,221	22,29,664	11
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	5,850	2,205	649	984	56,396	1,87,143	12
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	10,122	5,880	14,836	21,81,546	29,88,175	13
Section 35 of Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,000	53	24	12	21,323	51,713	14
Section 5 (1) and 6 of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903.	533	964	337	718	23,686	1,21,952	15
Section 6 ditto ditto	20,977	26,097	1,776	3,151	26,000	8,30,780	16
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	22,325	1,922	772	1,284	..	10,10,183	17
Sections 6 and 45 ditto ditto	847	1,949	390	835	47,645	71,190	18
Section 6 ditto ditto	4,460	2,209	900	4,861	12,200	17,26,848	19
Section 6 ditto ditto	18,500	12,023	9,538	7,825	27,000	14,21,216	20

General statement of estates under charge of the Court

Division.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	5	7	
Jullundur	Ludhiana	21	Ber Khurd ..	S. Harwant Raj Singh ..	15-1-1921	24-1-1921	
		22	S. Jaswant Singh ..	S. Jaswant Singh ..	17-7-1912	1-11-1921	
		23	Matndot ..	Heirs of the late Nawab Ghulam Qutub-ud-Din.	..	20-1-188	
	Ferozepore	24	Bekk ..	M. Mohammad Sarwar ..	12-2-1916	2-11-1921	
		25	Sbamsheer Ali Khan ..	Sahibzada Shamsheer Ali Khan alias Mian Ali.	7-2-1917	22-9-1921	
Lahore	Malout	26	Buttar ..	S. Rachhpal Singh	18-3-1921	
		27	..	Mussamat Parmeshri	
	Lahore	28	F. S. Najam-ud-Din ..	Mohammad Hayat Khan	23-10-1921	
		29	Rani Chhannian ..	Haji Mohammad Khan	
		30	..	Mussamat Fateh Bano	
Lahore	Lahore	31	F. S. Najam-ud-Din ..	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	17-12-1921	
		32	Rani Chhannian ..	Rani Chhannian	25-5-1911	
	Amritsar	33	Killa Jiwan Singh ..	S. Jagjit Singh ..	6-7-1921	1-9-193	
		34	..	S. Balwinder Singh ..	20-1-1927	..	
		35	S. Kesri Singh ..	S. Kesri Singh	2-2-1911	
Rawalpindi	Sheikhpura	36	Pipri ..	Kanwar Daljit Singh	20-10-193	
		37	Nowshera Nangli ..	S. Surrinder Singh ..	16-9-1916	21-6-1921	
	Shahpur	38	Attari ..	S. Balwant Singh	25-5-1914	
		39	Chak Haiderabad and Seyedwala.	Mohammad Sharif Khan ..	1904	8-3-1919	
		40	Sheikhpura ..	Mohammad Hussain Khan ..	28-6-1913	1-8-193	
Rawalpindi	Shahpur	41	Mitha Tiwana ..	Raja Dhayan Singh	23-9-1911	
		42	Tarkhanwala	21-1-1911	2-4-1911	
		43	Jahonjan Shah ..	S. Ghulam Muhammad Shah	6-3-193	
	Jhelum	Jhelum	44	..	S. Riaz Hussain Shah
			45	..	S. Qalandar Hussain Shah
Rawalpindi	Jhelum	46	..	S. Nasir-ud-Din Shah	
		47	..	Yakub Khan ..	4-1-1907	..	
	Attock	Attock	48	..	Muhammad Behram ..	1910	..
			49	..	Ayub Khan ..	12-4-1911	..
			50	..	Sher Khan ..	1912	20-1-1921
Rawalpindi	Attock	51	..	Ghulam Shah ..	14-1-1905	..	
		52	..	Ghulam Mehdi ..	8-2-1909	..	
	Mianwali	Mianwali	53	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Buta Singh.	S. Masbir Singh	11-3-1921
			54	Kellar ..	S. Jai Dev Singh
			55	..	S. Atma Singh
Rawalpindi	Mianwali	56	..	S. Hardial Singh	15-6-193	
		57	..	Raja Sir Gur Baksh Singh, Bedi, Kt., K.B.E., C.I.E.	
	Montgomery	Montgomery	58	Dharek ..	Sardar Rab Nawaz Khan ..	6-11-1919	8-5-1921
			59	Bahtar ..	Afzal Ahmad ..	16-2-1926	..
			60	..	Iftikhar Ahmad ..	2-1-1927	6-4-1921
Rawalpindi	Mianwali	61	..	Muhammad Hayat ..	13-10-1917	..	
		62	..	Mst. Farrukh Jabin ..	1921	8-12-193	
	Montgomery	Montgomery	63	..	S. Muhammad Asraf Khan	6-1-193
			64	..	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan	14-1-190
			65	..	Khan
Rawalpindi	Montgomery	66	Kalabagh ..	Malik Amir Muhammad Khan ..	10-7-1910	1-11-1921	
		67	Wan Bhachran ..	Khan Sahib Malik Amir Khan	1-10-193	
	Jhang	Jhang	68	Baba Harbans Singh, Bedi.	Babu Harbans Singh Bedi	6-1-193
			69
			70
Rawalpindi	Jhang	71	Shah Jiwana ..	S. Abid Hussain Shah ..	1-8-1915	26-11-1911	
		72	..	S. Khizer Hayat Shah	26-6-1921	
	Multan	Multan	73	Khan Wali Dad Khan ..	S. Mubarik Ali Shah	9-2-1921
			74	Makhiana ..	Mohammad Jahangir Khan ..	17-8-1923	14-7-193
			75	Khan Dalmir Khan ..	Khan Dalmir Khan	17-2-193
Rawalpindi	Multan	76	..	Inait Ullah Khan ..	15-2-1915	..	
		77	..	Habib Ullah Khan ..	1-4-1928	17-2-193	
	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh	78	..	Aziz Ullah Khan ..	9-7-1929	..
			79
			80
Rawalpindi	Muzaffargarh	81	Sher Shah ..	S. Amir Haidar Shah	11-10-1900	
		82	..	M. Ghulam Akbar Shah	9-1-1919	
	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh	83	Jalalpur Pirwala ..	S. Muhammad Ghaus	1-5-1919
			84	..	S. Ghulam Abbas
			85	..	S. Muhammad Nawaz Khan ..	17-7-1917	23-6-1924
Rawalpindi	Muzaffargarh	86	Dab Kalan ..	Mian Khuda Bakhsh ..	22-8-1910	31-3-1930	
		87	
	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh	88	Shaikh Upar ..	Ch. Hot Khan	2-4-1930
			89	..	Jam Hasham Ali
			90	..	Muhammad Hussain
Rawalpindi	Muzaffargarh	91	Paunta Malana ..	Sultan Mahmud	13-5-1930	
		92	..	Ali Muhammad	
	Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh	93	..	Ghulam Sarwar
			94	..	Ghulam Qasim
			95	..	Wahid Bakhsh
Rawalpindi	Muzaffargarh	96	Khan Garh ..	Khan Nasrullah Khan ..	13-11-1918	18-8-1930	
		97	..	Khan Saidullah Khan ..	6-6-1921	..	

I—CONTINUED.

is during the year ending 30th September, 1933—continued.

Section or Sub-Section of Act applicable.	Annual value of Jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue assessment.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Section 3 of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903	19,173	918	510	1,878	78,940	7,37,297	21
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	500	1,322	1,322	6,353	10,031	11,58,372	22
Sections 6 and 48 ditto ditto	69,446	51,424	48,335	3,57,647	66,05,638	23
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	235	9,389	8,319	3,506	16,849	23,18,090	24
Section 6 ditto ditto	75	75	40,998	25
Section 5 (2) (a) and (d) ditto ditto ..	1,069	1,697	1,576	862	1,23,895	9,54,066	26
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	2,306	2,161	839	1,39,186	14,23,769	27
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	405	801	720	1,006	3,34,508	96,551	28
Section 5 (2) (a) ditto ditto ..	6,514	4,374	2,855	8,312	..	6,96,800	29
Section 6 ditto ditto	554	438	561	3,163	1,52,000	30
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto ..	5,256	1,635	1,193	..	51,920	7,00,229	31
Section 6 ditto ditto	20,000	32
Section 6 ditto ditto	900	785	1,175	44,530	9,83,535	33
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	12,403	598	448	970	5,17,000	4,51,079	34
Section 6 ditto ditto	833	805	1,356	39,000	2,79,481	35
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	1,30,809	3,883	3,072	12,082	1,74,111	59,79,678	36
Section 5 (2) (d) of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903	10,014	11,565	4,932	2,805	2,78,006	7,66,061	37
Section 6 ditto ditto	2,855	2,021	3,539	4,900	2,59,702	38
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	6,431	3,693	4,510	2,22,460	25,01,079	39
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	808	3,460	1,386	1,654	10,472	3,34,900	40
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	1,730	1,602	2,533	16,83,984	11,19,685	41
Section 6 ditto ditto	7,090	7,461	6,093	40,348	6,65,887	42
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	6,548	3,062	2,607	..	4,57,754	43
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	473	3,847	1,845	2,262	21,039	6,21,394	44
Section 6 ditto ditto	517	261	271	45
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	243	831	450	470	..	94,760	46
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	7,810	3,125	7,157	1,32,436	4,47,580	47
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	443	75,227	10,546	2,433	..	42,05,654	48
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	15,606	11,257	25,18,860	49
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	843	8,165	1,882	5,402	4,78,546	8,96,500	50
Section 6 ditto ditto	9,838	5,725	8,101	1,15,492	7,04,992	51
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ditto	606	425	966	40,000	1,48,922	52
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto ..	400	1,378	1,012	1,672	2,391	4,80,579	53
Section 6 ditto ditto	1,082	637	2,335	36,000	2,61,000	54
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	2,948	1,404	2,084	22,000	4,50,500	55
Section 6 ditto ditto ..	2,216	16,431	5,116	7,812	3,17,939	11,45,900	56
Section 35-A of Punjab Act II of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	..	43,971	9,509	7,187	19,841	13,93,541	57
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903	7,005	3,222	11,67,598	58
Section 6 ditto ditto	2,276	949	1,046	67,646	3,45,611	59
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	347	105	245	19,721	1,66,393	60
Section 6 ditto ditto	1,239	725	1,456	52,000	5,75,252	61
Section 6 ditto ditto	1,173	546	1,804	4,633	2,44,933	62

General statement of estates under charge of the Co

Division.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Multan	Muzaffargarh	63	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	1-10	
		64	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan ..	24-12-1930	1-10	
		65	Daera Din Panah	M. Allah Bakhsh	27-10
				Qadir Bakhsh	..		
				Ahmad Yar	..		
	66	Dogar Kalasra	Malik Hamid Yar	..	3-3-1916	28-4	
	67	Hajipur	Khair Muhammad	..	2-10-1925		
	Dera Ghazi Khan		68	Khan Bahadur Sardar Din Muhamad Khan, C.I.E., of Leghari.	Khan Bahadur Sardar Din Muhamad Khan, C.I.E., of Leghari.	..	29-6
			69	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qaisrani.	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qaisrani.	25-3-1916	8-9-
			70	Batil	Sardar Kaura Khan
71			Khan Bahadur Nawab Muhammad Jamai Khan, M. L. C.	Khan Bahadur Nawab Muhammad Jamal Khan, M. L. C.	16-8-
72			Muzari	Mir Balakh Sher Khan	24-5-
		Sher Jan Khan	..				
			Sbet Baz Khan	..			

D. I—CONCLUDED.

wards during the year ending 30th September 1933.

Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.	
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land Revenue assessment.				
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	
	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act, II of 1903..	..	568	256	679	10,662	1,11,721	63	
Section 6 ditto ditto	559	250	775	1,997	1,00,434	64	
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto --	2,691	1,274	1,209	30,995	2,52,164	65	
Section 6 ditto ditto --	50,946	2,255	4,000	2,442	3,36,160	66	
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto --	9,852	726	130	345	85,996	67	
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto --	22,448	2,579	1,160	1,18,713	2,76,454	68	
Section 6 ditto ditto	3,500	297	235	5	218	1,06,910	69
Section 5 (2) (b) ditto ditto	3,109	921	1,519	..	96,712	70
Section 5 (1) ditto ditto	48,000	1,15,094	18,785	13,429	1,76,654	20,35,575	71
Section 6 ditto ditto --	Figures not yet available.						72
Total	--	5,59,797	6,42,947	3,36,938	3,05,136	1,01,20,927	6,92,45,566	

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Assets.								In banks.	C.
			Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Investments, loans and advances.						
						Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immoveable property.	Advances to tenants.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
HISAR ..	1	Barsi	5,43,040	1,86,440	
	2	Hajampur	2,11,746	1,02,500	
	3	Sirsa	3,04,280	46,300	
GURGAON ..	4	Farrukh Nagar	1,16,000	6,30,040	52,000	
KARNAL ..	5	Sithowal— Bhai Sher Jang Singh	..	3,04,515	15,612	30,000	2,050	11,148	
		Bhai Fatah Jang Singh.	11,23,400	2,23,226	1,06,470	1,27,900	..	26,865	4,312	
	6	Arnauli ..	14,51,325	94,152	1,25,000	82,500	32,772	134	
	7	Jharauli ..	40,525	64,795	4,000	83,600	
	8	Sas ngarh ..	4,03,700	1,67,520	50,000	
AMBALA ..	9	Bucia ..	13,41,050	4,44,944	10,02,018	1,20,000	26,000	
	10	Sohana ..	2,28,825	49,600	10,253	
	11	Manauli ..	12,65,900	6,82,880	3,31,800	11,897	
	12	Ramgarh ..	1,46,250	78,720	20,000	
	13	Jagatari	21,75,177	5,45,165	20,375	
KANORA ..	14	Lambagraon ..	50,900	960	1,066	
	15	Lahul ..	13,325	57,440	30,368	
	16	Dafa Siba ..	5,30,800	2,52,080	45,900	
HOSHIARPUR	17	Amb ..	5,58,125	1,02,720	1,50,000	1,10,800	8,000	50,886	
	18	Bhabar ..	21,175	68,880	1,000	
	19	Aaandpur ..	1,11,500	3,88,880	12,00,000	500	..	2,200	800	
JULLUNDUR	20	Kartarpur ..	3,37,500	6,87,000	4,17,500	1,300	..	1,006	
LUDHIANA ..	21	Ber Khurd ..	4,79,081	1,49,900	83,935	2,334	
	22	S. Jaswant Singh.	12,500	8,50,000	2,64,000	15,000	
FEROZEPUR	23	Mamdot	90,64,993	8,05,200	2,40,000	30,941	84,559	35,022	1,653	..	
	24	Behk ..	5,875	16,70,496	85,378	25,000	..	39,258	654	3,500	..	
	25	Shamshor Ali Khan.	..	12,000	500	89,300	
	26	Buter ..	26,725	1,57,240	15,150	
	27	Malout	3,29,000	13,150	
LAHORE ..	28	F. S. Najam- ud-Din.	10,125	80,480	5,000	
	29	Rani Chhauanian Killa	1,32,850	8,16,980	1,00,000	24,000	
	30	Jiwan Singh.	..	99,200	7,000	
	31	S. Kesari Singh Pipri	1,31,401	8,36,123	62,649	3,500	
	32	20,000	
AMRITSAR ..	33	Nowshera Nangli.	..	2,49,854	4,25,531	46,200	..	28,940	
	34	Attari ..	3,10,075	77,438	10,000	
SHEIKHUPURA ..	35	Chak Haidrabad and Sayedwala	..	1,66,600	11,440	5,312	
	36	Sheikhupura ..	32,59,975	9,66,560	10,35,500	
SHAHPUR ..	37	Mitha Tiwana	2,50,350	7,38,780	50,450	48,280	1,276	
	38	Tarkhanwala	4,27,996	11,327	50,000	78,982	16,247	303	
	39	Jahanian Shah	..	14,52,000	42,000	239	
Jhelum ..	40	Ghugh ..	808	1,80,000	7,000	6,000	..	8,000	..	1,584	13,	
RAWALPINDI	41	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Boots Singh.	..	3,09,219	2,72,800	205	2,58,
	42	Kallar ..	1,77,250	18,43,986	1,90,500	6,362	
ATTOCK ..	43	Dharek	2,91,154	8,127	..	14,000	36,405	3,659	
	44	Bahtar ..	11,825	3,38,330	15,600	25,000	12	
	45	Langer	60,000	
	46	Peera Fatial	90,160	4,600	150	

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	ASSETS.								Ca		
			Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Investments, loans and advances.						In banks.	In treasury.
						Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Courts of Wards.	Loans secured on other immoveable property.	Advances to tenants.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
MIANWALI..	47	Khan Mohd. Niwaz Khan.	..	3,83,945	15,500	94	
	48	Kalabagh ..	11,075	37,78,788	2,26,698	75,000	..	1,50,959	419	53,978	54,490	17,017	
	49	Wan Bhachran	24,96,960	21,900	
MONTGOMERY	50	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi.	21,075	7,77,000	30,000	13,311	
JHANG ..	51	Shah Jiwana	..	6,48,080	26,637	..	20,990	2,939	32	507	3,036	457	
	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan.	1,000	77,280	9,500	2,531	
	53	Makhiana	1,33,760	25,000	152	
	54	Khan Dalmir Khan.	..	1,86,800	20,000	608	
MULTAN ..	55	Jhang Syal	1,40,160	1,00,000	
	56	Sher Shah ..	55,400	7,45,110	20,000	13,494	47	..	243	..	
	57	Jalalpur Pirwala	..	10,68,560	1,50,000	5,918	562	..	3,485	..	
MUZAFFARGARH.	58	Dab Kalan	
	59	Sheikh Umar..	..	3,39,221	6,400	274	
	60	Rangpur	1,64,188	2,000	105	
	61	Paunta Malana	5,57,952	17,300	350	
	62	Khan Garh	2,18,801	23,132	2,345	752	
	63	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan.	..	96,940	13,850	666	322	
	64	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan.	..	87,390	12,575	469	313	
DERA GHAZI KHAN.	65	Dacra Din Panah.	..	2,49,164	3,000	6	
	66	Dogar Kalasra	..	2,91,660	41,500	3,100	1	
	67	Hajipur ..	2,41,300	27,930	22,300	1,614	..	
	68	Khan Bahadur Sadar Din Mohd. Khan. C. I. E., of Leghari.	..	1,63,080	58,000	
DERA GHAZI KHAN.	69	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan Qaisrani.	87,500	6,560	5,500	951	
	70	Batil	78,665	2,103	12,700	15	..	4,610	..	
	71	Khan Bahadur Nawab Mohd. Jamal Khan, M. L. C.	1,00,000	10,74,320	1,97,560	25,000	141	..	5,438	..	
	72	Mazari	Figures not yet available.	
		Total ..	1,39,08,091	4,24,50,278	91,30,752	12,89,475	2,13,735	5,60,653	55,373	82,910	8,45,726		

D. II.—CONCLUDED.

30th September 1933—concluded.

Other assets.				Total assets.	LIABILITIES.						Excess of assets over liabilities.
In hand.	Estimated value of unsold grain.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jewellery stock and other items.		Balance of loans still due.			Other sums due.			
					To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons.	To Government.	To other persons.	Total liabilities.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
165	8,223	5,590	3,973	4,18,339	4,18,339
785	25,733	8,333	1,05,000	44,04,264	44,94,264
..	8,672	116	..	25,44,665	25,44,665
918	105	..	16,500	8,55,911	5,08,859	5,08,859	3,47,052
..	13,493	2,531	10,436	7,23,611	78,076	6,161	2,306	86,533	6,42,148
..	365	1,688	781	91,071	1,953	20,990	4,852	777	846	29,418	61,653
..	2,803	8,746	10,919	1,83,759	1,608	1,608	1,82,151
..	287	147	6,000	2,13,386	36,000	7	486	36,493	1,76,893
..	888	345	10,340	2,52,341	22,000	540	560	23,100	2,29,241
40	1,178	4,497	11,832	8,54,841	1,664	10,000	..	940	12,295	24,899	8,29,942
64	9,321	10,458	38,390	12,87,058	..	9,969	10,222	6,522	11,807	38,520	12,48,538
..
115	2,500	573	..	3,49,013	1,869	..	54,527	440	..	56,835	2,92,178
..	1,66,363	19,500	51	170	19,721	1,46,672
..	5,75,602	52,500	93	..	52,593	5,23,009
49	796	259	..	2,46,134	4,633	693	..	5,326	2,40,808
2	309	70	265	1,12,424	10,558	93	..	10,651	1,01,773
13	220	55	..	1,01,035	1,967	104	..	2,071	98,964
52	1,323	245	..	2,53,790	1,462	..	26,417	810	..	28,689	2,25,101
630	7,881	208	..	3,44,880	1,900	266	..	2,166	3,42,714
..	..	5,703	9,916	3,08,763	36,701	36,701	2,72,062
..	..	8,084	2,080	2,31,844	84,757	10,000	..	94,757	1,37,087
..	..	14,634	8,876	1,24,021	31,109	..	31,109	92,912
20	124	569	900	99,706	99,706
669	10,751	34,063	67,277	26,15,219	69,017	35,344	..	1,04,361	25,10,858
13,239	1,58,311	9,23,950	13,25,200	7,09,57,693	1,73,722	2,04,148	71,36,850	1,03,094	5,68,570	81,86,384	6,27,71,309

STATEMENT
INCOME OF THE YEAR ENDING

INVESTMENTS AND DEBT

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Income from sale of			Income from loans received from			Income from re- payment of loans due to the estate.
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Govern- ment.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
HISAR	1	Barsi
	2	Hajampur	493
	3	Sirsa	60
GURGAON	4	Farrukh Nagar
KARNAL	5	Sidhowal { Bhai Fateh Jang Singh
	6	Arnauli	850
	7	Jharauli
AMBALA	8	Shamgarh	152	..
	9	Buria	30,000
	10	Subana
KANGRA	11	Manauli	6,000	..
	12	Ramgarh
	13	Jagadhri	900	18,180	784
HOSHARPUR	14	Lambagraon
	15	Lahul
	16	Dada Siba
JULLUNDUR	17	Amb
	18	Bhabaur
	19	Anandpur
LUDHIANA	20	Kartarpur	509
	21	Ber Khurd
	22	S. Jaswant Singh	1,740
FEROZPORE	23	Mamdot	3,599	..	1,61,135	5,551
	24	Behk	7,594
	25	Shamsher Ali Khan	1,600
LAHORE	26	Buttar
	27	Malout
	28	F. S. Najam-ud-Din
AMRITSAR	29	Rani Chhaunian	201	..	18,500	110
	30	Killa Jiwan Singh
	31	S. Kesri Singh	3,815
SHEIKHUPURA	32	Pipri
	33	Nowshera Nangli
	34	Attari
SHAHPUR	35	Chak Haiderabad and Sayedwala
	36	Sheikhupura	369	3,983
	37	Mitha Tiwana
JHELUM	38	Tarkhanwala
	39	Jahanjan Shah	726
	40	Ghugh	1,000
RAWALPINDI	41	Estate of the heirs of the late R. B. S. Boota Singh.	3,12,966	28,000	1,860	100
	42	Kallar	468
	43	Dharek
ATTOCK	44	Bahter
	45	Langer
	46	Peera Fatial
MIANWALI	47	Khan Mohd. Niwaz Khan
	48	Kalabagh	635
	49	Wan Bachran
MONTGOMERY	50	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi	675
JHANG	51	Shah Jiwana	1,000
	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan
	53	Makhiana	527
MULTAN	54	Khan Daimir Khan
	55	Jhang Syal
	56	Sher Shah
MULAN	57	Jalalpur Pirwala
	58	Dab Kalan	78	50
		

STATEMENT
INCOME OF THE YEAR BY

INVESTMENTS AND

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Income from sale of			Income from loans received from			In fr pa ot due e
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Govern- ment.	Other es- tates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
MUZAFFARGARH	59	Sheikh Umar	
	60	Rangpur	
	61	Paunta Malana	
	62	Khengarh	
	63	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	
	64	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan	
D. G. KHAN	65	Daera Din Panah	
	66	Dogar Kalasra	
	67	Hajipur	
	68	K. B. Sardar Lin Mohd. Khan, C.I.E., of Leghari.	
	69	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan Qairani.	
	70	Batil	
	71	K. B. Nawab Mohd. Jamal Khan, M.L.C.	
	72	Mazari	
		Total	3,18,761	46,258	2,16,970	6,152	

Figur

[—CONCLD.

TEMBER 1933.

IONS.		ORDINARY INCOME.						Total income.	Serial No.
	Total.	Jagir or taluqadari income.	Cash rents.	Sale of grain and other produce and of stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.			
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	1	
	2,760	1,327	4,087	4,087	59	
	60	
	61	
325	325	..	164	3,889	890	4,943	5,268	62	
17	17	1,368	466	1,834	1,851	63	
47	47	1,692	320	2,012	2,059	64	
	1,875	453	2,328	2,328	65	
275	275	4,665	880	5,551	5,826	66	
	..	6,270	625	..	67	6,962	6,962	67	
	560	..	309	3,781	216	4,306	4,866	68	
	..	3,200	5,060	..	3,847	12,107	12,107	69	
	3,169	19	3,188	3,188	70	
564	3,566	46,608	11,161	29,833	5,355	92,957	96,623	71	
available	72	
429	6,27,201	5,00,900	4,45,936	4,36,430	3,10,395	16,93,661	23,20,862		

ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.

and Haq-ul-tahsil.	Ward's rate.	Cost of manager and staff.	Total cost of Management (sum of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary im-provements and repairs.	Personal expenses of wards including cost of education.	Allowances to wards' family.	Expenditure on law, domestic ceremonies, servants, stables, etc.	Total ordinary ex-penditure.	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of manage-ment (column 16) on total ordinary income (column 17) of statement, No. III.	S.rial No.
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
680	...	3,729	3,729	956	8,109	...	306	16,779	16,779	13.4	1
716	...	1,256	1,256	...	504	...	13	2,489	2,489	17.8	2
093	883	6,573	7,456	347	2,660	2,485	1,995	22,036	33,213	24.6	3
820	401	1,279	1,680	.	1,100	2,475	706	8,781	12,602	13.4	4
602	325	3,087	3,412	1,812	41,220	2,780	6,998	58,884	58,884	23.7	5
07	211	2,149	2,360	496	2,695	...	2,307	11,365	11,365	16.5	6
685	1,031	5,270	6,301	2,638	13,289	18,490	38,564	87,967	87,967	8.1	7
688	380	1033	1,413	81	833	1,262	1,310	5,587	11,312	10.9	8
40	20	454	474	...	502	1,040	242	2,298	2,471	196.7	9
866	867	2,556	3,423	2,764	8,975	10,094	20,430	58,552	60,552	4.1	10
568	340	676	1,016	80	1,560	1,793	394	6,411	9,779	9.9	11
2,220	1,617	4,233	5,850	2,759	7,200	16,200	15,596	59,825	79,675	8.0	12
1,614	467	829	1,296	143	1,029	960	1,366	6,408	10,126	13.9	13
4,186	...	11,081	11,081	374	16,002	...	19,262	60,905	76,649	16.1	14
12	99	120	219	...	410	319	50	1,010	1,310	11.0	15
178	188	...	188	...	1,215	...	2,896	4,477	4,477	4.9	16
1,500	...	1,034	1,034	...	393	5,608	2,111	10,646	12,062	3.9	17
2,930	881	3,851	4,732	763	11,916	2,650	5,085	27,976	36,146	12.2	18
1,187	411	849	1,260	86	2,823	900	559	6,815	8,815	15.2	19
3,762	604	3,702	4,306	1,755	5,870	3,727	4,166	23,186	23,186	19.3	20
1,235	1,071	13,920	14,991	3,966	13,157	6,000	25,920	72,269	72,269	19.2	21
4,268	516	1,109	1,624	810	3,648	3,600	3,044	16,994	23,382	6.5	22
4,354	495	4,490	4,985	152	7,040	1,500	2,915	22,946	22,946	22.8	23
723	3,925	45,248	49,173	13,339	180	90,879	45,817	2,78,111	2,94,629	28.0	24
506	560	6,023	6,583	2,532	2,518	2,992	6,280	24,411	25,884	25.4	25
315	382	191	573	...	4,796	...	291	5,975	19,141	12.8	26
133	274	1,634	1,908	...	840	570	314	4,765	4,765	35.0	27
605	239	1,369	1,608	...	780	...	75	3,068	4,356	49.0	28
321	...	161	161	...	2,209	1,500	866	6,057	6,057	1.6	29
1,119	892	3,954	4,846	251	19,895	1,560	10,205	44,876	46,200	12.6	30
561	...	357	357	124	440	680	69	2,231	2,231	11.0	31
1,132	437	885	1,322	54	2,122	2,850	3,276	10,756	10,898	16.7	32
...	...	28	28	19	349	396	396	-2.4	33
633	454	1,949	2,403	549	4,060	600	2,889	12,134	18,634	10.0	34
1,642	421	808	1,229	383	2,400	3,929	3,617	13,200	18,126	7.2	35
1,682	319	1,108	1,427	350	2,818	1,160	988	8,425	8,425	20.1	36
4,973	1,846	14,362	16,208	13,850	36,911	18,240	27,813	1,27,695	1,58,311	9.9	37
3,086	377	3,073	3,450	17	1,171	1,819	1,234	10,777	17,360	19.8	38
4,073	513	3,440	3,953	114	10,340	2,899	1,302	22,681	24,742	15.2	39
5,245	501	5,913	6,414	40	2,160	2,684	2,009	18,552	22,060	29.7	40
1,654	509	998	1,507	176	2,937	500	837	7,611	7,611	34.8	41
1,312	686	4,082	4,768	1,360	2,394	12,605	4,136	26,575	1,10,006	21.8	42
40,348	...	8,021	8,021	112	8,884	10,800	2,468	70,633	70,705	11.1	43
2,608	836	2,250	3,086	53	2,436	...	788	8,971	9,031	28.6	44
3,262	470	1,995	2,465	143	1,481	439	9.6	7,756	8,251	29.6	45
320	...	104	104	...	210	...	87	721	934	12.2	46
776	...	70	70	...	918	...	105	1,869	2,019	2.3	47
7,157	1,165	6,799	7,964	2,864	2,450	4,469	12,252	37,156	37,201	23.5	48
2,433	2,015	7,511	9,526	691	2,738	5,224	4,314	24,926	1,29,283	17.0	49
...	...	1,220	1,220	5,000	6,220	6,220	5.3	50
5,492	...	2,256	2,256	77	1,132	755	2,814	12,36	12,436	10.1	51
11,878	719	4,113	4,832	782	5,410	1,680	2,732	27,314	29,633	18.8	52
667	179	285	464	12	...	897	166	2,206	2,206	18.5	53
2,608	...	1,585	1,585	179	646	...	670	6,968	7,763	16.6	54
762	...	252	252	...	400	...	11	1,365	1,365	16.6	55
761	...	140	140	33	...	285	12	1,231	1,231	7.6	56

INVESTMENTS, LOANS AND DEBT TRANSACTIONS.											
District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Repayment of debts.	Purchase of			Loans.		Advances made to tenants.	Cost of permanent improvements.	
				Land.	House property	Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Made to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other immoveable property.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
MULTAN	56	Sher Shah	1,871	518	
	57	Jalalpur Pirwala	150	
	58	Dab Kalan	
MUZAFFARGARH	59	Sheikh Umar	3,202	12	..	
	60	Rangpur	
	61	Paunta Malana	
	62	Khangarh	
	63	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	124	59	224	
	64	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan	18	25	
	65	Daera Din Panah	1,762	18	27	
66	Dogar Kalasra	541	12	..		
DEBRA GHAZI KHAN.	67	Hajipur	8,000	
	68	Khan Behsudur Sardar Din Mohd. Khan, C.I.E., of Leghari.	
	69	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qaisrani.	
	70	Batil	
	71	Khan Bahadur Nawab Mohd. Jamal Khan, M.L.C.	15,000	313	141	2,699
	72	Mazari
Total			2,24,927	11,546	638	1,23,199	8,021	3,671	4,011	42,942	

Figures are not yet

IV.—CONCLUDED.

SEPTEMBER 1933.—CONCLUDED.

ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.											
3	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
and Haq-ul-tahsil.	Ward's rate.	Cost of manager and staff.	Total cost of Management (sum of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary improvements and repairs.	Personal expenses of wards including cost of education.	Allowances to wards' family.	Expenditure on law, domestic ceremonies, servants, stables, etc.	Total ordinary expenditure.	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management (column 16) on total ordinary income (column 17) of statement, No. III.	Serial No.
9,204	529	4,481	5,010	367	1,890	932	7,012	20,415	22,804	21.8	56
1,690	..	3,977	3,977	53	1,895	810	1,661	10,086	10,236	32.6	57
..	58
1,046	..	542	542	60	300	..	74	2,022	5,236	13.2	59
..	60
..	61
1,804	..	693	693	228	488	425	608	4,246	4,529	14.0	62
679	..	344	344	..	254	..	47	1,360	1,527	18.7	63
775	..	344	344	35	50	372	112	1,688	1,733	17.0	64
789	..	610	610	..	93	..	111	1,603	3,377	26.2	65
2,326	..	323	323	207	719	..	732	4,307	5,195	5.8	66
345	366	115	481	55	1,800	..	2,979	5,660	13,660	6.9	67
1,160	1,005	1,456	2,461	77	711	326	136	4,971	4,871	57.1	68
5	837	75	912	..	2,092	8,406	..	11,415	11,415	7.5	69
1,519	411	592	1,003	833	800	1,203	..	5,358	5,358	31.4	70
13,429	2,425	12,900	15,325	6,435	19,500	12,798	11,176	78,663	96,816	16.4	71
availab e.	72
22,779	34,098	2,31,916	2,66,014	66,071	3,08,418	2,79,451	3,21,635	15,64,368	19,83,323	15.7	

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	LAND PURCHASED.		LAND SOLD.	
			Area.	Value.	Area.	Value.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.
HISSAR	1	Barsi
	2	Hajampur
	3	Sirsa
GURGAON	4	Farukh Nagar
KARNAL	5	Sidhowal
	6	Arnauli
	7	Jharauli	10	1,600
	8	Shamgarh
AMBALA	9	Buria
	10	Sohana
	11	Manauli
	12	Ramgarh
KANGRA	13	Jagadhri	174	23,545
	14	Lambagraon
	15	Lahul
HOSHIARPUR	16	Dada Siba
	17	Amb
	18	Bhabaur
JULLUNDUR	19	Anandpur
	20	Kartarpur
LUDHIANA	21	Ber Khurd
	22	S. Jaswant Singh	4	1,200
FEROZEPOR	23	Mamdote	1,059	3,13,420	8	477
	24	Behk	91
	25	Shamsher Ali Khan
	26	Buttar	124	26,834
	27	Malout
LAHORE	28	F. S. Najam-ud-Din
	29	Rani Chhaunian	0-18	201
	30	Killa Jiwan Singh
	31	S. Kesri Singh
AMRITSAR	32	Pipri
	33	Nowshera Nangli
SHEIKHUPURA	34	Attari
	35	Chak Haiderabad and Sayedwala
SHANPUR	36	Sheikhupura
	37	Mitha Tiwana
	38	Tarkhanwala
JHELUM	39	Jahanian Shah
	40	Ghugh
RAWALPINDI	41	Estate of the heirs of the late R. B. S.	38	3,12,966
	42	Boota Singh
ATTOCK	43	Kallar
	44	Dharek
	45	Bahter
	46	Langer
MIANWALI	47	Peera Fatial
	48	Khan Mohd. Niwaz Khan
	49	Kalabagh	8	2,577
MONTGOMERY	50	Wan Bhachran
	51	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi
JHANG	52	Shah Jiwana	1,219
	53	Khan Wali Dad Khan
	54	Makhiana
	55	Khan Dalmir Khan
		Jhang Syal

STATEMENT

IMPORTANT TRANSACTIONS (IF ANY)

District	Serial No.	Name of estate	LAND PURCHASED.		LAND SOLD.	
			Area.	Value.	Area.	Value.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.
MULTAN	56	Sher Shah
	57	Jalalpur Pirwala
	58	Dab Kalan
MUZAFFARGARH	59	Sheikh Umar
	60	Rangpur
	61	Pamta Malana
	62	Khengarh
	63	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan
	64	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan
	65	Daera Din Panah
DERA GHAZI KHAN	66	Dogar Kalasra
	67	Hajipur
	68	K. B. Sardar Din Mohd. Khan, C.I.E., of Leghari.
	69	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan Qaisrani
	70	Batil
	71	K. B. Nawab Mohd. Jamal Khan, M. L. C.
	72	Mazari
		Total ..	1,081	3,20,107	344.18	3,64,023

Figures are not

7—CONCLUDED.

THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1933.

Serial No.	VALUE OF SECURITIES OF GOVERNMENT OR LOCAL BODIES.			VALUE OF LOANS MADE		VALUE OF LOANS RECEIVED FROM			Debts of estates paid of.	Serial No.
	Sold.	Purchased.	Sold.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons on security of immovable property.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.		
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	1,871	56
	57
	58
	3,202	59
	60
	61
	62
	124	63
	64
	1,762	65
	541	66
	8,000	67
	68
	69
	70
	15,000	71
available	72
538	1,44,385	1,17,753	2,03,775	8,021	3,585	6,100	2,24,927	

STATEMENT No. VI.

ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1933.

District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge.	ASSETS, OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR, ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR, ON RELEASE.										
							On assumption of charge.			On release.				Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.
							Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.																
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23						
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.						
Karnal	Sidhowal	Bhai Fateh Jaag Singh	4-6-13	28-2-33	81,001	...	988	850	4,244	992	988	4,280	52,429	1,09,804	1,28,578	22,061	2,60,463	2,23,226	1,94,470	1,27,900	78,268	6,23,864						
Do.	Shamgarh	S. Gurbathsh Singh	1-3-31	12-11-32	1,11,935	1,13,702	2,286	1,482	2,055	2,310	1,510	2,094	...	1,64,400	50,000	3,221	2,17,621	1,67,523	50,000	...	13,066	2,29,586						
Lahore	S. Kesri Singh	S. Kesri Singh	2-12-16	30-4-33	51,920	...	1,515	1,093	2,000	1,635	1,193	3,926	97,914	4,96,373	60,149	17,108	5,73,630	6,36,123	62,649	3,500	67,940	7,70,212						
Jhelum	Ghugh	Yakub Khan, Mohammad Besram Khan, Ayub Khan, Sher Khan, Ghulam Shah, Ghulam Mehdi.	20-1-30	15-9-33	10,472	...	3,460	1,389	1,621	3,461	1,388	1,654	1,674	3,20,000	13,000	17,545	3,50,545	1,80,000	7,000	6,000	25,679	2,18,679						
Mianwali	Kalabagh	Malik Amir Mohammad Khan.	1-11-24	4-2-33	75,942	4,424	9,317	75,227	10,546	2,433	1,09,393	32,00,000	3,03,034	5,41,180	40,47,223	37,78,788	2,26,608	75,000	4,28,436	45,08,932						
Kaltan	Dab Kalan	S. Mohammad Nawaz Khan.	23-5-24	5-10-33	3,232	...	6,981	3,331	8,569	7,005	3,610	4,108	7,359	10,31,200	1,09,660	29,990	11,70,810	10,32,704	1,09,650	...	64,405	12,06,759						
Muzaffargarh	Rangpur	Ch. Hoi Khan	22-4-30	25-9-33	19,721	19,671	347	105	245	347	105	245	...	1,64,183	2,900	205	1,66,393	1,64,188	2,600	...	205	1,66,393						
Do.	Paunta Malana	Jam Hashim Ali, Mohammad Hussain, Sultan Mahmud, Ali Mohammad, Ghulam Sarwar, Ghulam Qasim, Wahid Bakhsh.	13-5-30	25-9-33	52,000	52,543	1,239	725	1,456	1,239	725	1,456	...	5,57,953	17,300	...	5,75,352	5,57,992	17,300	...	350	5,75,602						
Dera Ghazi Khan	K. B. S. Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E.	K. B. S. Din Muhammad Khan, C.I.E.	20-6-25	19-2-33	1,18,713	94,757	22,401	2,583	1,617	23,448	2,570	1,160	...	2,07,040	58,000	11,414	2,76,454	1,63,680	58,000	...	10,164	2,31,844						
		Total	4,40,044	2,80,723	1,14,217	15,936	31,243	1,14,683	22,642	21,336	2,68,989	62,50,957	7,41,711	6,45,723	76,38,391	69,04,181	7,29,737	2,12,400	6,55,513	86,31,861						

STATEMENT No. VII.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES ; AND THE VALUE OF TOTAL CROPS IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR YEAR 1932-33.

DISTRICT.	Name of estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 15 of statement No. III).	Land Revenue and cesses (column 13 of Statement No. IV.)	Percentage of Land Revenue to rents, etc.	Rate of batai.	Value of total crops.	Explanation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
BAR	Barai	27,431	3,680	13.4	(a) Excludes Rs. 332, Rs. 113 and Rs. 423 included in column 14 of statement No. III on account of house-rent.
	Hajampur	6,897	716	10.4	
	Sirsa	28,864	7,093	24.5	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$	63,691	
GAON	Farrukh Nagar	9,202	2,820	30.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	64	(b) Excludes Rs. 11,009 on account of house rent.
BNAL	Sidhowal { B. Fateh Jang Singh. B. Sher Jang Singh.	(a) 3,552	(g) 1,009	28.4	$\frac{1}{2}$..	(c) Excludes Rs. 109 included in column 14 of statement No. III on account of house-rent.
		(a) 11,306	3,507	31.0	$\frac{1}{2}$	3,050	
	Arnauli	(a) 6,931	(g) 1,008	14.5	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	250	(d) Excludes Rs. 10,147 on account of house-rent.
	Jharauli	2,841	(g) 456	16.0	
Shamgarh	79	(h)	(e) Also include the estimated value of unsold grain.	
BALA	Buria	16,146	12,866	(m) 44.4	(f) Rents and sales of grain including value of unsold grain. amounted to Rs. 57,150. The estate's own share of land revenue is Rs. 15,058. Percentage has, therefore, been worked out on these two figures.
	Sohana	1,154	1,568	(m) 57.8	
	Manauli	21,515	12,220	(m) 36.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1,989	
	Ramgarh	2,787	1,614	(m) 36.6	$\frac{1}{2}$..	
	Jagadhri	22,255	14,186	(n) 63.7	$\frac{1}{2}$	37,264	
NGRA	Lambagraon	25	12	48.0	(g) Excludes Rs. 653, Rs. 7,677 and Rs. 232 included in column 13 of statement No. IV on account of commutation fee, haq-ul-tahsil, etc.
	Lahol	1,100	178	16.2	
	Dada Siba	3,576	(i) 3,151	88.1	..	7,728	
SHIARPUR	Amb	6,982	2,930	42.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	14,890	(h) Rupees 40 in column 13, statement IV is made up of mutation fee and land revenue from kharif 1928 to rabi 1930.
	Bhabaur	3,998	1,187	29.7	$\frac{1}{2}$	7,992	
	Anandpur	17,018	3,762	22.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	16,899	
DLUNDUB	Kartarpur	34,077	8,235	24.2	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	1,005	(i) Out of the total land revenue of Rs. 3,151, Rs. 1,500 were paid in cash and the balance was deducted from the jagir.
DHIANA	Ber Khurd	3,426	4,268	124.5	(j) Excludes Rs. 9,136, the amount of abiana paid on behalf of leasees, and Rs. 6,082, the amount of water advantage rate refunded to Khan Shah Nawaz Khan.
	S. Jaawant Singh	19,064	6,354	33.3	
ROZEPORH	Mamdot	1,09,662	(j) 43,505	39.7	(k) Excludes Rs. 253 on account of Haq-ul-tahsil.
	Behk	21,834	3,506	16.0	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	66,082	
	Shamsher Ali Khan	607	315	51.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	1,214	
	Buttar	3,752	1,133	30.2	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	11,776	
	Malout	3,251	605	18.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	6,555	
RORE	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	9,426	1,321	14.0	(l) Excludes Rs. 2,591 on account of Haq-ul-tahsil.
	Rani Chhaunian	28,315	8,119	28.7	
	Killa Jiwan Singh	3,153	561	17.7	$\frac{1}{2}$..	
	S. Kesri Singh	7,444	1,132	15.2	
	Pipri	1,162	
RITSAR	Newsbera Nangli	(b) 8,418	1,633	19.4	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	10,383	(m) Percentages have been worked out after excluding Rs. 5,699, Rs. 901, Rs. 4,457 and Rs. 594 on account of commutation fee and haq-ul-tahsil from the amounts shown in column 4.
	Attari	3,886	(k) 1,389	35.7	$\frac{1}{2}$	6,974	
SHEKHUPURA	Chak Haiderabad and Sayedwala	(c) 6,823	1,682	24.6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	4,170	(n) Land Revenue and local rate amount to Rs. 7,230 only and their percentage on rents, etc., works out at 32.5 only.
	Sheikhupura	(d) 21,848	(l) 12,082	55.3	
SHPUR	Mitha Tiwana	(e) 7,613	3,086	40.5	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	16,064	(n) Land Revenue and local rate amount to Rs. 7,230 only and their percentage on rents, etc., works out at 32.5 only.
	Tarkhanwala	15,140	4,073	26.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	30,278	
	Jabaniyan Shah	(e) 26,683	5,245	19.6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	53,366	
ELUM	Ghugh	3,404	1,654	48.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	2,302	
WALPINDI	Estate of heirs of the late R. B. S. Buta Singh Kallar	20,870	1,312	6.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	11,899	
	Kallar	(f) 57,150	(f) 15,058	26.3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	53,983	
ROCK	Dhshrek	9,995	2,608	26.1	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$	9,227	
	Bakhter	7,496	2,262	30.2	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$	4,580	
	Languer	851	320	37.6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$	1,155	
	Peera Fatial	2,683	776	28.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	2,606	
ANWALI	Khan Mohd. Niwaz Khan	32,253	7,157	22.2	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$	40,402	
	Kalabagh	48,541	2,433	5.0	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{5}$	71,672	
	Wan Bhachran	23,009	$\frac{1}{2}$	31,681	

STATEMENT No. VII.—CONCLUDED.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES; AND THE VALUE OF TOTAL CROPS IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR YEAR 1932-33.

DISTRICT.	Name of estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 15 of statement No. III).	Land Revenue and cesses (column 13 of Statement No. IV.)	Percentage of Land Revenue to rents, etc.	Rate of batai.	Value of total crops.	Explanation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MONTGOMERY ..	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi.	21,106	5,402	25·6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	19,981	(a) Released on 5th Oct 1933.
JHANG ..	Shah Jiwana ..	24,800	11,878	48·3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	37,450	(b) Percentage has been worked out after excluding Rs. 1,165 on account of land revenue of the last year from Rs. 9,204.
	Khan Wali Dad Khan ..	2,343	667	28·5	and $\frac{1}{2}$	3,219	
	Makhians ..	5,911	2,508	42·4	and $\frac{1}{2}$	6,705	
	Khan Daimir Khan ..	1,517	702	46·3	and $\frac{1}{2}$	1,951	(c) Percentage has been worked out on the total value of crops, column 7.
Jhang Syal ..	1,839	761	41·4	and $\frac{1}{2}$	3,070		
MULTAN ..	Sher Shah ..	19,403	9,204	(b) 41·4	$\frac{1}{2}$	20,530	(d) Percentage has been worked out on total value of crops: Rs. 3,800.
	Jalalpur Pirwala ..	7,805	1,690	21·6	$\frac{1}{2}$	17,915	
	Dab Kalan (a)	
MUKAFFARGAH ..	Sheikh Umar ..	2,760	1,046	(c) 27·5	$\frac{1}{2}$	3,800	(e) Percentage has been worked out after including Rs. 3,800 arrears of land revenue from total value of crops.
	Rangpur	
	Pamta Malana	
	Khan Garh ..	4,053	1,804	(d) 35·3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	5,108	
	Khan Faza Ahmad Khan.	1,368	679	(d) 38·8	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	1,747	(f) Percentage has been worked out on total value of crops—Rs. 12,754.
	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan	1,692	775	(d) 39·4	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	1,967	
	Daera Din Panah ..	1,875	789	(e) 35·1	and $\frac{1}{2}$	3,443	
Dogar Kalasra ..	4,665	2,326	(f) 18·1	and $\frac{1}{2}$	12,754		
DERA GHAZI KHAN.	Hajipur ..	625	345	55·2	
	K. B. Sardar Din Mohd. Khan, C. I. E. of Leghari.	4,090	1,160	28·3	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$	3,781	
	Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan, Qaisrani.	5,060	5	
	Batil ..	3,169	1,519	46·9	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	3,592	
	K. B. Nawab Mohd. Jamal Khan, M. L. C., Mazari.	40,994	13,429	32·7	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	52,000	
	Total ..	8,90,363	2,72,476	7,90,124	

2114

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS