

E54

REPORT  
ON THE  
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES  
UNDER THE  
COURT OF WARDS  
IN THE  
PUNJAB.

*For the year ending 30th September, 1936.*

E54 29



12822

Lahore :  
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB,  
1937.

Price : Re. 0-4-0 or 5d.



**Review of the Annual Report on the Administration of Estates  
under the Court of Wards in the Punjab for the year  
ending 30th September, 1936.**

THE number of estates under the Court of Wards in 1935-36 was 65 (1 less than in 1934-35), their area was 742,000 acres, their assets 6 crores, and their liabilities 86 lakhs; figures which are eloquent of the heavy responsibility devolving upon Deputy Commissioners. The estates benefited by the somewhat better economic conditions prevailing during the year. Arrears decreased, debt was reduced by over 11 lakhs, and in 53 estates the cost of management was less than 20 per cent. of ordinary income, an improvement on the year before.

2. The reduction of debt would have been much less but for sale of property on a considerable scale. There is much to be said for selling part of a large estate, if only to relieve it of heavy interest charges, provided the general character of the estate is not impaired and the traditions of the family concerned are respected. The improvement in economic conditions has greatly facilitated this, and nearly 5½ lakhs were realised in this way. It is interesting to note that much better prices were obtained than was expected, especially in the case of land not affected by the Land Alienation Act.

3. It is now possible, if certain conditions are fulfilled, for estates to obtain loans from Central Co-operative Banks at 5 per cent. This, together with the sale of property, has made it possible to effect favourable settlements with some of the larger creditors. In Montgomery, for example, the Deputy Commissioner was able to settle debts of 3¾ lakhs for 2¼ lakhs, a reduction of 40 per cent. This is a good example of the willingness of money-lenders now-a-days to reduce their claims substantially for cash.

4. Management by the Court of Wards affords an excellent opportunity for demonstrating the possibilities of land improvement and good estate management. It is pleasant, therefore, to read of the general distribution of good seed, the purchase of approved bulls, the sinking of new wells and the repairing of old ones, the clearance of waste land (200 acres on one estate), and of experiments with new crops such as ground nuts. These are all steps in the right direction, but the pace varies considerably with the Manager. It is desirable that activity in this direction should be encouraged and extended. Whenever the confirmation of a Manager or the extension of his appointment has to be considered, this aspect of his work should not be forgotten.

5. There should also be no hesitation in replacing Managers who are inefficient or otherwise unsatisfactory. This applies particularly to those who enjoy comparatively large salaries. The audit reports suggest that some have been too leniently dealt with in the past. This is perhaps because the Deputy Commissioners concerned have not found time to look sufficiently closely into the affairs of their estates. Every estate should be carefully inspected once a year either by the Deputy Commissioner or by some responsible officer under him, and it would be as well if the Deputy Commissioner made a point of inspecting each estate himself at least every other year. Government notes with pleasure the good work done by the Deputy Commissioners and Managers mentioned in paragraph 17 of the report.

6. Education is perhaps the most important responsibility of the Court. It is satisfactory, therefore, to note that out of 81 wards (including 24 girls) all but 15 are at school or at college. But only 14 are at the Aitchison College. Now that the latter is increasing in scope and size and is becoming more representative of the landed and educated classes of the province, it is more than ever desirable that as many wards as possible should get the benefit of education there. Government trusts, therefore, that this will not be lost sight of whenever this is financially possible.

7. It remains to add that Mr. B. H. Dobson, C.B.E., I.C.S., was in charge for the first 3½ months of the year and Mr. M. L. Darling, C.I.E., I.C.S., for the remaining period.

M. L. DARLING,  
*Secretary to Government, Punjab,  
Development Department.*



Review of the Annual Report on the Administration of Estates  
under the Court of Wards in the Period for the Year  
ending 31st September, 1934.

The Court of Wards in 1934 was the largest it has been since 1925. The number of wards was 1,100, and the total value of the estates was £1,100,000,000. The Court has been successful in its work, and the estates have been administered in a most efficient manner. The Court has also been successful in its work of protecting the interests of the wards, and in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards. It has done this by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

The Court has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the education of the wards, and by providing for the maintenance of the wards. It has also been successful in its work of promoting the welfare of the wards by providing for the medical care of the wards, and by providing for the employment of the wards.

**REPORT**  
ON THE  
**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES**  
UNDER THE  
**COURT OF WARDS**  
IN THE  
**PUNJAB**

*For the year ending 30th September, 1936.*

1. *Estates under management.*—The last report dealt with 66 estates. During the year under report three estates were released and two new ones taken over, leaving 65 at the end of the year. The estates including those released and taken over embraced an area of 741,605 acres and possessed assets valued at six crores ; but also liabilities of 86.51 lakhs.

2. *Estates released during the year* were :—

(1) *Mandal estate (Karnal).*—On the suggestion of the United Provinces Court of Wards, the estate of Nawabzada Muhammad Sadaqat Ali Khan of Karnal and his two half brothers was taken over in November, 1933, when litigation was in progress between the heirs for the partition of the joint property. The officials in charge of the two Courts of Wards used their good offices in ending the long standing litigation by amicable settlement, thus averting the grave danger which threatened the family resources and prestige.

(2) *Jagadhri estate (Ambala).*—The estate was heavily encumbered to the extent of Rs. 21.82 lakhs when it was taken under superintendence in 1930 at the request of Raja Joti Parshad of Jagadhri and his family. The assets were valued at Rs. 29.88 lakhs approximately. The income was much below the unavoidable ordinary expenditure. Besides, the interest charges had risen to over Rs. 1½ lakhs per annum. A quinquennial programme for the reduction of debt by means of sale of property was drawn up. Property worth Rs. 2.72 lakhs was sold and debts with a face value of Rs. 3.46 lakhs thereby liquidated, but further progress became impossible due to causes over which the Court of Wards had no control. The wards realised the difficulties of the Court of Wards and were anxious to seek the protection of the United Provinces Encumbered Estates Act. The estate was, therefore, released at their request on 31st May, 1936. In the meantime liabilities had increased with the addition of interest charges to 27.65 lakhs.

(3) *Sheikhupura estate.*—The liabilities of the estate when taken over on 1st August, 1930, at the request of Raja Dhyan Singh were Rs. 1.74 lakhs as against assets of the value of Rs. 59.80 lakhs. It remained under superintendence for five years during which period the debts were all discharged without the sale of any property and in addition Rs. 58,496 were spent on permanent improvements.

3. *Estates taken over.*—Of the two estates taken over in 1935-36, that of Sodhi Karam Singh of Guru Harsahai in the Ferozepore district is of some importance. The debts are returned at 4.73 lakhs : the assets at Rs. 17.44 lakhs and the total income at Rs. 31,371. The second estate belongs to S. Bakhtiyar Hussain, a minor of the United Provinces. The property consists only of a house in the Lahore City. Action has been taken at the request of the United Provinces Court of Wards.

4. *Income.*—Total ordinary income amounted to Rs. 17.89 lakhs as against Rs. 17.09 lakhs last year, and taking the 54 estates which were under superintendence during the last two years the figures for each year compare as follows :—

	1935.	1936.
	<i>Lakhs.</i>	<i>Lakhs.</i>
	Rs.	Rs.
Jagir .. .. .	2.89	2.87
Cash-rents .. .. .	3.30	3.54
Sale of grain, etc. .. .. .	5.09	5.91
Other income .. .. .	1.62	1.52
Total .. .. .	12.90	13.84

The rise in income is mainly due to the improved agricultural conditions prevailing in the Province.

5. *Expenditure*.—Ordinary expenditure shows a satisfactory decline in cost of management and litigation.

	1935.	1936.
	Lakhs.	Lakhs.
	Rs.	Rs.
Cost of managers and staff .. .. .	1·62	1·58
Personal expenses of wards .. .. .	1·97	2·04
Allowances to wards' families .. .. .	1·56	1·64
Law, domestic ceremonies, etc. .. .. .	2·26	2·16
Total .. .. .	7·41	7·42

6. *Wards' rate*.—The estates paid Rs. 32,656 to Government as wards rate. In the last report it was stated that the scale of this rate was being reviewed. The whole position has now been examined and the rate has been revised as under :—

- (1) On gross income upto Rs. 5,000, 5 per cent. as before.
- (2) On the excess upto Rs. 10,000, 4 per cent. instead of 2 per cent.
- (3) On the excess upto Rs. 20,000, 3 per cent. instead of 1 per cent.
- (4) On the excess above Rs. 20,000, 2 per cent. instead of 1 per cent.

Effect has been given to the revised scales from 1st October, 1936.

7. *Cost of management*.—The improved economy in the cost of management is reflected by the following figures :—

	1935.	1936.
Number of estates in which the cost of management was—		
(a) less than 10 per cent. of total ordinary income .. .. .	18	24
(b) between 10 and 20 per cent. .. .. .	27	29
(c) between 20 and 30 per cent. .. .. .	12	8
(d) over 30 per cent. .. .. .	7	5*
Total .. .. .	64	66

Two of the five estates whose expenditure on the cost of management exceeded 30 per cent. of the total ordinary income were released during the year and one—that of Behk—is being released after the close of the year. The circumstances of the estate of Rai Bahadur Sardar Boota Singh as stated in last year's report are peculiar. The rise in the cost of management of the Vehali estate is due to extensive touring by the manager and his staff who had to conduct extensive sales of property.

8. *Assets*.—Total assets are 601 lakhs as compared with 617 lakhs last year. The decrease occurred under the heading "capitalised value of jagir" owing to the release of the Arnauli estate last year. The amount of securities held by the estates is Rs. 7.10 lakhs, while Rs. 6.58 lakhs were lying in treasuries as compared with 4.41 lakhs last year. Balances were high in the case of Buria, Amb, Guru Harsahai, Eminabad, Vehali and Mazari, and the attention of the Deputy Commissioners concerned will be drawn to this.

Behk estate acquired proprietary rights in 114.5 acres of *nahri* land in the Nili Bar for Rs. 14,941. Other purchases of land and house property were

\*Mandal, Behk, Shekhupura, Boota Singh, Vehali.



negligible. On the other hand sales for the liquidation of debts were considerably more numerous than last year, thus—

	Land.	House property.
	Rs.	Rs.
Barsi .. .. .	..	72,490*
Vehali .. .. .	2,14,004	43,815
Boota Singh .. .. .	5,000	3,900
Kallar .. .. .	10,715	14,140
Harbans Singh .. .. .	78,670	..
Hardit Singh .. .. .	..	44,209
Dalmir Khan .. .. .	18,000	..
Jhang Syal .. .. .	8,605	..
Khosa .. .. .	26,252	..

In most cases the prices received were much in excess of those anticipated, particularly in the case of estates of non-agriculturist wards.

Buria, Newshehra, Nangli and Mukhiana purchased securities worth Rs. 25,000, 10,200 and 12,000 respectively.

9. *Liabilities.*—The total liabilities of the 54 estates under superintendence during the last two years, excluding estates released and taken over during the year, decreased from Rs. 35.82 lakhs to Rs. 31.72 lakhs.

10. *Reduction of debt.*—Debts to the extent of Rs. 11.22 lakhs were liquidated as against Rs. 7.42 lakhs last year. With one exception (Jagadhri) all estates made satisfactory progress in this respect. Vehali did best with a payment of Rs. 2.38 lakhs. The following also paid off large sums :—

	Rs.
Barsi .. .. .	85,448
Maharaja Lambagraon .. .. .	28,875
Eminabad .. .. .	32,108
Wan Bhachran .. .. .	18,962
Baba Hardit Singh .. .. .	61,904
Shah Jiwana .. .. .	11,579
Mazari .. .. .	44,026
Khosa .. .. .	41,556

A scheme has been sanctioned by Government under which it has become possible for the Court of Wards estates to take loans from the Central Co-operative Banks approved by the Registrar, on giving security of immovable property and an undertaking not to release the estate until the loan has been repaid in full. This means of obtaining ready money facilitates the converting of loans carrying exorbitant rates of interest into loans with reasonable interest and also enables bargaining with creditors who are generally willing to forego large sums if paid in cash. In this way, two Bedi estates, one of Raja Sir Gurbakhsh Singh in Rawalpindi and the other of his nephew B. Harbans Singh of Montgomery, raised loans of Rs. 70,000 and Rs. 1,55,000 respectively from the Central Co-operative Banks and discharged liabilities to the extent of Rs. 1,23,676 and Rs. 2,51,202 respectively.

The total liabilities at the end of the year amounted to Rs. 86.51 lakhs and were made up as follows :—

Due to—	Lakhs. Rs.
(a) Government .. .. .	2.45
(b) Estates under the Court of Wards .. .. .	0.60
(c) Others .. .. .	83.46

11. *Arrears of rent* in all the estates decreased in the course of the year by 90 thousand to 9.02 lakhs. It is true that there is an apparent increase

\*Property was acquired by Government under the Land Acquisition Act.

from 4.45 lakhs to 4.64 lakhs in the figures for the 54 estates that remained under management for the last two years; but again the figures are illusory. Last year the arrear for the Guru Harshai Estate were understated by Rs. 31,000. The following are the estates in which arrears are shown as increased:—

Estate.	ARREARS.	
	1935. Rs.	1936. Rs.
Farrukhnagar .. .. .	7,052	7,769
Ramgarh .. .. .	5,730	6,229
Bhabaur .. .. .	2,090	2,713
Guru Harsahai .. .. .	59,810	91,216
Rani Chhaunian .. .. .	28,454	43,141
Kallar .. .. .	2,409	7,188
Muhammad Niwaz Khan .. .. .	4,058	6,568
Shah Jiwana .. .. .	9,594	15,940
Qaisrani .. .. .	1,650	7,926

The increase in the case of Guru Harshai is more apparent than real. Last year's figures were an estimate incomplete and inexact. The large arrears in the Rani Chhaunian estate were due to the inefficiency of the manager who has since been replaced. The increase in the Qaisrani estate was due to the default of a lessee who was found to be a pauper and to whom the lease was granted without proper enquiry into his financial position. Instructions have now been issued designed to safeguard the possibility of such defaults in future.

12. *Cash and Batai rents.*—Excluding estates released and taken over during the year, the total cultivated area of the remaining 63 estates was 245,456 acres, which yielded a gross income of Rs. 11,05,685 in the form of cash and batai rents. The average income per cultivated acre thus works out to Rs. 4-8-1. Last year's figure was Rs. 5-12-3. But no comparison is useful: because last year's figure included "arrears." The amount of land revenue paid was Rs. 2,08,507, averaging Re. 0-13-1 per cultivated acre.

Most tenants still prefer *batai* rents. The Deputy Commissioner, Shahpur, reports that tenants could not be found for two-thirds of the culturable area of the Mitha Tiwana estate. This reveals a state of affairs which is *prima facie* unsatisfactory and further investigation will be made.

13. *Expenditure on improvements.*—This year Rs. 26,070 only were spent on permanent improvements. Last year the figure was Rs. 41,495. But there was nothing done under this head that calls for comment.

14. *Agricultural developments.*—In Nowshera Nangli a beginning has been made with a scheme for grafting "Ber" trees.

The Dharek estate (Attock) maintained its reputation of last year for producing improved wheat and winning first prizes for cotton, maize, bajra and wheat in the tahsil exhibitions. Groundnuts have been sown with successful results.

Sixty acres of waste land were broken up for cultivation in Jalpana estate (Mianwali).

In the estate of B. Harbans Singh three wells were bored and three new ones sunk and 3 new water-courses excavated. One hundred and twenty-five acres of waste land were cleared and brought under cultivation. The orchard at Gobindpur planted last year was extended by two acres and 350 new fruit trees were planted. The floors of 11 store rooms were cemented and two new stores constructed.

Two hundred acres of land were cleared and brought under cultivation in B. Hardit Singh estate. New wells were sunk and old ones repaired.

Cotton was sown in lines in the two Bedi estates in Montgomery district.

Good seed was widely distributed and the instructions issued on the subject were followed by almost all estates. Cattle breeding received an impetus



from His Excellency the Viceroy's scheme for the distribution of bulls and the concession price sanctioned by the Punjab Government was secured in the case of 29 bulls.

15. The importance of encouraging better living among the zemindars entrusted to the care of the Court has been impressed on all managers. The results are encouraging. A large number of ventilators have been provided, mosquito breeding pools filled and hand pumps for drinking water sunk. Especially active were the estates of Jalpana, B. Harbans Singh and B. Hardit Singh.

The Kartarpur estate donated Rs. 200 towards The Boy Scouts Fund and Rs. 500 were given by the Rani Chhaonian estate for relief of distress caused by the Ravi flood.

The scheme for tattooing of animals is followed in the Mazari estate.

The reduction of extravagance or social ceremonies is always a difficulty. Efforts at economy are generally obstructed and it was impossible to avoid an expenditure of Rs. 5,000 in the Dada Siba estate on the marriage of the late Raja's daughter, of Rs. 1,900 in the Amb estate on the death ceremony of His Highness the Raja of Chamba, and of Rs. 1,800 in the Rani Chhaonian estate on the birth and *mundan* ceremonies of the ward's great nephew. In happy contrast the wards of the Jahanian Shah estate were content with an expenditure of Rs. 3,000 only on the marriages of no less than six members.

16. *Education and training.*—The information under the head, which is given in detail in Appendix A may be summed up thus :—

Number of wards and their dependants reading in—

Degree Colleges	..	..	..	..	..	7
Aitchison College	..	..	..	..	..	14
Mayo College, Ajmer	..	..	..	..	..	1
Intermediate Colleges	..	..	..	..	..	8
Royal Indian Military College	..	..	..	..	..	2
Colonel Brown's College, Dehra Dun	..	..	..	..	..	1
Queen Mary College	..	..	..	..	..	10
High Schools	..	..	..	..	..	11
Other schools	..	..	..	..	..	17
European Governess	..	..	..	..	..	1
Private tuition	..	..	..	..	..	14
						—
				Total	..	81

Twenty-four of these are girls. Bibi Ratan Amol Kaur of Buria attained the distinction of passing the Matriculation Examination from the Queen Mary College. She is now studying in the College for Women, Lahore.

In order to train estate managers in improved methods of agriculture a short course was started by the Agricultural Department at the Punjab Agricultural College. The course was a great success and the experiment will be repeated next year also.

17. *Notice of Officers.*—The Financial Commissioner, Development, desires to convey his thanks for the special attention and care which has been given by district officers generally to the administration of estates under the Court of Wards and notes with particular pleasure the efficient manner in which Messrs. E. A. R. Eustace, P. N. Thapar and V. Stainton looked after the estates in their charge.

Sardar Kehr Singh, P.C.S., did useful work in connection with the Shish Mahal case in which the whole of the Kartarpur estate was involved.

The work of Bawa Sundar Singh (Eminabad), of Jemadar Suraj Bhan (Bedi estates Montgomery), of Bhai Pritam Singh, manager of the Mitha Tiwana and Jahanian Shah estates was commendable: and that of M. Sher Bahadur, manager of the Dharek and Bahtar estates, was excellent.

M. Abdullah, Kanungo, has proved most useful as manager of Jalalpur-Pirwala estate.

Sardar Aurangzeb Khan deserves credit for another year of good work in the Mazari estate where he has faced loneliness and difficulty with cheerfulness and success.

18. *Guardians and Wards Act.*—No new estate is reported to have been added under the guardianship of the Deputy Commissioners under the Guardians and Wards Act.



## APPENDIX A.

Estate.	Wards.	Dependants.	Institution in which receiving education.
1. Barsi ..	Two girls and one boy	..	Private tuition.
2. Sirsa ..	Muin-ud-Din ..	..	Aitchison College, Lahore.
3. Farrukhnagar ..	..	Daughter ..	Private tuition.
4. Jharauli ..	{ Bhupindar Singh .. Harindar Singh .. }	..	Government High School, Karnal.
5. Burian ..	{ Rattan Amol Singh Lal Amol Singh. }	*Bibi Rattan Amol Kaur (sister).	{ Government College, Lahore. Aitchison College, Lahore.
*Degree College for Women, Lahore.			
6. Sohana ..	..	{ Bir Indar Singh (son) .. Shiv Indar Singh (son). }	Khalsa High School, Ambala.
7. Manauli ..	..	{ Satindar Singh (son) .. Bibi Satindar Kaur (daughter). }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Government Girls High School, Ambala.
8. Ramgarh ..	..	Two sons ..	Primary School, Ramgarh.
9. Lambagraon Maharaja.	{ Dhuru Dev Chand .. Baldev Chand. }	..	{ Mayo College, Ajmer. Queen Mary College, Lahore.
10. Dada Siba	{ Harmohindar Singh .. Rajbindar Singh. }	..	{ Aitchison College, Lahore. Queen Mary College, Lahore.
11. Amb ..	..	Chain Singh (son) ..	Colonel Brown's College, Dehra Dun.
12. Anandpur ..	Harautar Singh	{ Bibi Harautar Kaur (sister). }	Government College, Lahore. Private tuition.
13. Ber ..	Hanwantraj Singh	{ Sister .. }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Queen Mary College, Lahore.
14. Guru Harsahai ..	..	{ Atamjit Singh .. Three daughters .. }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Private tuition.
15. S. Karam Singh ..	..	{ Two sons .. Two daughters .. One son and one daughter }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Queen Mary College, Lahore. Private tuition.
16. Nowshera Nangli	Surrindar Singh ..	..	Government College, Lahore.
17. Muhammad Zaman.	{ Muhammad Zaman .. Masud Zaman .. }	..	Government Intermediate College, Gujrat.
	{ Abdur Rahman .. Habib-uz-Zaman. Noor Zaman. }	..	Mission School, Gujrat.
	{ Said Zaman .. }	..	Aitchison College, Lahore.
	..	{ Inayat Ali (son) .. Two sons .. }	Government College, Lahore Government High School, Shahpur.
18. Jahanian Shah ..	..	..	..
19. Vahali ..	..	{ Sarvindar Singh .. Two daughters .. }	R. I. M. C. Dehra Dun. Queen Mary College, Lahore.
20. Dharek ..	Rab Nawaz Khan ..	..	R. I. M. C. Dehra Dun.
21. Bahtar	{ Muhammad Hayat .. Ifzal Ahmed .. Iftikhar Ahmed. .. }	..	Government Intermediate College, Campbellpur. Municipal School, Campbellpur.
	{ Safdar Ahmed .. Ilahi Bakhs. }	..	Middle School, Lawa.



Estate.	Wards.	Dependants.	Institution in which receiving education.
23. B. Harbans Singh	..	Polindar Singh .. } Ghanisham Singh (sons) .. } One daughter .. }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Private tuition.
24. B. Hardit Singh ..	..	Surrindar Singh (son) .. } Bibi Mohan Kaur (daughter).	Government College, Lahore. Girls High School, Montgomery.
25. Shahjiwana ..	..	One son .. } One son and one daughter	Aitchison College, Lahore. Queen Mary College, Lahore.
26. Mukhiana ..	Jehangir Khan	.. } Three aunts. .. }	Aitchison College, Lahore. Private tuition.
27. Dalmir Khan ..	..	Son ..	Primary School.
28. Jhang Sial	{ Habibullah Khan .. } Azizullah Khan. .. }	..	Primary School.
29. Sher Shah ..	..	Grand son ..	Private tuition.
30. Taunsa	{ Qutab-ud-Din .. } Afifa Bibi .. }	.. ..	Aitchison College, Lahore. European Governess.
31. Khangarh	{ Nasrullah Khan .. } Saidullah Khan .. }	.. ..	Government College, Multan. Government School, Muzaffargarh.
32. Fazal Ahmed ..	..	Sons ..	Government High School, Muzaffargarh.
33. Zafar Samad ..	Safar Samad ..	.. ..	Primary School, Khangarh.
34. Dogar Kalasra ..	Khair Muhammad ..	.. ..	Local Primary School.
35. Mazari ..	Balakhsher ..	.. ..	Queen Mary College, Lahore.
		Sisters ..	Girls School, Rojhan.

NOTE.—Of these Muin-ud-Din and Bhupindar Singh have done badly.

STATEMENTS.

No.	Name	Address	City	State	Occupation
1	John A. Smith	123 Main St	Chicago	Ill.	Merchant
2	James B. Jones	456 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Lawyer
3	Robert C. Brown	789 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Engineer
4	William D. White	1011 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Physician
5	Thomas E. Black	1313 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Teacher
6	Charles F. Green	1615 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Artist
7	Edward G. Hall	1917 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Musician
8	George H. King	2219 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Writer
9	Frank I. Lee	2521 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Actor
10	Harold J. Scott	2823 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Scientist
11	Arthur K. Adams	3125 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
12	Walter L. Baker	3427 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
13	Richard M. Clark	3729 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
14	Joseph N. Evans	4031 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
15	Samuel O. Fisher	4333 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
16	John P. Grant	4635 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
17	Henry Q. Hill	4937 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
18	Robert R. King	5239 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
19	William S. Lee	5541 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
20	Charles T. Scott	5843 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
21	Edward U. Adams	6145 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
22	George V. Baker	6447 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
23	Frank W. Clark	6749 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
24	Harold X. Evans	7051 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
25	Arthur Y. Fisher	7353 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
26	Walter Z. Grant	7655 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
27	Richard AA. Hill	7957 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
28	Joseph BB. King	8259 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
29	Samuel CC. Lee	8561 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
30	John DD. Scott	8863 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
31	Henry EE. Adams	9165 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
32	Robert FF. Baker	9467 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
33	William GG. Clark	9769 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
34	Charles HH. Evans	10071 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
35	Edward II. Fisher	10373 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
36	George JJ. Grant	10675 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
37	Frank KK. Hill	10977 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
38	Harold LL. King	11279 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
39	Arthur MM. Lee	11581 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
40	Walter NN. Scott	11883 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
41	Richard OO. Adams	12185 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
42	Joseph PP. Baker	12487 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
43	Samuel QQ. Clark	12789 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
44	John RR. Evans	13091 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
45	Henry SS. Fisher	13393 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
46	Robert TT. Grant	13695 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
47	William UU. Hill	13997 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
48	Charles VV. King	14299 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
49	Edward WW. Lee	14601 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
50	George XX. Scott	14903 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
51	Frank YY. Adams	15205 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
52	Harold ZZ. Baker	15507 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
53	Arthur AAA. Clark	15809 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
54	Walter BBB. Evans	16111 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
55	Richard CCC. Fisher	16413 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
56	Joseph DDD. Grant	16715 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
57	Samuel EEE. Hill	17017 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
58	John FFF. King	17319 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
59	Henry GGG. Lee	17621 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
60	Robert HHH. Scott	17923 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
61	William III. Adams	18225 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
62	Charles LLL. Baker	18527 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
63	Edward MMM. Clark	18829 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
64	George NNN. Evans	19131 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
65	Frank OOO. Fisher	19433 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
66	Harold PPP. Grant	19735 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
67	Arthur QQQ. Hill	20037 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
68	Walter RRR. King	20339 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
69	Richard SSS. Lee	20641 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
70	Joseph TTT. Scott	20943 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
71	Samuel UUU. Adams	21245 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
72	John VVV. Baker	21547 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
73	Henry WWW. Clark	21849 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
74	Robert XXX. Evans	22151 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
75	William YYY. Fisher	22453 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
76	Charles ZZZ. Grant	22755 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
77	Edward AAAA. Hill	23057 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
78	George BBBB. King	23359 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
79	Frank CCCC. Lee	23661 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
80	Harold DDDD. Scott	23963 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
81	Arthur EEEE. Adams	24265 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
82	Walter FFFF. Baker	24567 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
83	Richard GGGG. Clark	24869 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
84	Joseph HHHH. Evans	25171 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
85	Samuel IIII. Fisher	25473 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
86	John JJJJ. Grant	25775 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
87	Henry KKKK. Hill	26077 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
88	Robert LLLL. King	26379 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
89	William MMMM. Lee	26681 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
90	Charles NNNN. Scott	26983 Elm St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
91	Edward OOOO. Adams	27285 Maple St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
92	George PPPP. Baker	27587 Oak St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher
93	Frank QQQQ. Clark	27889 Pine St	Chicago	Ill.	Biologist
94	Harold RRRR. Evans	28191 Cedar St	Chicago	Ill.	Geologist
95	Arthur SSSS. Fisher	28493 Birch St	Chicago	Ill.	Astronomer
96	Walter TTTT. Grant	28795 Spruce St	Chicago	Ill.	Chemist
97	Richard UUUU. Hill	29097 Ash St	Chicago	Ill.	Physicist
98	Joseph VVVV. King	29399 Hickory St	Chicago	Ill.	Mathematician
99	Samuel WWWW. Lee	29701 Walnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Historian
100	John XXXX. Scott	30003 Chestnut St	Chicago	Ill.	Philosopher





I.

## STATEMENT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1936.

Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total amount on assumption of charge.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.		
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	
Section 6 of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	1,915	11,569	8,387	6,413	2,80,218	7,8
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	3,481	2,981	1,652	1,87,034	3,3
Section 6 ditto	..	12,192	7,279	7,310	51,641	6,7
Section 5 (2) (b) ditto	4,640	6,523	4,098	6,164	49,003	6,7
Section 6 ditto	1,632	672	237	445	1,16,119	1,5
Section 6 ditto	..	7,175	4,477	5,821	9,613	12,7
Section 6 ditto	53,863	4,274	3,029	5,668	4,000	26,7
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto	9,154	251	88	620	62,875	2,2
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto	51,005	4,474	2,843	8,536	1,51,221	22,2
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto	5,850	2,205	649	984	56,396	1,8
Sections 5 (1) and 6 ditto	..	28,686	18,451	34,215	21,81,546	29,8
Section 3 of Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,000	53	24	12	21,323	5
Sections 5 (1) and 6 of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	533	964	337	178	23,686	1,5
Section 6 ditto	20,977	26,097	1,776	3,151	26,006	8,5
Section 6 ditto	51,580	81,264	28,290	1,700	94,900	12,4
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto	22,434	1,922	772	1,284	..	10,0
Sections 6 and 45 ditto	847	1,949	390	836	47,645	7
Section 6 ditto	4,460	2,209	900	4,861	12,200	6,4
Section 6 ditto	13,500	12,024	9,473	7,825	27,000	14,5
Section 6 ditto	19,209	929	521	1,901	78,940	7,5
Section 6 ditto	235	9,504	8,302	3,533	16,849	23,1
Section 5 (1) ditto	8,192	6,856	5,394	3,917	6,64,335	22,1
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	456	455	235	77,800	7
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	6,865	5,579	3,511	4,57,469	15,2

## GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF THE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
on.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.
						17-12-27
		25	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	..	25-5-15
		26	Rani Chhaunian	Rani Chhaunian	..	
		27	Killa Jiwan Singh	S. Jagjit Singh	6-7-21	1-9-30
				S. Balwinder Singh	20-1-27	
	Lahore	28	Pipri	Kanwar Daljit Singh	..	20-10-30
		29	Ahluwal	Captain Kanwar Jasjit Singh	..	27-11-34
				Kanwarani Parbati	..	27-11-34
		30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain	..	1-10-35
		31	Nowshera Nangli	S. Surrindar Singh	16-9-16	21-6-27
	Amritsar	32	Attari	S. Balwant Singh	..	12-5-14
		33	Eminabad	Diwan Brij Lal	..	26-7-35
	Gujranwala			Diwan Daulat Ram	..	
		34	Sheikhupura	Raja Dhian Singh	..	1-8-30
		35	The late K. B. Captain Muhammad Zaman.	Mahmud Zaman	17-12-18	
	Gujrat			Masud Zaman	18-11-21	
				Abdur Rahman	11-4-26	9-7-35
				Habib-uz-Zaman	1-10-27	
				Said Zaman	14-9-23	
				Nur Zaman	21-5-26	
				Wahid-uz-Zaman	4-5-29	
		36	Mitha Tiwana	M. Dost Muhammad Khan	..	9-9-19
		37	Jahanian Shah	S. Ghulam Muhammad Shah	..	1-1-30
	Shahpur			S. Riaz Hussain Shah	..	
				S. Qalandar Hussain Shah	..	
				S. Nasir-ud-Din Shah	..	
		38	Vehali	S. Hari Singh	..	10-11-34
	Jhelum			Sardarni Lachhmi Devi	..	
		39	Estate of the heirs of the late R. B. Sardar Boota Singh.	S. Jasbir Singh	..	1-6-29
				S. Jai Dev Singh	..	
				S. Atma Singh	..	
				S. Hardial Singh	..	
	Rawalpindi	40	Kallar	Raja Sir Gurbakhsh Singh Bedi, Kt., K.B.E., C.I.E.	..	15-6-32
		41	Adamji Mamooji	K. B. Seth Adamji Mamooji	..	14-5-35
		42	Dharek	Sardar Rab Nawaz Khan	6-11-19	8-5-20
		43	Bahter	S. Muhammad Hayat Khan	13-10-17	3-4-29
				S. Ifzal Ahmad Khan	16-2-26	
				S. Iftikhar Ahmad Khan	2-1-27	
	Attock	44	Langer	Mst. Farrukh Jabin	1921	8-12-31
		45	Peera Fatial	S. Muhammad Ashraf Khan	..	1-2-31
		46	Lawa	Safdar Ahmad	1925	11-2-35
				Ilahi Bakhsh	1930	
		47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan.	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan	..	24-1-07
	Mianwali	48	Wan Bhachran	Khan Sahib Malik Amir Khan	..	1-10-32

No. I—CONTINUED.

COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1936—CONTINUED.

Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total amount on assumption of charge.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.		
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	405	801	720	1,006	3,34,508	96
Section 5 (2) (a) ditto	6,775	4,371	2,857	7,918	..	6,96
Section 6 ditto	..	554	438	589	3,163	1,52
Section 6 ditto	..	..	..	..	..	20
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	781	736	1,118	4,00,000	4,00
Section 5 (2) (a) ditto	..	12	..	23	1,80,000	1,00
Section 6 ditto	..	..	..	..	..	20
Section 6 ditto	..	900	785	1,175	44,530	9,83
Section 5 (1) ditto	12,395	598	448	970	5,17,000	4,51
Section 5 (1) ditto	858	5,238	3,889	7,511	6,22,344	19,14
Section 5 (1) ditto	1,15,287	3,576	3,093	10,377	1,74,111	59,79
Section 6 ditto	150	578	527	1,537	870	4,21
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto	10,306	11,565	4,932	2,895	2,78,006	7,66
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	6,431	3,693	4,540	2,22,460	25,01
{ Section 5 (1) } { Section 5 (2) (a) }	..	43,659	41,395	14,441	13,15,321	19,56
Sections 5 (1) and 6 ditto	..	1,258	1,148	1,794	16,83,984	11,19
Section 5 (1) ditto	7,090	7,369	6,034	40,000	5,43,385	24,16
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	175	171	2,753	3,85,786	5,67
Section 6 ditto	..	6,548	3,062	3,034	..	4,57
Section 6 ditto	473	3,847	1,845	3,174	21,039	6,21
Section 6 ditto	..	517	261	319	..	..
Section 5 (1) ditto	234	831	450	958	21,323	94
Section 6 ditto	..	1,124	Not ascertained.	629	Not ascertained.	2,27
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	7,810	3,208	6,359	1,32,436	4,47
Section 5 (1) ditto	..	15,721	11,507	4,872	2,68,112	25,58



## GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF

Division.	District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Date of birth of ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TAN	Montgomery	49	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi	..	6-1-	
		50	Baba Hardit Singh	Baba Hardit Singh Bedi Jagjit Singh Bedi Mata Bachan Kaur	.. .. ..	15-2-	
	Jhang	51	Shah Jiwana	S. Abid Hussain Shah S. Khizar Hayat Shah S. Mubarak Ali Shah	.. .. ..	1-8-15 ..	26-11- 26-6-
		52	Khan Wali Dad Khan	Khan Wali Dad Khan	..	..	9-2-
		53	Makhiana	Muhammad Jahangir Khan	..	17-8-23	14-7-
		54	Khan Dalmir Khan	Khan Dalmir Khan	..	..	17-2-
		55	Jhang Syal	Inayat Ullah Khan Habib Ullah Khan Aziz Ullah Khan	.. .. ..	15-12-15 1-4-28 9-7-29	17-2-
		56	Sher Shah	S. Amir Haidar Shah M. Ghulam Akbar Shah	.. ..	..	11-10-19
		57	Jalalpur-Pirwala	D. Muhammad Ghaus S. Ghulam Abbas	.. ..	.. 17-3-17	1-5-
		Multan	58	Taunsa	Khawaja Nizam-ud-Din	..	..
	Khawaja Nasir-ud-Din				..	8-12-16	
	Khawaja Kutb-ud-Din				..	19-11-25	
	Mst. Affa Bibi				..	9-3-32	
	59		Sheikh Umar	Khawaja Ghulam Mustafa	..	..	1-4-3
				Khawaja Ghulam Mujtaba	..	..	
				Khawaja Ghulam Zakria	..	..	
	Muzaffargarh	60	Khangarh	Khawaja Ghulam Murtaza	..	..	1-9-3
				Mian Khuda Bakhsh	..	..	
		61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	Khan Nasrullah Khan	..	13-11-18	1-10-3
				Khan Saidullah Khan	..	6-6-21	
		62	Khan Zafar Samad Khan	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan	..	..	1-10-3
				Khan Zafar Samad Khan	..	24-12-30	
		63	Daera Din Panah	M. Allah Bakhsh	..	..	1-11-3
				Qadir Bakhsh	..	..	
	Ahmad Yar			..	..		
	Nur Muhammad			..	..		
	64	Dogar Kalasra	Ghulam Ahmad	..	..	28-4-3	
			Malik Hamid Yar	..	3-3-16		
Dera Ghazi Khan	65	Qaisrani	Malik Khan Muhammad	..	2-10-25	8-9-2	
			Sardar Manzur Ahmad Khan	..	25-3-16		
	66	Batil	Qaisrani.	..	..	26-9-2	
			Sardar Kaura Khan	..	..		
	67	Mazari	Sardar Mir Balakh Sher Khan	..	22-7-28	24-5-33	
Sardar Sher Jan Khan			..	28-8-29			
68	Khosa	Sardar Sher Baz Khan	..	6-10-30	1-10-3		
			Khan Bahadur Sardar Ghulam Haidar Khan.	..	..	1-10-3	

I—CONCLUDED.

T OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1936—CONCLUDED.

Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total as on assumption of charge.
		Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.		
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903.	843	4,341	2,893	8,420	4,78,546	8,96,000
Section 5 (1) ditto	843	4,497	3,401	7,467	3,78,346	9,03,000
Section 6 ditto	..	9,856	5,758	4,987	1,15,492	7,04,000
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Sections 5 (1) and 45 ditto ..	600	565	384	689	40,000	1,48,000
Section 6 ditto ..	..	1,378	1,012	1,225	2,391	4,80,000
Section 5 (1) ditto ..	..	999	555	1,232	36,000	2,61,000
Section 6 ditto ..	..	2,947	1,404	1,290	22,000	4,50,000
Section 35 of Punjab Act II of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,462	16,421	5,212	9,438	3,17,939	11,45,000
Section 5 (1) of Punjab Court of Wards Act II of 1903. } Section 6 ditto ..	..	44,019	9,777	6,343	19,841	13,93,000
Sections 6 and 8 ditto ..	..	8,004	5,250	12,370	100	13,63,000
Section 5 (1) ditto ..	..	2,276	949	1,406	67,646	3,45,000
Section 6 ditto ..	..	1,200	573	1,804	4,633	2,44,000
Section 5 (1) ditto ..	..	568	256	679	10,662	1,11,000
Section 6 ditto ..	..	559	250	980	1,967	1,00,000
Section 5 (1) ditto ..	..	2,691	1,274	1,300	30,995	2,52,000
Section 6 ditto ..	..	50,946	2,255	4,962	2,442	2,36,000
Section 6 ditto ..	3,500	297	235	5	45,069	1,06,000
Section 5 (2) (b) ditto ..	..	3,180	867	894	1,000	96,000
Section 6 ditto ..	37,490	213,705	23,264	20,323	1,80,709	33,10,000
Section 5 (2) (d) ditto ..	5,500	16,298	5,556	5,208	1,33,686	7,03,000
Total ..	4,77,237	741,605	277,056	3,19,786	1,37,35,655	6,27,48,000

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Asset		
			Capitalized value of jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
HISSAR	1	Barsi .. .. .	47,875	5,13,040	50,000
	2	Hajampur .. .. .	..	1,19,506	1,09,500
GURGAON	3	Sirsa .. .. .	..	4,86,000	36,750
	4	Farrukh Nagar .. .. .	38,667	2,10,013	52,000
KARNAL	5	Jharauli .. .. .	40,800	65,089	13,874
	6	Mandal .. .. .	..	6,70,753	4,80,000
	7	Buria .. .. .	13,46,575	4,44,944	10,29,968
AMBALA	8	Sohana .. .. .	2,28,850	49,600	10,253
	9	Manauli .. .. .	12,75,125	6,82,880	3,13,550
	10	Rangarh .. .. .	1,46,250	78,720	20,000
KANGRA	11	Jagadhri .. .. .	..	23,01,500	5,53,000
	12	Lambagraon .. .. .	50,000	960	1,066
	13	Lahul .. .. .	13,325	57,440	30,366
	14	Dada Siba .. .. .	5,30,800	2,52,080	45,900
HOSHIARPUR	15	Maharaja Lambagraon .. .. .	10,24,250	1,60,000	60,750
	16	Amb .. .. .	5,60,850	1,02,720	1,50,000
	17	Bhabaur .. .. .	21,175	66,880	1,000
JULLUNDUR	18	Anandpur .. .. .	1,11,500	3,88,880	1,20,000
	19	Kartarpur .. .. .	3,37,500	6,87,000	4,21,000
LUDHIANA	20	Ber Khurd .. .. .	4,80,225	1,50,720	83,935
FERROZPORE	21	Behk .. .. .	5,875	16,68,333	85,378
	22	Guru Har Sahai .. .. .	2,04,800	17,70,950	1,46,425
	23	Mosimwala .. .. .	..	63,850	37,200
	24	Sodhi Karam Singh .. .. .	..	16,37,880	75,000
	25	F. S. Najam-ud-Din .. .. .	10,125	80,480	5,000
LAHORE	26	Rani Chhauanian .. .. .	1,69,375	6,33,440	1,00,000
	27	Killa Jiwan Singh .. .. .	..	99,200	7,000
	28	Pipri .. .. .	..	..	20,000
	29	Ahluwal .. .. .	..	2,05,000	2,95,000
AMRITSAR	30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain .. .. .	..	..	20,000
	31	Nowshera Nangh .. .. .	..	2,49,854	4,25,531
GUJRANWALA	32	Attari .. .. .	3,09,875	77,438	10,000
	33	Eminabad .. .. .	21,450	9,00,880	7,19,750
SHEIKHUPURA	34	Sheikhupura .. .. .	28,82,181	8,30,140	11,97,839
GUJRAT	35	The late Khan Bahadur Captain Muhammad Zaman.	3,750	1,22,960	1,00,000
SHAHPUR	36	Mitha Tiwana .. .. .	2,57,650	7,98,780	50,450
JHELUM	37	Jahanian Shah .. .. .	..	14,52,000	42,000
	38	Vehali .. .. .	..	11,81,394	5,12,904
	39	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur S. Boota Singh.	..	3,69,900	1,89,500
RAWALPINDI	40	Kallar .. .. .	1,77,250	17,81,000	1,58,000
	41	Adamji Mamooji .. .. .	..	82,500	2,11,500
	42	Dharek .. .. .	..	2,91,154	8,127
	43	Bahter .. .. .	11,825	3,38,330	14,500
ATTOCK	44	Langer .. .. .	..	60,000	..
	45	Peera Fatial .. .. .	..	1,00,000	4,600
	46	Lawa .. .. .	..	2,01,680	17,250
MIANWALI	47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan .. .. .	..	3,83,945	15,500
	48	Wan Bhachran .. .. .	..	25,33,094	21,900
MONTGOMERY	49	Baba Harbans Singh, Bedi .. .. .	21,075	6,57,640	65,000
	50	Baba Hardit Singh .. .. .	21,075	8,30,175	38,500
	51	Shah Jiwana .. .. .	..	7,01,521	74,489
JHANG	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan .. .. .	15,000	62,180	7,649
	53	Makhiana .. .. .	..	1,33,760	26,400
	54	Khan Dalmir Khan .. .. .	..	1,68,800	7,050
MULTAN	55	Jhang Sayal .. .. .	..	1,31,555	41,600
	56	Sher Shah .. .. .	61,550	10,45,789	20,000
	57	Jalalpur-Pirwala .. .. .	..	10,74,798	1,38,578
MUZAFFARGARH	58	Taunsa .. .. .	..	9,79,840	3,59,600
	59	Sheikh Umar .. .. .	..	3,39,221	6,400
	60	Khan Garh .. .. .	..	2,18,801	20,132
	61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan .. .. .	..	96,940	12,350
DERA GHRAZI KHAN	62	Khan Zafar Samad Khan .. .. .	..	87,390	11,075
	63	Daera Din Panah .. .. .	..	2,49,164	3,000
	64	Dogar Kalasra .. .. .	..	2,91,660	41,500
	65	Qaisrani .. .. .	87,500	6,560	5,500
	66	Batil .. .. .	..	78,665	2,103
	67	Mazari .. .. .	9,37,250	16,25,840	1,40,000
	68	Khosa .. .. .	1,37,500	6,59,680	40,000
Total .. .. .			1,15,88,873	3,48,42,886	91,34,192

ON 30th SEPTEMBER, 1936.

Investments, loans and advances.				Cash.			Serial No.
Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immovable property.	Advances to tenants.	In Banks.	In treasury.	In hand.	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	1
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
..	705	..	..	..	12,207	..	1
..	..	..	..	..	3,672	..	2
800	..	..	119	..	5,045	45	3
..	..	..	..	..	15	163	4
78,600	..	335	..	..	3,119	5	5
500	..	..	..	1,000	173	100	6
1,90,600	..	..	..	..	35,883	250	7
..	..	..	..	..	742	25	8
..	..	13,137	..	..	5,205	245	9
..	..	..	..	..	1,229	25	10
15,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	11
..	..	..	..	..	344	..	12
..	..	..	..	..	4,587	194	13
..	..	..	..	..	23,764	..	14
..	..	..	..	..	12,301	..	15
..	..	..	..	..	58,102	100	16
1,10,800	2,311	52,998	..	..	3,961	20	17
..	..	..	..	800	10,852	100	18
1,300	589	2,260	..	..	12,420	132	19
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	20
16,408	..	..	966	3,500	20,908	140	20
10,000	..	53,064	..	..	2,608	400	21
..	..	24,000	..	..	58,887	100	22
..	..	..	1,000	..	2,772	377	23
..	..	..	..	..	11,898	100	24
..	..	..	..	..	2,718	..	25
..	..	..	..	..	8,943	100	26
31,000	..	..	..	..	1,041	20	27
..	..	..	..	..	154	20	28
..	..	..	..	..	15,513	..	29
..	..	..	..	..	94	..	30
1,01,900	..	11,809	..	..	5,125	708	31
..	..	..	..	..	1,496	202	32
..	..	1,08,755	..	233	34,092	222	33
..	..	..	..	..	6,346	117	34
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	35
1,49,300	..	25,221	200	..	8,680	50	35
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	36
..	..	48,280	344	..	332	955	36
..	..	..	355	..	997	824	37
..	..	..	460	..	52,872	1,114	38
..	..	3,378	..	152	2,740	100	39
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	40
..	..	15,574	1,705	..	6,937	578	40
..	..	..	..	..	14,997	..	41
..	..	27,612	..	..	2,528	519	42
..	21,978	56,733	3,090	..	6,281	351	43
..	..	25,000	8	..	523	26	44
..	..	..	..	..	3,920	..	45
..	..	..	503	..	7,262	84	46
..	..	..	..	..	10,147	165	47
..	..	..	957	..	21,849	50	48
..	..	..	247	..	6,513	100	49
2,500	..	..	..	..	5,839	120	50
1,500	..	..	174	..	16,080	..	51
..	11,780	2,830	690	..	1,923	..	52
..	..	..	..	..	5,920	..	53
..	..	..	290	12,000	15,977	..	54
..	..	..	..	..	1,772	..	55
..	..	..	..	..	606	40	56
..	..	13,494	35	..	9,955	64	57
..	..	14,532	398	..	14,841	3,694	58
..	6,000	..	1,460	..	..	25	59
..	..	..	611	..	..	108	60
..	330	..	1,284	3,210	..	63	61
..	..	..	504	189	..	33	62
..	..	..	412	745	..	243	63
..	..	..	633	220	..	78	64
..	..	..	2,175	155	..	..	65
..	..	..	..	..	5,133	..	66
..	15,500	..	..	..	1,170	..	67
..	..	..	3,426	..	61,509	1,917	67
..	..	..	..	..	4,805	36	68
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	68
7,10,208	59,193	4,98,952	22,046	22,204	6,58,333	15,247	



			ASSETS—concl'd.		
			Other assets.		
DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	Estimated value of unsold grain.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Jewellery stock and other items.
1	2	3	14	15	16
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
HISSAR	1	Barsi .. ..	..	17,023	27,014
	2	Hajampur .. ..	..	3,060	20,443
	3	Sirsa .. ..	1,131	10,157	1,650
GURGAON	4	Farrukh Nagar .. ..	..	7,769	2,535
KARNAL	5	Jharauli .. ..	..	5,063	46
	6	Mandal .. ..	..	2,35,972	4,179
	7	Buria .. ..	..	13,164	48,817
AMRALA	8	Sohana .. ..	..	3	1,504
	9	Manauli .. ..	270	40,137	4,318
	10	Ramgarh .. ..	..	6,229	4,026
KANGRA	11	Jagadhri .. ..	1,790	56,923	5,77,058
	12	Lambagraon .. ..	..	..	..
	13	Lahul .. ..	..	9,000	20,821
	14	Dada Siba .. ..	..	229	2,000
	15	Maharaja Lambagraon .. ..	..	..	23,500
HOSHARPUR	16	Amb .. ..	57	1,193	5,500
	17	Bhabaur .. ..	..	2,713	310
	18	Anandpur .. ..	172	11,911	..
JULLUNDUR	19	Kartarpur .. ..	..	45,878	64,700
LUDHIANA	20	Ber Khurd .. ..	..	5,482	3,161
FEROZEPUR	21	Behk .. ..	1,214	16,766	13,810
	22	Guru Har Sahai .. ..	3,665	91,216	32,877
	23	Mosimwala .. ..	170	..	156
	24	Sodhi Karam Singh .. ..	884	7,466	10,851
	25	F. S. Najm-ud-Din .. ..	..	7,046	..
LAHORE	26	Rani Chhaunian .. ..	..	43,141	38,291
	27	Killa Jivan Singh .. ..	..	100	2,204
	28	Pipri .. ..	..	119	..
	29	Ahluwal .. ..	..	..	38,528
AMRITSAR	30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain .. ..	..	100	..
	31	Nowshera Nangli .. ..	..	11,332	14,154
	32	Attari .. ..	..	15,220	43,729
GUJRANWALA	33	Eminabad .. ..	2,693	9,138	1,34,100
SHEIKHUPURA	34	Sheikhupura .. ..	11	1,22,696	60,000
GUJRAT	35	The late Khan Bahadur Captain Muhammad Zaman.	..	480	14,084
SHAHPUR	36	Mitha Tiwana .. ..	95	574	4,769
	37	Jahanian Shah .. ..	1,554	2,217	7,263
JHELUM	38	Vehali .. ..	9,043	539	28,186
RAWALPINDI	39	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur S. Boota Singh.	..	1,145	1,73,384
	40	Kallar .. ..	..	7,188	700
	41	Adamji Mamooji .. ..	..	5,311	32,336
	42	Dharek .. ..	5,234	203	50
	43	Bahter .. ..	59	983	..
ATTOCK	44	Langer .. ..	..	22	..
	45	Peera Fatial .. ..	912	332	..
	46	Lawa .. ..	555	Not ascertained	9,000
MIANWALI	47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan .. ..	398	6,568	7,275
	48	Wan Bhachran .. ..	9,692	322	133
MONTGOMERY	49	Baba Harbans Singh, Bedi .. ..	950	136	17,300
	50	Baba Hardit Singh .. ..	1,000	215	4,000
	51	Shah Jiwana .. ..	12,696	15,940	6,657
JHANG	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan .. ..	908	2,094	..
	53	Makhiana .. ..	631	5,526	3,577
	54	Khan Dalmir Khan .. ..	2,181	822	..
	55	Jhang Syal .. ..	1,658	2,946	..
MULTAN	56	Sher Shah .. ..	451	13,905	496
	57	Jalalpur-Pirwala .. ..	1,487	24,769	28,085
	58	Taunsa .. ..	7,093	297	1,607
	59	Sheikh Umar .. ..	2,280	905	435
MUZAFFARGARH	60	Khan Garh .. ..	877	585	12
	61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan .. ..	831	62	362
	62	Khan Zafar Samad Khan .. ..	800	134	61
	63	Daeer Din Panah .. ..	50	484	244
DERA GHAZI KHAN	64	Dogra Kalasra .. ..	6,851	921	249
	65	Qaisrani .. ..	..	7,926	8,876
	66	Batil .. ..	..	203	900
	67	Mazari .. ..	2,767	2,350	40,000
	68	Khosa .. ..	1,786	179	3,950
Total .. ..			84,896	9,02,469	15,94,273

II—CONCLUDED.

9TH SEPTEMBER, 1936.

Total assets.	LIABILITIES.						Excess of assets over liabilities.	Serial No.
	Balance of loans still due.			Other sums due.				
	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons.	To Government.	To Other persons.	Total liabilities.		
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
6,67,864	..	..	2,34,252	..	..	2,34,252	4,33,612	1
2,56,181	..	705	69,961	..	..	70,666	1,85,515	2
5,41,697	..	..	28,764	..	..	28,764	5,12,933	3
3,11,162	37,431	1,500	..	..	..	38,931	2,72,231	4
2,06,931	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,06,931	5
13,92,677	..	..	..	..	9,935	9,935	13,82,742	6
31,10,201	..	..	..	..	..	..	31,10,201	7
2,90,977	..	..	27,937	..	..	27,937	2,63,040	8
23,34,867	..	..	55,400	..	..	55,400	22,79,467	9
2,56,479	..	..	48,300	..	..	48,300	2,08,179	10
35,05,271	3,959	..	27,57,417	..	4,092	27,65,468	7,39,803	11
52,370	..	..	1,900	..	..	1,900	50,470	12
1,35,733	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,35,733	13
8,54,773	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,54,773	14
12,80,801	..	..	36,000	..	..	36,000	12,44,801	15
10,44,631	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,44,631	16
96,059	..	2,311	11,803	..	..	14,114	81,945	17
6,46,415	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,46,415	18
15,70,519	..	..	9,609	..	..	9,609	15,60,910	19
7,60,979	..	..	..	..	..	..	7,60,979	20
18,61,914	..	..	..	784	..	784	18,61,130	21
23,32,920	..	..	6,27,758	1,101	..	6,28,859	17,04,061	22
1,05,525	..	..	..	..	49,572	49,572	55,953	23
17,44,079	..	..	4,72,713	714	..	4,73,427	12,70,652	24
1,05,309	..	..	1,500	..	..	1,500	1,03,869	25
10,24,290	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,24,290	26
1,09,565	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,09,565	27
20,293	..	..	..	..	..	..	20,293	28
5,54,041	..	..	5,80,000	..	..	5,80,000	—25,959	29
20,194	..	..	..	..	..	..	20,194	30
8,20,413	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,20,413	31
4,57,960	1,08,099	..	..	..	..	1,08,099	3,49,861	32
19,31,312	..	..	6,24,944	..	..	6,24,944	13,06,369	33
50,99,330	..	..	..	..	17,684	17,684	50,81,646	34
4,24,725	..	..	..	..	250	250	4,24,475	35
11,62,229	..	..	58,501	..	..	58,501	11,03,728	36
15,07,210	5,147	..	1,76,270	..	149	1,81,566	13,25,644	37
17,89,890	..	..	3,46,603	651	..	3,47,254	14,42,636	38
7,36,921	..	..	6,92,198	460	653	6,93,311	43,610	39
21,48,932	..	..	1,27,529	..	..	1,27,529	20,21,403	40
3,74,256	..	..	3,85,786	..	..	3,85,786	—11,530	41
3,89,616	..	..	..	369	..	369	3,89,247	42
3,97,337	..	21,978	..	391	..	22,369	3,74,968	43
60,571	..	..	..	145	..	145	60,426	44
1,10,267	..	..	21,323	..	..	21,323	88,944	45
2,35,831	..	..	..	333	..	333	2,35,498	46
4,24,955	..	..	Not ascertained	..	..	..	4,24,955	47
25,87,287	25,000	..	2,13,308	..	..	2,38,368	23,48,979	48
7,71,214	..	..	1,62,027	..	..	1,62,027	6,09,187	49
9,02,598	..	..	1,88,340	..	..	1,88,340	7,14,258	50
8,42,683	..	..	42,546	..	..	42,546	8,00,137	51
89,754	..	11,780	3,062	..	990	15,832	73,922	52
1,88,104	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,88,104	53
1,94,830	..	..	26,493	..	..	26,493	1,68,337	54
1,79,531	..	..	22,151	3,619	..	25,770	1,53,761	55
11,56,366	756	6,000	..	132	17,678	24,566	11,31,800	56
12,92,606	..	..	500	..	6,134	6,634	12,85,972	57
13,74,432	..	..	..	2,421	350	2,771	13,71,661	58
3,49,877	2,063	..	68,471	603	55	71,192	2,78,685	59
2,45,339	..	..	4,463	331	36	4,830	2,40,509	60
1,11,201	884	..	10,718	127	18	11,747	99,554	61
1,00,650	..	..	1,649	127	18	1,794	98,856	62
2,54,038	..	..	29,774	562	60	33,424	2,20,614	63
3,43,589	2,618	410	1,595	1,561	1,182	4,338	3,39,251	64
1,21,486	..	..	..	36,840	..	36,840	84,655	65
98,550	..	..	..	..	..	..	98,550	66
28,15,099	..	..	30,083	..	..	30,083	27,84,976	67
8,47,936	..	15,500	34,898	8,163	327	58,888	7,89,048	68
6,01,23,772	1,85,957	60,184	82,36,546	59,434	1,09,183	86,51,304	5,14,82,468	

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	INVESTMENTS AND					Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.
			Income from sale of			Income from loans received from			
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
HISSAR	1	Barsi .. .. .	..	72,490	..	..	..	..	
	2	Hajampur .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	3	Sirsa .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GURGAON	4	Farrukh Nagar .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..		
KARNAL	5	Jharauli .. .. .	..	..	4,397	..	..	..	
	6	Mandal .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
AMBALA	7	Buria .. .. .	..	..	..	..	750	20	
	8	Sohana .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	9	Manauli .. .. .	..	2,249	..	..	750	10	
KANGRA	10	Ramgarh .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	11	Jagadhri .. .. .	..	..	9,837	..	..	..	
	12	Lambagraon .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
HOSHIARPUR	13	Lahul .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	14	Dada Siba .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	15	Maharaja Lambagraon .. .. .	..	..	..	..	2,000	..	
JULLUNDUR	16	Amb .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
LUDHIANA	17	Bhabaur .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	18	Anandpur .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	19	Kartarpur .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
FEROZEPUR	20	Ber Khurd .. .. .	130	..	..	347	..	..	
	21	Behk .. .. .	..	..	15,660	..	..	..	
	22	Guru Har Sahai .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
LAHORE	23	Mosimwala .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	24	Sodhi Karam Singh .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	25	F. S. Najam-ud-Din .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
AMRITSAR	26	Rani Chhannian .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	27	Killa Jiwan Singh .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	28	Pipri .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GUJRANWALA	29	Ahluwal .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	31	Nowshera Nangli .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
SHEIKHPURA	32	Attari .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
GUJRAT	33	Eminabad .. .. .	747	..	..	..	..	..	
	34	Sheikhupura .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	35	The late Khan Bahadur Captain Muhammad Zaman .. .. .	..	..	89,409	..	..	..	
SHAHPUR	36	Mitha Tiwana .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	37	Jahanian Shah .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
RAWALPINDI	38	Vehali .. .. .	2,14,004	43,815	..	..	..	..	
	39	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Boota Singh .. .. .	5,000	3,900	..	..	..	..	
	40	Kallar .. .. .	10,715	14,140	..	..	..	70	
ATTOCK	41	Adamji Mamooji .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	42	Dharek .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	43	Bhater .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
MIANWALI	44	Langer .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	45	Peera Fatial .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	46	Lawa .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
MONTGOMERY	47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	48	Wan Bhachran .. .. .	3,866	..	..	..	..	..	
	49	Baba Harbans Singh, Bedi .. .. .	78,670	..	..	..	1,519	1,55,000	
JHANG	50	Baba Hardit Singh .. .. .	..	44,209	75	..	..	..	
	51	Shah Jiwana .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
MULTAN	53	Makhiana .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	54	Khan Dalmir Khan .. .. .	18,000	..	..	..	..	..	
	55	Jhang Syal .. .. .	8,605	..	..	..	..	..	
MUZAFFARGARH	56	Sher Shah .. .. .	65	..	..	..	8,000	..	
	57	Jalalpur Pirwala .. .. .	..	1,845	..	..	5,000	..	
	58	Taunsa .. .. .	..	1,596	..	..	..	..	
DERA GHAZI KHAN	59	Sheikh Umar .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	60	Khan Garh .. .. .	..	3,000	..	..	..	..	
	61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan .. .. .	..	1,500	..	..	..	..	
DERA GHAZI KHAN	62	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan .. .. .	..	1,500	..	..	..	..	
	63	Daera Din Panah .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	64	Dogar Kalasra .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
DERA GHAZI KHAN	65	Qaisrani .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	66	Batil .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	67	Mazari .. .. .	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	68	Khosa .. .. .	26,252	..	..	..	..	..	
		Total .. .. .	3,66,054	1,90,244	1,12,885	347	8,000	1,55,000	

SEPTEMBER, 1936.

TRANSACTIONS.			ORDINARY INCOME.					Total income.	Serial No.
Income from payment of loans to the state.	Recovery of advances made to tenants.	Total.	Jagir or Taluqdari income.	Cash rent.	Sale of grain and other produce and of stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		72,490	758	44,716	1,185	5,982	52,641	1,25,131	1
				6,004		2,624	8,628	8,628	2
63	62	125		15,765	12,407	1,764	29,936	30,061	3
				8,304		1,347	9,651	9,651	4
		4,397	1,271	2,076	531	4,478	8,356	12,753	5
		100		4,837	2	140	4,979	5,079	6
11,500		11,500	52,050	12,530	3,707	9,547	77,854	89,354	7
		20,750	9,282	965	84	64	10,395	31,145	8
		2,249	51,580	14,854	4,022	1,890	73,246	75,495	9
		10,750	5,673	1,970	359	33	8,035	18,785	10
13,656		23,493		38,429	6,177	5,860	50,466	73,959	11
			1,908	15	10	269	2,202	2,202	12
			529	1,397		3,012	4,938	4,938	13
			17,150	5,100		2,500	24,750	24,750	14
450		450	49,949	4,261		10,031	64,241	64,691	15
		2,000	22,398	6,009	1,125	8,805	38,337	40,337	16
			2,672	3,789		679	7,140	7,140	17
			4,485	10,738	7,381	4,933	27,537	27,537	18
55	328	383	666	44,209	1,348	29,301	75,524	75,907	19
		499	19,326	5,103		866	25,295	25,794	20
100	121	15,881	375	645	14,563	2,258	17,841	33,722	21
			10,139	5,477	34,980	19,482	70,078	70,078	22
145		145			3,945	531	4,476	4,621	23
				2,468	18,079	10,824	31,371	31,371	24
			202	2,359	1,810	675	5,046	5,046	25
579		579	6,562	26,284	891	2,407	36,144	36,723	26
				452	2,148	100	2,700	2,700	27
				1,014			1,014	1,014	28
				38		22,636	22,674	22,674	29
				1,000			1,000	1,000	30
				14,715	2,955	4,316	21,986	21,986	31
			11,429	5,059	1,188	392	18,068	18,068	32
5,175	580	6,502	866	9,565	40,554	31,456	82,441	88,943	33
619		619	7,994	3,168		38	11,200	11,819	34
3,122		92,531	216	3,339	1,600	10,519	15,674	1,08,205	35
	12	12	8,444	2,951	6,379	696	18,470	18,482	36
		3,000	767	10,118	19,413	2,720	33,018	36,018	37
	293	2,58,112		390	21,913	12,763	35,066	2,93,178	38
		8,900		14,173	1,696	67	15,936	24,836	39
2,750	1,408	99,013	10,572	41,826	19,194	3,302	74,894	1,73,907	40
				19,127	105	1,040	20,272	20,272	41
500	201	701	94	695	10,883	2	11,674	12,375	42
			903	1,406	11,683	74	14,066	14,066	43
				54	1,140		1,194	1,194	44
	40	40	497	465	2,472		3,434	3,474	45
				2,800	5,829	542	9,171	9,171	46
	712	712		50	30,124	3,332	33,506	34,218	47
590	247	4,113			40,817	374	41,191	45,304	48
695	572	2,36,351	752	1,385	51,454	3,058	56,649	2,93,000	49
140	247	45,226	752	3,599	44,172	3,578	52,101	97,327	50
	325	465		12,154	30,723	1,564	44,441	44,906	51
			273	438	2,252		2,963	2,963	52
251	213	464		301	12,907	2,645	15,853	16,317	53
		18,000		6,045	8,021	458	14,524	32,524	54
		8,605		1,760	6,755	1,783	10,298	18,903	55
170	12	8,077	2,462	2,140	17,123	1,399	23,124	31,201	56
7,000	233	7,248		2,178	31,594	5,987	39,759	47,007	57
	20	8,616			48,919	2,403	51,322	59,938	58
	393	393			3,244	380	3,624	4,017	59
	306	4,115		63	4,823	1,712	6,598	10,713	60
	244	1,744			2,154	392	2,546	4,290	61
	244	1,744			2,080	470	2,550	4,294	62
	66	66			3,400	32	3,432	3,498	63
17	149	149			8,147	403	8,550	8,699	64
	20	17	3,385	6,896	64	1,444	11,789	11,806	65
1,337	2,342	20		686	219	1,180	2,085	2,105	66
		3,679	36,671	1,070	1,08,725	6,145	1,52,611	1,56,290	67
		31,652	3,935	7,268	12,778	2,236	26,217	57,869	68
49,323	9,590	10,16,677	3,46,987	4,46,692	7,33,153	2,61,960	17,88,792	28,05,469	



District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	INVESTMENTS, LOANS AND DEBT TRANSACTIONS.							
			Repayment of debts.	Purchase of			Loans.		Advances made to tenants.	Cost of permanent improvements.
				Land.	House property.	Government securities or securities of local bodies.	Made to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other immovable property.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
HISSAR	1	Barsi .. ..	85,448	..	..	..	705	..	..	4,291
	2	Hajampur .. ..	1,689	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
GURGAON	3	Sirsa .. ..	8,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	4	Farrukh Nagar .. ..	1,910	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
KARNAL	5	Jharauli .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,084
	6	Mandal .. ..	874	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
AMBALA	7	Buris .. ..	..	..	1,950	25,000	1,500	..	..	446
	8	Sohana .. ..	23,772	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	9	Manauli .. ..	17,100	..	..	..	..	820	..	2,316
	10	Ramgarh .. ..	12,318	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
KANGRA	11	Jagadhri .. ..	5,550	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	12	Lambagraon .. ..	300	..	..	..	..	..	..	200
	13	Lahul .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	14	Dada Siba .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	800
HOSHIARPUR	15	Maharaja Lambagraon .. ..	28,875	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	16	Amb .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	2,112	..	..
	17	Bhabaur .. ..	2,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
JULLUNDUR	18	Anandpur .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	19	Kartarpur .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	329	..
LUDHIANA	20	Ber Khurd .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,908
	21	Behk .. ..	..	14,941	..	..	..	..	300	265
FEROZEPUR	22	Guru Har Sahai .. ..	13,742	..	..	..	..	..	..	500
	23	Mosimwala .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	136	..
	24	Sodhi Karam Singh .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
LAHORE	25	F. S. Najam-ud-Din .. ..	2,476	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	26	Ram Chhauanian .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	951
	27	Killa Jiwani Singh .. ..	232	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	28	Pipri .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	29	Ahluwal .. ..	2,072	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
AMRITSAR	30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain .. ..	..	..	..	10,200	..	..	..	..
	31	Nowshera Nangli .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	32	Attari .. ..	11,156	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
GUJRANWALA	33	Eminabad .. ..	32,108	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
SHEIKHUPURA	34	Sheikhupura .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	18
GUJRAT	35	The late Khan Bahadur Captain Muhammad Zaman. .. ..	620	1,353	..	86,460	..	..	200	..
SHAHPUR	36	Mitha Tiwana .. ..	8,500	..	..	..	..	..	141	105
JHELUM	37	Jahanian Shah .. ..	14,856	..	..	..	..	..	307	55
	38	Vehali .. ..	2,38,030	..	..	..	..	..	460	..
RAWALPINDI	39	Estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Boota Singh. .. ..	411	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,327
	40	Kallar .. ..	1,23,676	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	41	Adamjee Mamooje .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,132
ATTOCK	42	Dharek .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	50	..
	43	Bahter .. ..	500	..	..	..	..	..	..	12
	44	Langer .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
MIANWALI	45	Peera Fatial .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	503	..
	46	Lawa .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan. .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	957	..
MONTGOMERY	48	Wan Bhachran .. ..	18,962	..	..	..	..	..	247	..
	49	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi .. ..	2,51,202	..	..	..	..	..	324	3,517
	50	Baba Hardit Singh .. ..	61,904	..	..	..	1,000	..	420	2,017
JHANG	51	Shah Jiwana .. ..	11,579	1,500	700	..	..	..	544	1,000
	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	53	Makhiana .. ..	..	..	1,400	12,000	..	..	290	188
MULTAN	54	Khan Dalmir Khan .. ..	12,208	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	55	Jhang Syal .. ..	9,629	..	..	..	..	..	..	395
	56	Sher Shah .. ..	10,804	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
MULAFFARGARH	57	Jalalpur Pirwala .. ..	14,399	679	..	..	..	..	505	..
	58	Taunsa .. ..	..	..	..	..	13,000	..	1,480	361
	59	Sheikh Umar .. ..	1,272	..	..	..	..	..	597	200
DERA GHAZI KHAN	60	Khangarh .. ..	3,000	210	..	..	..	..	410	62
	61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan .. ..	2,151	130	..	..	..	..	304	17
	62	Khan Zaffar Samad Khan .. ..	1,651	130	..	..	..	..	287	16
DERA GHAZI KHAN	63	Daera Din Panah .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	327	12
	64	Dogar Kalasra .. ..	1,574	..	..	..	..	..	89	303
	65	Qaisrani .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	66	Batil .. ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	67	Mazari .. ..	44,026	2,741	..	..	..	..	..	3,426
	68	Khosa .. ..	41,556	..	..	..	..	..	..	572
		Total .. ..	11,22,132	21,684	4,050	1,33,660	16,205	2,932	12,633	26,070

ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1936.

ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.											Percentage of cost of management (column 16) on total ordinary income (column 17) of statement No. III.	Serial No.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
8,320	712	7,622	8,334	229	13,313	..	999	31,195	1,21,639	15.8	1	
1,113	289	1,610	1,929	..	1,970	..	2,601	7,613	9,302	22.4	2	
6,221	411	5,697	6,108	804	4,724	1,864	2,820	22,541	30,541	22.4	3	
2,313	539	1,169	1,708	25	1,200	2,700	480	8,426	10,336	17.7	4	
354	308	817	1,125	43	865	1,032	9,660	13,079	15,163	13.4	5	
250	1,521	1,771	1,771	553	60	..	3,492	5,876	6,750	35.6	6	
11,385	837	5,406	6,243	1,793	10,676	9,804	13,918	53,819	82,715	8.0	7	
1,586	349	754	1,103	277	1,607	1,455	307	6,335	30,107	10.6	8	
14,375	984	2,912	3,896	2,283	8,200	19,000	7,898	55,652	75,888	5.3	9	
1,475	292	818	1,110	300	1,022	960	823	5,690	18,008	13.8	10	
17,305	1,811	12,554	14,365	107	12,444	..	24,210	68,431	73,981	28.5	11	
12	99	120	219	..	821	1,051	472	2,575	3,075	9.9	12	
178	267	..	267	275	2,916	..	648	4,284	4,284	5.4	13	
3,375	600	1,684	2,284	389	2,000	8,580	7,251	23,879	24,679	9.3	14	
2,097	..	2,992	2,992	1,000	2,399	11,329	4,074	23,891	52,766	4.6	15	
3,052	622	3,103	3,725	322	11,671	1,703	5,460	25,933	28,045	9.7	16	
1,086	330	371	701	..	2,460	900	1,235	6,382	8,382	9.8	17	
3,447	754	4,654	5,408	1,464	5,445	3,460	2,515	21,739	21,739	19.6	18	
8,019	1,023	13,118	14,141	2,944	12,000	12,100	32,598	81,802	82,131	18.7	19	
4,187	513	1,736	2,249	306	3,630	4,656	1,476	16,504	19,412	8.9	20	
3,533	429	5,952	6,381	678	2,940	3,018	4,919	21,469	36,975	35.8	21	
3,578	743	4,871	5,614	559	2,964	3,115	8,633	24,463	38,705	8.0	22	
663	..	..	..	..	..	..	875	1,538	1,674	..	23	
2,943	..	1,177	1,177	197	800	4,803	9,553	19,473	19,473	3.7	24	
753	376	146	522	..	1,950	1,150	74	4,449	6,925	10.3	25	
8,893	1,088	4,181	5,269	254	19,053	3,360	9,435	46,264	47,215	14.5	26	
589	133	310	443	170	651	300	..	2,153	2,385	16.4	27	
..	..	60	60	60	..	..	20	140	140	5.9	28	
110	762	400	1,162	750	..	..	7,371	9,393	11,065	5.1	29	
..	..	48	48	44	..	..	14	106	106	4.8	30	
1,439	466	1,013	1,479	605	2,123	600	5,165	11,411	21,611	6.7	31	
2,207	..	1,192	1,192	97	800	2,148	464	6,908	18,064	6.6	32	
11,552	1,044	6,193	7,237	1,628	11,979	368	1,698	34,462	66,570	8.8	33	
..	..	4,163	4,163	2,546	6,427	3,040	11,025	27,201	27,219	37.1	34	
821	..	2,345	2,345	368	7,029	..	1,540	12,103	1,00,736	14.9	35	
2,080	460	3,518	3,978	63	900	1,255	760	9,036	17,782	21.5	36	
4,121	467	4,863	5,330	223	2,540	2,580	5,386	20,180	35,398	16.1	37	
7,326	1,014	11,793	12,807	2,033	11,420	17,228	6,048	56,862	2,95,352	36.5	38	
636	776	5,733	6,509	1,380	1,320	13,640	3,847	27,332	29,070	40.8	39	
1,207	2,667	6,955	9,622	1,070	12,000	16,782	10,782	51,463	1,75,139	12.8	40	
37	..	1,685	1,685	86	..	..	2,335	4,143	5,275	..	41	
3,034	369	2,097	2,466	51	3,046	..	894	9,491	9,541	21.1	42	
3,174	601	1,908	2,509	72	1,325	240	399	7,719	8,231	17.8	43	
319	43	99	142	..	321	..	166	848	848	11.5	44	
958	..	228	228	200	1,164	..	..	2,550	3,053	6.6	45	
629	..	572	572	7	160	..	138	1,826	1,826	6.2	46	
6,359	504	5,867	6,371	1,592	6,645	5,570	2,844	29,381	30,338	19.0	47	
4,872	621	2,179	2,800	..	3,600	..	14,723	25,995	45,204	6.8	48	
8,420	663	7,030	7,693	333	5,621	900	8,962	31,929	2,86,972	13.6	49	
7,467	321	5,672	5,993	268	7,308	..	6,597	27,633	92,974	11.5	50	
11,126	850	3,198	4,048	500	8,698	1,680	4,830	30,882	46,205	9.1	51	
892	185	334	519	..	..	888	800	3,099	3,099	17.5	52	
2,818	394	1,815	2,209	374	2,151	3,412	783	11,747	25,625	13.9	53	
2,499	277	750	1,027	66	1,200	..	530	5,322	17,530	7.1	54	
2,602	284	655	939	103	1,140	1,193	2,545	8,522	18,151	9.1	55	
9,438	430	4,112	4,542	..	865	340	4,217	19,402	30,601	19.6	56	
7,071	521	3,556	4,077	823	2,647	1,872	7,790	24,280	39,863	10.3	57	
13,365	896	11,139	12,035	1,908	23,656	1,560	5,902	58,426	73,267	23.4	58	
1,406	275	421	696	30	340	..	22	2,494	4,563	19.2	59	
1,952	545	744	1,289	139	1,341	445	1,544	6,710	10,392	19.5	60	
825	199	278	477	3	490	..	92	1,887	4,489	18.7	61	
980	202	275	477	4	369	81	164	2,075	4,159	18.7	62	
1,300	256	685	941	..	400	..	86	2,727	3,066	27.4	63	
4,962	..	948	948	..	1,317	992	54	8,272	10,239	11.1	64	
3	289	377	666	..	903	4,875	516	6,963	6,963	5.6	65	
10	140	282	422	19	192	600	78	1,321	1,321	20.2	66	
20,323	1,865	15,493	17,358	1,298	3,690	17,190	13,567	73,426	1,24,191	11.4	67	
5,133	511	3,971	4,482	549	1,295	5,015	4,084	20,558	62,114	17.1	68	
2,64,325	32,656	2,09,971	2,42,627	34,264	2,64,203	2,01,154	2,95,108	13,01,681	26,41,047	13.6		

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	LAND PURCHASED.		LAND SOLD.	
			Area.	Value.	Area.	Value.
			4	5	6	7
	1	Barsi .. .. .				
	2	Hajampur .. .. .				
	3	Sirsa .. .. .				
GAON	4	Farrukh Nagar .. .. .				
NAL	5	Jharauli .. .. .				
	6	Mandal .. .. .				
	7	Buria .. .. .				
	8	Sohana .. .. .				
ALA	9	Manuah .. .. .				
	10	Ramgarh .. .. .				
	11	Jagadhri .. .. .				
GRA	12	Lambagraon .. .. .				
	13	Lahul .. .. .				
	14	Dada Siba .. .. .				
	15	Maharaja Lambagraon .. .. .				
	16	Amb .. .. .				
HIARPUR	17	Bhabaur .. .. .				
LUNDUR	18	Anandpur .. .. .				
HIANA	19	Kartarpur .. .. .				
	20	Ber Khurd .. .. .				
	21	Behk .. .. .	115	14,941		
DZEPHORE	22	Guru Har Sahai .. .. .				
	23	Mosimwala .. .. .				
	24	Sodhi Karam Singh .. .. .				
	25	F. S. Najam-ud-Din .. .. .				
ORE	26	Rani Chhaunian .. .. .				
	27	Killa Jiwan Singh .. .. .				
	28	Pipri .. .. .				
	29	Ahluwal .. .. .				
	30	S. Bakhtiyar Hussain .. .. .				
ITSAR	31	Nowshera Nangli .. .. .				
ANWALA	32	Attari .. .. .				
UKHUPURA	33	Eminabad .. .. .			4	
RAT	34	Sheikhupura .. .. .				
	35	The late Khan Bahadur Captain Muhammad Zaman. .. .. .	1	1,353		
HPUR	36	Mitha Tiwana .. .. .				
LUM	37	Jahanian Shah .. .. .				
	38	Vehali .. .. .			569	2,140
	39	The estate of the heirs of the late Rai Bahadur Sardar Boota Singh. .. .. .			17	5,000
ALFINDI	40	Kallar .. .. .			58	10,700
	41	Adamjee Mamooji .. .. .				
	42	Dharek .. .. .				
OCK	43	Bahter .. .. .				
	44	Langer .. .. .				
	45	Peera Fatial .. .. .				
	46	Lawa .. .. .				
WALI	47	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan .. .. .				
	48	Wan Bhachran .. .. .			24	3,800
MGOMERY	49	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi .. .. .			830	78,500
	50	Baba Hardit Singh .. .. .				
	51	Shah Jiwana .. .. .				
	52	Khan Wali Dad Khan .. .. .		1,500		
	53	Makhiana .. .. .				
NG	54	Khan Dalmir Khan .. .. .			83	18,000
	55	Jhang Syal .. .. .			1	8,000
FAN	56	Sher Shah .. .. .			1	
	57	Jalalpur Pirwala .. .. .	9	679		
	58	Taunsa .. .. .				
AFFARGARH	59	Sheikh Umar .. .. .				
	60	Khargarh .. .. .				
	61	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan .. .. .		210		
	62	Khan Zafar Samad Khan .. .. .		130		
	63	Daera Din Panah .. .. .		130		
	64	Dogar Kalasra .. .. .				
GHAZI KHAN	65	Qaisrani .. .. .				
	66	Batil .. .. .				
	67	Mazari .. .. .				
	68	Kh-sa .. .. .	198	2,741	50	26,000
		Total .. .. .	323	21,684	1,637	3,800

No. V.  
 DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1936.

VALUE OF HOUSE PROPERTY.		VALUE OF SECURITIES OF GOVERNMENT OR LOCAL BODIES.		VALUE OF LOANS MADE		VALUE OF LOANS RECEIVED FROM			Debts of estates paid off.
Purchased.	Sold.	Purchased.	Sold.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons on the security of immovable property.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.	
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
..	72,490	..	..	705	..	..	..	..	85,448
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,689
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,000
..	..	..	4,397	..	..	..	..	..	1,910
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	100	874
1,950	..	25,000	..	1,500	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	750	20,000	23,772
..	2,249	..	..	..	820	..	..	..	17,100
..	..	..	9,837	..	..	..	750	10,000	12,318
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,550
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	300
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	28,875
..	..	..	..	..	2,112	..	2,000	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,000
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	347	..	22	..
..	..	..	15,660	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	13,742
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,476
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	232
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,072
..	..	10,200	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	11,156
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	32,108
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	86,460	89,409	..	..	..	..	..	620
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,500
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,000	14,856
..	43,815	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,38,030
..	3,900	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	411
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	14,140	..	..	..	..	..	..	70,000	1,23,676
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	500
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	18,962
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,519	1,55,000	2,51,202
..	44,209	..	75	1,000	..	..	..	..	61,904
700	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	11,579
1,400	..	12,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	12,208
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	9,629
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8,000	..	10,804
..	1,845	..	..	..	..	..	5,000	..	14,399
..	1,596	..	..	13,000	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,272
..	3,900	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,000
..	1,500	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,151
..	1,500	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,651
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,574
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	44,026
..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,400	41,556
4,050	1,90,244	1,33,660	1,19,378	16,205	2,932	347	18,019	2,63,522	



District.	Name of estate.	Name of Ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge.	ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR, ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON RELEASE.				
							ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE			ON RELEASE.				Value of land	Value of house property	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.
							Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	s.	A cres	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
KARNAL ..	Mandal ..	Nawabzada Mohamamad Sadaqat Ali Khan.	24-11-33	31-12-35	9,613	9,935	7,175	4,198	255	7,175	4,477	5,821	4,572	5,00,400	4,80,000	2,92,699	12,73,099	6,70,753	4,80,000	500	2,41,424	13,02,677
		Raja Joti Parshad ..																				
		Lala Beni Parshad ..																				
		Lala Kishori Saran ..																				
		Lala Raghunir Saran ..																				
		Lala Madhuri Saran ..																				
		Lala Brijbhushan Saran																				
		Lala Brij Raj Saran ..																				
		Lala Avdesh Saran ..																				
		Lala Radhey Sham ..																				
		Lala Rameshwar Saran ..																				
		Lala Sumer Singh ..																				
AMBALA ..	Jagadhri ..	Lala Janardhan Kumar	1-10-30	31-5-36	21,81,546	27,65,468	29,799	20,078	39,850	28,686	18,451	34,215	..	16,21,447	8,62,500	5,04,228	29,88,175	23,01,500	5,53,000	15,000	6,35,771	35,05,271
		Lala Reoti Kumar ..																				
		Lala Narendar Kumar ..																				
		Lala Mahindar Kumar ..																				
		Lala Surendar Kumar ..																				
		Lala Rajeshwar Kumar																				
		Lala Jagdish Kumar ..																				
		Lala Chaman Parkash ..																				
		Sh. Shushila Wati ..																				
		Sh. Kushmala Devi ..																				
		Sh. Lajja Wati ..																				
		Sh. Padma Wati ..																				
		Sh. Santosh Devi ..																				
		Sh. Kanti Devi ..																				
		Sh. Saran Lata ..																				
SHIKHOPURA.	Shelkhpura	Raja Dhayan Singh ..	1-8-30	30-11-35	1,74,111	17,664	3,884	3,072	12,746	3,576	3,093	10,377	58,496	10,75,286	12,76,408	2,44,968	25,96,653	5,30,140	11,97,839	..	1,80,150	25,17,120

## STATEMENT No. VII.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES AND STOCKS IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1936.

District.	Name of estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 15 of statement No. III).	Estimated value of un-sold grain (column 14 of statement No. II).	Total rents and grain (total of columns 3 and 4).	Land revenue assessed.	Percentage of land revenue (column 6) to total rents and grain (column 5.)	Rate of betai.	Explanation in I
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
HISSAR	Barsi ..	45,901	..	45,901	8,320	17.9	..	
	Hajampur ..	6,004	..	6,004	1,113	18.5	..	
	Sirsa ..	28,172	1,131	29,303	6,221	21.2	1. $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
GURGAON	Farrukh Nagar ..	8,304	..	8,304	2,313	27.9	$\frac{1}{2}$	
KARNAL	Jharauli ..	2,607	..	2,607	445	17.0	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Mandal ..	4,839	..	4,839	..*	..	..	*The estate was before it had p revenue.
AMBALA	Buria ..	16,237	..	16,237	11,385	37.9	..	Percentages have worked out after ing Rs. 5,225, Rs. 917 and Rs. account of com fee from the shown in column $\frac{1}{2}$
	Sohana ..	1,049	..	1,049	1,586	63.8	..	
	Manauli ..	19,776	270	20,046	14,375	39.0	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Ramgarh ..	2,329	..	2,329	1,475	41.2	..	
	Jagadhri ..	44,606	1,790	46,396	17,305	35.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	
KANORA	Lambagraon ..	25	..	25	12	48.0	..	The percentage worked out on R. The figures in include taccavi a rates.
	Lahul ..	1,397	..	1,397	178	12.7	..	
	Dada Siba ..	5,100	..	5,100	3,151	61.7	..	
	Maharaja Lambagraon.	4,261	..	4,261	1,700	39.9	..	
HOSHIARPUR	Amb ..	7,134	57	7,191	2,601	36.2	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Bhabaar ..	3,789	..	3,789	836	22.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Anandpur ..	18,119	172	18,291	3,447	18.8	$\frac{1}{2}$	
JULLUNDUR	Kartarpur ..	45,557	..	45,557	7,825	17.2	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
LUDHIANA	Ber Khurd ..	5,103	..	5,103	1,901	37.2	..	
FEROZEPUR	Behk ..	15,208	1,214	16,422	3,533	21.5	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Guru Har Sahai ..	40,457	3,665	44,122	3,917	8.8	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Mosimwala ..	3,945	170	4,115	235	5.7	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Sodhi Karam Singh	20,547	884	21,431	3,511	16.3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
LAHORE	F. S. Najam-ud-Din	4,169	..	4,169	1,006	24.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Rani Chhaunian ..	27,175	..	27,175	8,893	32.7	..	
	Killa Jivan Singh ..	2,600	..	2,600	589	22.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Pipri ..	1,014	..	1,014	..	..	..	
	Ahluwal ..	38	..	38	23	60.5	..	Most of the lands a possession of the cre who pay land revenue
AMRITSAR	S. Bakhtiyar Husain	1,000	..	1,000	..	..	..	
	Nowshera Nangli ..	6,997	..	6,997	1,439	20.6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	†Excludes Rs. 10,67 account of house rent.
GUJRANWALA	Attari ..	6,247	..	6,247	1,983	31.7	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Eminabad ..	50,119	2,693	52,812	7,511	14.2	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
SHEIKHUPURA	Sheikhupura ..	3,168	11	3,179	..	..	..	
GUJRAT	The late K. B. Captain Mohammad Zaman.	4,939	..	4,939	1,537	31.1	..	
SHAMPUR	Mitha Tiwana ..	9,330	95	9,425	2,080	22.0	$\frac{1}{2}$ , $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Jahanian Shah ..	29,531	1,554	31,085	2,599	8.3	$\frac{1}{2}$	

## STATEMENT No. VII—CONCLUDED.

STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES AND STOCKS IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1936—CONCLUDED.

District.	Name of estate.	Rents and sales of grain (columns 14 and 15 of statement No. III.)	Estimated value of unsold grain (column 14 of statement no. II.)	Total rents and grain (total of columns 3 and 4.)	Land Revenue assessed.	Percentage of land revenue (column 6) to total rents and grain (column 5).	Rate of batai.	Explanation in
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
LUM	Vehali	22,303	9,043	31,346	7,326	23·4	$\frac{1}{2}$	
VALPINDI	Estate of the heirs of the late R. B. S. Boota Singh	15,869	..	15,869	1,794	11·3	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Kallar	61,020	..	61,020	1,207	2·0	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Adamjee Mamoonsji	19,232	..	19,232	37	·2	..	
OCK	Dharek	11,578	5,234	16,812	3,034	18·0	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Bahter	13,089	59	13,148	3,174	24·1	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Langer	1,194	..	1,194	319	26·7	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Peera Fatial	2,937	912	3,849	958	24·9	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
NWALI	Lawa	8,629	555	9,184	629	6·8	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Khan Muhammad Niwaz Khan.	30,174	398	30,572	6,359	20·7	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Wau Bhachran	40,817	9,692	50,509	4,872	9·6	$\frac{1}{2}$	
FTGOMERY	Baba Harbans Singh Bedi.	52,839	950	53,789	8,420	15·6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Baba Hardit Singh	47,771	1,000	48,771	7,467	15·3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
NO	Shah Jiwana	42,877	12,696	55,573	4,987	9·0	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Khan Wali Dad Khan	2,690	908	3,598	689	19·1	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Makhiana	13,208	631	13,839	1,225	8·8	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Khan Dalmir Khan	14,066	2,181	16,247	1,232	7·6	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Jhang Sayal	8,515	1,658	10,173	1,290	12·7	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
TAN	Sher Shah	19,263	451	19,714	9,438	47·9	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	Jalalpur Pirwala	27,714*	1,487	29,201	6,343	21·7		*Excludes Rs. 6,058 count of the price of grain of the last year.
	Taunsa	48,919	7,093	56,012	12,370	22·1	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Sheikh Umar	3,286†	422‡	3,708	925§	24·9	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	†Includes Rs. 42 on a of Nakhlistan. ‡Excludes the value sold grain of last year. §Excludes the arrears year.
FFARGARI	Khangarh	5,562	1,199¶	6,761	1,952	28·9	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	Includes Rs. 676 on a of Nakhlistan. ¶Includes Rs. 322 on a of arrears of rent.
	Khan Fazal Ahmad Khan.	2,424**	852††	3,276	825	25·1	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	**Includes Rs. 270 on a of the income from N tan. ††Includes Rs. 21 on a of arrears of rent.
	Khan Zafar Samad Khan.	2,362‡‡	888§§	3,250	980	30·1	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	‡‡Includes Rs. 282 on a of Nakhlistan. §§Includes Rs. 88 on a of arrears of rent.
	Daera Din Panah	3,400	302	3,702	1,149¶¶	31·0	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	Includes Rs. 252 on a of arrears of rent. ¶¶Excludes Rs. 151 count of arrears of la
	Dogar Kalasra	8,147	7,452***	15,599†††	3,017	19·3	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	***Includes Rs. 601 count of arrears of r †††Excludes Rs. 1,945 count of arrears of la
HAZI KHAN	Qaisarani	6,960	..	6,960	5	..	..	
	Batil	905	..	905	†††	..	..	†††The land revenue by the lessees.
	Mazari	1,09,795	2,767	1,12,562	20,323	18·0	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	
	Khosa	20,046	1,786	21,832	6,307§§§	28·9	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$	§§§Includes Rs. 1,10 land revenue of five which are on cash re the land revenue who paid by the lessees.
	Total	11,64,384	84,322	12,48,706	2,43,698	..	..	





